

lameness, where it is necessary to urge the patient to a sharp trot before any deviation from the normal gait can be noticed.

WHIP.

## STOCK

(Contributions invited. Discussions welcomed.)

### Breed Registration.

The following article, taken from a recent issue of the London *Live Stock Journal*, will be of interest to breeders in Canada at the present juncture:

Nowadays, when every recognized breed of horses, cattle, sheep, pigs in the United Kingdom possesses its stud, herd or flock book, and when the offshoots of these, in many cases, in foreign countries exceed in size the parent volumes, it is difficult to realize that at one time no such records existed. One might almost speak of the romance of breed registration, for the difficulties that were encountered and surmounted by the early promoters were numerous and formidable. It was many years after the General Studbook was originally issued, in 1793, before it had any companions or imitators, and the recent multiplication of breed registers, which have increased within the last few years with astonishing rapidity, makes it almost impossible to appreciate the trouble that beset the pioneers of the work.

There was, at the outset, no general recognition of the need of pedigree records, or any idea, except on the part of a few, that they would serve a useful purpose. Some of the great breeders of early times were resolutely opposed to the publication of the pedigrees of their stock, because they considered that the particulars required were of the nature of trade secrets that ought not to be divulged. Most people will remember the indignant reply of a very eminent breeder when he was asked for the pedigree of an animal he had sold: "Tell him that he was bred by So-and-So; that is pedigree enough for anybody." In the absence of hearty co-operation, it is wonderful that the work proceeded at all. In a number of cases the withholding of information by some prominent breeders undoubtedly caused the initial volumes to be less complete and accurate than they might otherwise have been.

In several instances the idea of having a breed record occurred to one individual, who set about collecting materials, and then, when the stage of publication had been reached, the difficulty of cost arose, and time after time the work was rescued from its difficulties by the munificence and public spirit of some enthusiasts gifted with the means as well as the will. How much is due both to the individuals who started and pushed the project, and to those who did not hesitate to risk loss by financing the undertaking, it would be difficult to calculate. They certainly deserve far more credit for what they did than they have ever yet received.

It is interesting to speculate as to the inspiring motives of those who first originated the idea of breed registers. Probably the enterprise was not begun with a single object, but with several. There is no doubt as to one purpose which was entertained by the first editor and compiler of the oldest Studbook in existence—that for Thoroughbred horses, and still entitled "The General Studbook." The author expressly stated in the preface (which, however, did not appear in the first edition, published in 1793, or in the second, published in 1803, but only in that of 1808) that, "with a view to correct the then increasing evil of false and inaccurate pedigrees, he was, in the year 1791, prevailed upon to publish 'An Introduction to the General Studbook.'" That was clearly his object in the collection of the pedigrees, and it is a object which is served by this and all subsequent registers. But the author of the General Studbook further claimed that his volume "contained, in the most concise and most approved form, a greater mass of authentic information respecting the pedigrees of horses than had ever before been collected together." That, it is believed, more accurately expresses the aim of most of the compilers; the wish was to give correct information. The art of breeding was no longer to be one of mystery, but was to be carried out in the full light of day, with all available information accurately printed for the use of

breeders and others alike. Moreover, the publication of pedigrees enabled breeders to know what was being done outside their own herds, studs or flocks. The pedigrees showed clearly how, by the use of certain ingredients, a high-class animal had been produced, and their intelligent study is one of the sources of the breeder's power. It was seen that the use of such a sire or dam, the union of certain strains, produced certain results, and what has once been done could be repeated on the same lines. The breed registers thus became of the greatest and most practical assistance in carrying on operations upon intelligible principles.

Of course, too, careful registration tended to the preservation of the purity of breeds and to the exclusion of alien strains. Probably this is the most valuable influence of all, and has done much to maintain distinctive breeds, and to enforce improvements within the lines of the breed, instead of relying more upon the introduction of outside blood. Although the records of human genealogy, in the form of peerages and lists of titled personages, doubtless supplied a hint in the institution of live-stock registers, yet it cannot be said that much has been done in this direction. Every animal, indeed, if it be of ascertained pure blood, can secure admission to the breed register, and no cognizance is taken of individual merit. There has been some attempt in America to form an advanced register, based upon merit of performance, but, as a rule, the test is one of blood alone, and not of excellence as well.

