There are some other passages in this book to which I may call your attention. At page 210 this language is used :---

"These fisheries, as most advantageously secured to the United States by the Treaty of 1873, and made at the time was, I have always understood, a *sine qud non* of that Treaty, offer an invaluable fund of wealth and power to our country, one which has never been duly attended to, nor justly appreciated; but which, if continued and improved, was destined to grow with our growth and strengthen with our strength.

strengthen with our strength. "The prosecution of these coast and bay fisheries, although it had already become extremely advantageous, had undoubtedly reached, in a very small degree, the extension and importance it was capable of attaining. The unsettled state of the commercial world for the past twenty years, and the more alluring objects of mercantile enterprise which such a state of things evolved, seemed, in point of immediate consideration and attention, to throw these fisheries into the background; but still, until first checked by the system of embargoes and restrictions, and finally stopped by a declaration of war, they were silently, but rapidly, progressing, and reaching an importance which, though generally unknown to our country and its statesmen, had become highly alarming to the Governments and more wealthy merchants of the provinces, and was beginning to attract the attention and jealousy of the Cabinet of Great Britain towards them.

"The shores, the creeks, the inlets, of the Bay of Fundy, the Bay of Chaleurs, and the Gulf of St. Lawrence, the Straits of Belleisle, and the coast of Labrador, appear to have been designed by the God of Nature as the great ovarium of fish—the inexhaustible repository of this species of food, not only for the supply of the American, but also of the European continent. At the proper season, to catch them in endless abundance, little more effort is needed than to bait the hook and pull the line, and occasionally even this is not necessary. In clear weather, near the shores, myriads are visible, and the strand is at times almost literally paved with them.

"All this was gradually making itself known to the enterprise and vigilance of the New England fishermen, and for a few seasons prior to the year 1808, the resort to this employment had become an object of attention from the Thames at New London, to the Schoodic; and boats and vessels of a small as well as a larger size, were flocking to it from all the intermediate parts of the United States. In the fishing season, at the best places for catching the cod, the New England fishermen, I am told, on a Sunday, swarmed like flies upon the shores, and that in some of these years, it probably would not make an over-estimate to rate the number of vessels employed in this fishery, belonging to the United States, at from 1,500 to 2,000 sail, reckoning a vessel for each trip or voyage, and including the larger boat fishery, and the number, if the fisherics were continued, would shortly be still further and very greatly extended.

"The nursery for seamen, the consequent increase of power, the mine of wealth, the accumulation of capital (for it has been justly observed, that he who draws a codfish from the sea, gives a piece of silver to his country), the effect upon the trade and custom of Great Britain, and the corresponding advantages to the United States, of which the enlargement of such an intercourse was susceptible (for the stock of fish appears inexhaustible), you are much better able to conceive them than I am to describe; but I with pleasure point them anew for your consideration, as on many accounts presenting one of the most interesting public objects to which it can be directed."

At page 199 the following language is used:-

"Be the opinion of Mr. Russell what it may—the portion of the fisheries to which we are entitled, even within the British Territorial jurisdiction, is of great importance to this union. To New England it is among the most valuable of earthly possessions."

Now, in the course of his argument, Mr. Foster put the question as if it turned distinctly upon who paid the duty, the producer or the consumer. Whether that be absolutely necessary, for the purpose of determining this case in favour of Great Britain or not, is not for me to say. That is a question of political economy with which I am neither desirous, nor probably capable, of dealing. But I am not afraid to let our case turn upon that question. I think I shall show you by evidence of witnesses and by figures, that in every instance in this case the duty is paid by the consumer. I am speaking more particularly of the mackerel; I shall conclusively show that in the year when the Reciprocity Treaty was in force, the price of mackerel fell off; that immediately after the Reciprocity Treaty terminated, the price of mackerel rose in the American market. I shall show that immediately after that state of affairs was terminated by the Treaty of Washington the price of mackerel again fell off, and we say that these facts establish at once that the consumer must have paid the duty. Our witnesses have, one and all, or nearly all, testified that in their judgment the consumer paid the duty. In answer to the question put by the learned counsel associated with me and myself, "Would you rather have the Americans excluded from your fisheries and pay the duty?" they have said "Yes." While I am upon this subject I will remark, although I will not have time to turn attention to the document itself, that Mr. Foster, or, at all events, one of the learned counsel for the United States, read in his speech a communication from the Hon. Peter Mitchell, then Minister of Marine and Fisheries, for the purpose of showing that the repeal of the Reciprocity Treaty would be ruinous to our fishermen. Now upon reference 3 L[280]