First then, if the Priſoners had been releaſed on a *Demand*, why did our *M——rs* diſcontinue to *demand the Indemnification* for our Subjects, and *Reparation* for the Inſult on the *Britiſh* Crown, both which they ceaſed to claim after the Releaſe of the Priſoners, tho' two Years expired between that Time and the committing Hoſtilities againſt *France?* Had we not an equal Claim to all, ſince theſe Particulars were founded on equal Juſtice? Had the Subjects been releaſed on a *Demand*, as you aſſert, the Merchandize muſt have been reſtored alſo? Is it unreaſonable then to conclude, ſince neither the *Inſult* has been acknowledged, nor the *Effects reſtored* or *paid for*, that the Priſoners were not ſet at Liberty on a *Demand?* In farther Support of this, I muſt remark, that Lord *Albemarle* received the Letter from theſe Priſoners in *November*, and that his Letter, giving an Account of their Impriſonment, was in *March*, at leaſt three Months after. Was my Lord *Albemarle* all this while ſilent on this Head to his Court? If he was, how will *he* be juſtified as an Ambaſſador in his Duty towards the Subjects of *England?* or the *M——rs* juſtified in the Choice of ſo negligent a Man? But I am apt to believe, as he ſays, whether he had mentioned it to his Court or not before, that