

SEE THAT THE FAC-SIMILE SIGNATURE OF CHARLES H. WOODRUFF IS ON THE WRAPPER OF EVERY BOTTLE OF GASTORIA

Gastoria is put up in one-size bottles only. It is not sold in bulk. Don't allow anyone to sell you anything else on the plan or promise that it is "just as good" and "will answer every purpose."

See that you get G-A-S-T-O-R-I-A. The fac-simile signature of Charles H. Woodruff is on every wrapper.

the girl's hands and pressed it. Miss Lacey tried to withdraw it, but did not succeed, and burst into tears.

"I have not offended you, I hope?" he anxiously asked.

"Oh, no; you are very kind. It was because I appreciate your goodness."

The sight of a beautiful woman weeping will upset any man who is not a brute.

"Let me sympathize with you. Allow me to tell you—ah—that I love you—and—and."

She did not repel him, and blushes began to chase away her grief. He clasped her in his arms, and they understood each other.

The next day he announced the engagement, and there was a great hubbub. Miss Thoroughwheat and her father were so angry that they did not attempt to conceal their disapproval, and every disappointed maiden who had angled for the attentions of the agreeable rector supported them. But most of the men in the parish and many of the married women took up the cudgels in defence of Mr. Wright.

Elbert Woodruff, the youngest vestryman, understood the situation, and under the imperious methods of old Thoroughwheat, had the courage to sustain the rector boldly, and placing himself at the head of the clergyman's adherents.

In the midst of the commotion Mr. Wright sought the presence of the Bishop of the diocese and to him poured forth his troubles with disingenuous eloquence. Bishop Fox listened attentively. He was both a shrewd and a kind-hearted man, and he knew that the rector told the truth.

"My dear boy," he said, "I understand it all. The experience is new to you, but not new to our profession. Shakespear understood it when he said, 'Hell hath no fury like a woman scorned.' If one woman's rage could call forth so strong a comment from the master reader of human nature, what would he have said had he contemplated the fate of a young clergyman who gets into trouble with many women?"

"Yes; but I did not scorn them, I treated them all politely."

"True, but they do not so regard it. All women are not angels, like your future wife. The wiles and pettiness of some feminine hearts are great. I am sorry to say. Remember that the great Jonathan Edwards said—"

"What did he say?"

"Why, he even advised a suitor for the hand of his daughter who was had tempered not to marry her. 'There are some persons with whom the grace of God abides that you can't,'" remarked Mr. Wright, admiringly.

"Now, my boy, there is but one sensible thing to do. You must resign your charge immediately and marry the lady of your choice. I will then transfer you to another parish, and, as a married man, you will have a more pleasant experience in your next field of labor."

Miss Thoroughwheat remained permanently single, and Elbert Woodruff opposed old Thoroughwheat successfully, depriving him of the support of the majority and greatly weakening his dictatorial power.—J. A. Bolles in the Salt Lake Herald.

A Mother's Story of Her Little Girl Cured of Croup.

Having tried your medicine my faith is very high in its powers of curing Cough and Croup. My little girl has been subject to the Croup for a long time, and I found nothing to cure it until I gave Dr. Chase's Linseed and Turpentine, which I cannot speak too highly of.

MRS. F. W. BOND, 20 Macdonald Street, Barrie, Ont.

DR. FAY'S ASTHMALIN CURES Gives a Night's Sleep—Cures up all night snoring—Cures up all night snoring—Cures up all night snoring.

ASTHMA On receipt of name and Dr. O. T. West Bros. Med. Co., 188 West Adelaide Street, Toronto, Ontario.

CONSUL'S REPORT

What Consul Smith Had to Say to His Government About the Gold Fields.

Full Text of the Report at Which the Tacoma Ledger Took Offence.

Following is the report of Hon. Mr. Smith, U. S. Consul, to the department at Washington, on the Yukon Gold Fields, to which the Times referred editorially last evening, and which the Tacoma Ledger has seen fit to criticize severely.

Victoria is directly on the route to the gold regions, and the news from the Northwest is generally taken from the steamers as they pass this port and telegraphed all over the continent. As Victoria is the gateway of the Northwest, the people of Victoria are aroused as never before and determined to leave no effort undone to secure for this city its share of the travel already headed this way, and to loosen on the Yukon, it is concluded, that last year, Seattle managed to grasp at least two-thirds of the outfitting trade, but this year Victoria merchants have spared no effort to win their share of the coming avalanche.