It is only natural that the plan of published pedigrees of live stock should have originated in this old-settled country, rather than in those of more recent growth. Curiously enough, however, the newer countries have seized upon the idea and pushed it further than its originators would have dreamt of. Some of these developments are perhaps scarcely advisable, and the building up of registry walls against imported stock in some cases may suggest other views as well as zeal for the purity of the race. One would think, indeed, that the qualification of an animal for entry in a register that is regarded as sufficient in the home of the breed, might reasonably be considered adequate in the land of its adoption. However, that is a matter of domestic arrangement, on which the opinions of outsiders may not, perhaps, be required.

The expansion of some of the breed registers is wonderful. This is seen in the decision of the Shorthorn Society to revert to an earlier plan of issuing the volumes giving the pedigrees of bulls separately from those recording the entries of the cows. Other recent developments have suggested these observations. As would have been noticed from the report of the excellent paper contributed by Mr. Herbert Gibson, of Buenos Ayres, to the International Conference of Sheep-breeders, at Lincoln, he threw out the suggestion that in sheep registration the time had arrived for individualizing the dams as well as the sires of the animals registered. He remarked that the importance of individualizing the dam was beyond debate. "She is the mother of the one, the sire the father of many. To suggest that registration of the sire alone is sufficient individualization of the offspring is to admit a minimum of 50-per-cent. ignorance of origin. There is no technical difficulty in keeping an individual record of dams. The genuine shepherd keeps one in his head. But for the effects of registration—above all, for the

effects of the private registration of a flock, which is the true craft of the breeder—systems, such as ear notches, of numerical value, paint brands, or even collars with numbered tags, present themselves, and are already in use in many parts of the world." It must be said, however, that, whether practicable or not, the individual registration of ewes in large flocks would entail a great amount of labor, and with a flock registered, and all its proceedings open to the public gaze, there does not seem to be sufficient cause for adding so much to the work of the breeder. In Canada, a new regulation as to the registration of a leading breed of imported horses may cause considerable inconvenience, requiring the registration at the time of export of every animal purchased.

These facts show that the custodians of breed registers in this country have not only to conduct them on lines approved by themselves, but that distant countries may put forward schemes that cannot be dismissed without careful study and that may, if adopted, lead to further elaboration of the system of breed registration. These are among the accompaniments of celebrity, and from this point of view the various suggestions may be welcomed.

### Lard and Pine Tar For Flies.

EDITOR FARMER'S ADVOCATE:

In reply to your correspondent, who writes regarding flies, would say that we have tried practically everything that has been thought of here or that has been recommended elsewhere, and have come to the conclusion that the best, all things considered, is a mixture of ten parts lard and one of pine tar, stirred thoroughly together, and applied with a brush or a bit of cloth to the parts most attacked by the flies. Application has to be made about twice a week. It takes a little time, but not so much time as other applications, for the reason that nearly all other applications have to be made once or twice a day. Some applications, such as fish oil, last quite as long, but are so malodorous as to be quite impossible in a dairy stable. Others, such as kerosene emulsion, require so much preparation and such care in the preparation as to make their use more expensive than the mixture of lard and pine tar, although the materials of which they are prepared are somewhat cheaper. The preparations on the market are usually of such a character as to require very frequent application, and they are also generally speaking very expensive.

Your correspondent is perfectly right when he says that a farmer is likely to lose about \$5 per cow from flies in the season. He is, however, I think, hoping for too much when he expects to be freed from the pest with little or no effort on his part. Every possible remedy known has been tried, but the very nature of the pest is such that it is not likely that it will ever be found possible to get rid of these flies or to combat them, save at considerable cost of time and money.

J. H. GRIDDALE,  
Agriculturist.

Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa.



AGED CLYDESDALE STALLIONS AT BRANDON EXHIBITION.  
Concord, Scottish Fancy, Gartahole, Sturdy Royal.