

ABOUT MR. MONK.

Mr. Borden spoke in favor of the resolution, and even suggested to me an amendment, which I accepted. Mr. Monk, the leader of the dissentient Conservatives in this province, did not speak in favor of it, but he told us he was in favor of it, and that he did vote for it. We did not perceive it at the time, but he told us later on that he voted for it by going to bed. That is one way of voting for a proposition, but we will not quarrel with him. If he chooses to manifest his support to a proposition by going to bed, well, all I have to say is every one to his own taste. That is not the way in which I would show my approval, but thank the Lord my views are different from Mr. Monk's views on this question, and on many others.

In any event, Mr. Borden was in favor of the resolution, and Mr. Monk was in favor of it. But, the following year, when we brought in a measure to put that resolution into execution, in the way we thought right as carrying out our duties as British subjects, and our rights as Canadians, why every one was against it. Mr. Borden was against it, Mr. Monk was against it. Mr. Borden and Mr. Monk agreed to destroy. They could not agree to construct. Mr. Monk was against it because we had gone too far—because we should not do anything at all. Mr. Borden was against it because we did not go far enough. Both of them agreed that the law we had put on the statute book should be repealed immediately.

Our Government was defeated, and everybody in this province expected that the law would be repealed. I expected it, in my innocence. I crave pardon from Heaven for giving them too much credit. I thought they would repeal the naval law, seeing that both sides had pledged themselves to its repeal. However, they did not do anything of the kind.

GOVERNMENT SAID "STAND."

The Session was called and day after day we expected a notice on the paper for the repeal of the naval law. Weeks passed, and months passed, but there was no sign of the repeal of the law. One day a young member of the Conservative side of the House put a notice on the paper asking the Government whether it was their intention to repeal the naval law. The question was there for days, weeks, and months, and every