All the advices that reach here indicate that the rush in 1849 to California, and later, to South Africa, will be eclipsed by the rush of gold-seekers headed for the Yukon in the early months of 1898. There, by purchase or lease, secured ocean steamers sufficient to make daily lines between this port and St. Michael, Dyea, Skagway, Fort Wrangel, etc.

Even beyond the sealing question, the people of British Columbia are interested in this trade, and it was in response to their demands that Hon. Clifford Sifton, the Canadian minister of the interior, recently made a trip to the Northwest.

On his return he addressed a meeting of the representative citizens of Victoria.

The desire is to get the Canadian government to open an all-Canadian route to the gold fields and to enact such tariff laws as will make it to the interest of our citizens to purchase their outfitting goods in Canada.

Mr. Sifton, naturally desirous of pleasing his audience, declared that the boundary line between Alaska and British Columbia, when rightly settled, would include some important posts now in the hands of the United States, and gave an outline of the plans now in progress to get an all-Canadian route, via the Stickeen river, and the railway projects connected therewith, his remarks being received with loud applause by the audience.

In the course of his remarks, Mr. Sifton intimated that the 100-pounds exemption, now allowed by the Canadian government to the miners, would be abrogated by the 1st of January, and that, probably, levying not only on Canada, but on the United States.

The following extra provincial companies have registered their head offices in British Columbia:

Anglo British Columbia Packing Co., of London, head office for British Columbia, Vancouver; B. C. Exploration Syndicate Ltd., head office for British Columbia, Vancouver; British Kootenay Exploring Syndicate, of London, head office for British Columbia, at Nelson; Canada Drug & Book Co., of Regina, head office for British Columbia, at Kelowna; Carlisle Canning Co., of England, head office for British Columbia at Victoria; Confederation Life Association, of Toronto, head office for British Columbia at Vancouver; Crow's Nest Pass Co., of London, head office for British Columbia at Coal Creek, East Kootenay; Eri Syndicate, Ltd., of London, England, head office for British Columbia at Victoria; Gold Fields of B. C., of England, head office for British Columbia at Vancouver; Great West Life Assurance Co., of Winnipeg, head office for British Columbia at Victoria; International Navigation & Trading Co., Ltd., of Calgary, head office for British Columbia at Kaslo; Kootenay Ore Co., Ltd., of London, head office for British Columbia at Kaslo; London & Vancouver Finance & Development Co., of England, head office for British Columbia at Vancouver; Midway Co., Ltd., of Montreal, head office for British Columbia at Midway.

The following extra provincial companies have registered their head offices in British Columbia:

Bean Pot Gold Mining Company, of Vancouver, head office at Coal Creek; Beaver Gold Mining Company, of Spokane, provincial head office at Osoyoos; Briggs-Phillips Mining Company, of Spokane, head office in British Columbia at South Fork Kaslo Creek; Delaware Mining & Milling Company, of Spokane, head office for British Columbia at Rossland; Elkhorn Silver Mining Company, Ltd., of Spokane, head office for British Columbia at Sandon; Rossland-Red Mountain Gold Mining Company, of Spokane, head office for British Columbia at Rossland; Silver King Gold Mining Company, of Spokane, head office for British Columbia at Boundary Falls; Slocan-Liberty Hill Mining Company, of Spokane, head office for British Columbia at South Fork Kaslo Creek; St. Kevorine Mining Company, of Spokane, head office for British Columbia at Sandon; Trust Mining Company, of Spokane, head office for British Columbia at South Fork Kaslo Creek.

Having met several who have spent some time in the newly discovered gold fields, perhaps it might be well to give a synopsis of the views given by two of the most prominent and intelligent men on Vancouver Island. A prominent citizen of Nanaimo, who spent some months on the ground, has given a most intelligent statement of the condition of the country. He says that the Klondike region is covered with a snow most of the year. During the months of July and August, however, it is quite hot, the thermometer showing 85 degrees to 90 degrees, and then men must wear protectors for the face and hands to keep off the sun's rays. The sun is very strong, though so hot, the sun did not thaw the ground, which is protected by a thick moss under the snow, which neutralizes the sun's rays. This moss is now cut off with the shovel. The miner then builds a fire, thaws out the ground for two or three inches and digs, and so on, by relays. Every foot of the ground has to be thawed out in this way. The ground is frozen 35 feet, down to bedrock, and it is impossible to dig or work a pick therein.

