

## INDEX TO TESTIMONY

	PAGE.
American Bell Telephone Co. . . . .	(See Sise)
American Speaking Telephone Co. . . . .	(See Sise)
American Telegraph and Telephone Co. . . . .	(See Pickernell and Sise)
<b>Andrews, Norman; Alderman, Brantford, Ont.:</b>	
Canadian Machine Telephone Co., offer to install automatic system by	137
Capital required for proposed Brantford system. . . . .	123
Cost of installing system, Chicago Telephone Supply Co.'s offer. . . . .	137
Cost of installing system, letter from International Tel. Man. Co. . . . .	136
Depreciation and maintenance, letter from J. F. Butterfield, Chicago. . .	124
Franchise, Bell Co. offered \$700 yearly for. . . . .	118, 142
Franchise, payment by Bell Co. for previous. . . . .	117, 142
Glasgow municipal system misrepresented by Mr. Sise. . . . .	119, 139
Glasgow municipal telephones, correspondence in Brantford 'Courier'. . . .	140
Great Britain and Germany, experience in. . . . .	116
Independent company proposed for Ontario. . . . .	134
Local systems should be 'municipal' or 'independent' . . . . .	116, 133, 135
Long distance charges, 'Bell'. . . . .	131
Long distance equipment charged \$5 extra per annum. . . . .	117
Long distance equipment, extra charge for withdrawn. . . . .	132
Long distance lines, believes in government ownership of. . . . .	116, 134, 135
Long distance service, rumour that Bell Co. might withdraw. . . . .	135
Maintenance, excerpt from 'Telephony' re low cost of. . . . .	129
Municipal or Independent telephones, people anxious for. . . . .	132
Municipal ownership in Great Britain, organized opposition to. . . . .	118
Municipal system not adopted, reasons why. . . . .	129, 139
Municipal system proposed for Brantford. . . . .	118
Municipal system, by-law for withdrawn. . . . .	131
'National' Co.'s system in Great Britain unsatisfactory. . . . .	116
Neepawa, Man., situation at. . . . .	121
Population of Brantford. . . . .	132, 142
Port Arthur and Fort William visited by Brantford aldermen. . . . .	122
Railway premises, municipal system discouraged by exclusion of tele- phones from. . . . .	135
Rates charged by Bell Co. in Brantford. . . . .	117, 131
Rates proposed. . . . .	124
Receipts and expenditure of proposed municipal system, estimated. . . . .	123
Rural system in Livingstone County, Michigan, particulars of. . . . .	132
Rural systems now being constructed by Bell Co. . . . .	131
Scott, W. C., action of on visit to Port Arthur and Fort William. . . . .	122, 133