
 Vipin oirgmatances whoh wquld induce a majority of 8 tater ores. voir V divia ajinst he carrying Stutts. See alno the speecter of Mr. Nicholas in that Convention, and the cesteys in the Duderatos, whiten bigM, MCiMon.
Vxtract fiom del in Vtrginte Cohvention-Richmond Ed, p. 224 .
I wiuhot, it fo. till make one more oblervation on what fell from my honotrable riend. Ko ays that the true dirénence setween thes tater lies in this eireturn woo shat some are caryingetates, and others produetive acd that the operation of the net govemment $1 / 11$ be, that there will be a plurality of the former to combline againt the intenert of the huth, and thif. coneequenty it will be dangeron's to put if in their power to do io. I would join with him in sentiment, 1 . this were the cage. Wiere this within the boundr of probability, I, should ba equify elarmed, but I think that thooe States which are contcadtotidsuiblied as carrying states, from the non-impoiting stated; will be bat few 1 suppose the soithern btates will be considered ly all, bs under the latter description. Some other states have been mentioned by an bonourable member on the same side, which are not conidered as carying slates. New-Jermey and Conaecticut can by no meqns he oqumerated arang the carrying sfates. They receive their supplies through New-York. Here then is a plurality of nop Imporing tht 1 could add another ifnecestary. Delaware, though situated upor the water, ts upon the Iist of noth-carring states. I migh say tha great parto New-Hampsh're is so. It thieve a majority of the ople of that state recelve theiv suppltes from Massachusett, Rhodew Mand, and Counecticut. Might I not add all those states which will be imilfelheneafter finto the union? These will be noncarrying stafe, and will suppoot Virginia in case the cariying ntate will attempt to combine against the rebt.