A well known citizen of Victoria, who obtained \$130,000, in addition to an interest in some fifteen rich claims, as a result of two years' labor in the Northwest, says that, contrary to the general impression, the best time for mining is in the winter, as then the surface water does not inconvenience the miner. The large and paying mines are all worked in the winter and the wash-up is in the spring and summer. However, in the hot weather gold can be dug out of the bars and banks of the various rivers and creeks by a poor man, to enable him to purchase a good claim in the fall. In the Canadian gold region the land is extremely flat, and the best way to work it, except the river bar claims, is to dig a hole six feet long by four feet wide, or thereabouts, and build a hot fire to thaw the ground, so as to be able to shovel it out. In the summer the sun has sufficient heat to thaw the sides of the hole, but there being no outside, the water runs down the hole and extinguishes the fire, thus stopping the

THE FACTS ABOUT THE AWARD

Mr. E. V. Bodwell on the Colonist's Astonishing View of the Question.

A Statement of the Facts, Showing the Actual Position of the Sealers.

To the Editor:—I notice in this morning's issue of the Colonist, in publishing the statement taken from the schedule to the award of the Behring Sea Commissioners, it is said:

"They (speaking of the figures) bear out the statement published in the Colonist's despatch from Washington, that the award has been based on the actual damages established, with interest added, and without taking into calculation the prospective catches. Such, however, the sealers through their agents, by the seizure of the opportunity of completing the season's hunting, for which they had outfitted."

This is a most inaccurate statement and it pity that it should have appeared in a Victoria paper as, no doubt, it will be reproduced throughout the American press on the Coast as a statement coming from those familiar with the facts, that Great Britain failed on a very material portion of the claim before the commission. Such, however, is very far from being the case. Although we have not yet received the written reasons of the commissioners, which will no doubt be published later, the figures themselves show clearly, that in every instance in which it was claimed compensation for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

THE FACTS ABOUT THE AWARD

Mr. E. V. Bodwell on the Colonist's Astonishing View of the Question.

A Statement of the Facts, Showing the Actual Position of the Sealers.

To the Editor:—I notice in this morning's issue of the Colonist, in publishing the statement taken from the schedule to the award of the Behring Sea Commissioners, it is said:

"They (speaking of the figures) bear out the statement published in the Colonist's despatch from Washington, that the award has been based on the actual damages established, with interest added, and without taking into calculation the prospective catches. Such, however, the sealers through their agents, by the seizure of the opportunity of completing the season's hunting, for which they had outfitted."

This is a most inaccurate statement and it pity that it should have appeared in a Victoria paper as, no doubt, it will be reproduced throughout the American press on the Coast as a statement coming from those familiar with the facts, that Great Britain failed on a very material portion of the claim before the commission. Such, however, is very far from being the case. Although we have not yet received the written reasons of the commissioners, which will no doubt be published later, the figures themselves show clearly, that in every instance in which it was claimed compensation for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

THE FACTS ABOUT THE AWARD

Mr. E. V. Bodwell on the Colonist's Astonishing View of the Question.

A Statement of the Facts, Showing the Actual Position of the Sealers.

To the Editor:—I notice in this morning's issue of the Colonist, in publishing the statement taken from the schedule to the award of the Behring Sea Commissioners, it is said:

"They (speaking of the figures) bear out the statement published in the Colonist's despatch from Washington, that the award has been based on the actual damages established, with interest added, and without taking into calculation the prospective catches. Such, however, the sealers through their agents, by the seizure of the opportunity of completing the season's hunting, for which they had outfitted."

This is a most inaccurate statement and it pity that it should have appeared in a Victoria paper as, no doubt, it will be reproduced throughout the American press on the Coast as a statement coming from those familiar with the facts, that Great Britain failed on a very material portion of the claim before the commission. Such, however, is very far from being the case. Although we have not yet received the written reasons of the commissioners, which will no doubt be published later, the figures themselves show clearly, that in every instance in which it was claimed compensation for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.

The claims for illegal boarding and seizure were not in any case looked upon favorably by the commissioners during the argument, and they have doubtless decided that the terms of the convention limited them to a consideration of the actual loss occasioned by the acts of the United States.

It is very doubtful if the sealers were compensated for loss of prospective catch, in fact it is almost a certainty that the whole of the damages are given under that head, except perhaps, \$550 for costs and expenses.