nothing of its contents, I certainly could as "an honest man," and a sensible christian man accept such a statement as absolving the Judge from the intentional publication of slander against me without at all sacrificing my "recollection and conviction of the real facts" connected with the actual delivery of the letter. And a little reflection cannot fail to show you this. I might fairly complain of the language and spirit of your letter, for I am sure they are quite unworthy of you. My motives have certainly been obvious enough and my doings plain enough had you allowed yourself to look at them simply.

Truly yours.

E. M. SAUNDERS.

J. *. ****** Esq.

(To the above there was no reply.)

HALIFAX, Feb. 2nd, 1871.

DEAR SIR,—I have a letter of yours in which you say that the "Pryor case" was the grand cause of the expulsion of Mr Rand from the office of Superintendent of Education and the appointment of Mr. Hunt in his place.

As Judge Johnston professes to have received from you some papers on this subject, I may say that if you have furnished any such papers, I shall regard myself at liberty to use your letter should it be required.

Truly yours,

E. M. SAUNDERS.

Rev. G. D. Cox.

6.

HILLSBURGH, Feb. 6th, 1871.

To REV. E. M. SAUNDERS.

Dear Sir,—I received a letter from you last week of a strange nature, I must confess.

You say in it "that you have a letter of mine in possession, in which I say that the "Pryor case" was the grand cause of the expulsion of Mr. Rand from the office of Superintendent of Educa-

tion, and the appointment of Mr. Hunt in his place."

I suppose you have a letter of mine in your possession, dated Feb. 14th, 1870, in which I say, "Perhaps some of us who are acquainted with that unhappy affair (I refer to the Pryor case) can form some idea of the cause of all this; this I have no doubt in my own mind is the grand cause." I saved a copy of the letter which I now hold in my possession. Is this saying that the "Pryor case" "was the grand cause of the expulsion of Mr. Rand from the office of Superintendent, and the appointment of Mr. Hunt in his place," as you affirm it does? I say it does not. I am suprised at you. Why did you say in your letter to me last winter, "that Mr. Hunt had declared himself in favour of Separate Schools, and that Judge Ishaston was concerned in a plot to have Mr. Rand expelled from the office of Superintendent of Education, in favour of Roman Cataolics?

I would just say that I have not turnished Judge Johnston with any paper, or papers at any time sent to me by you or any other

ing

in h

who

pe

th

ap

in

ap

hir

with som ""
gran in m any alresimpo

to you they with Educ

Rev.

furn