atter of the if State for entiary: at . Buchanan, hich will be v.-that the Bucharan; the United the treatylon by Lord :. Pakenham project was, and ratified; gotiations in ith him the aking to the i was sent to

ntitled to the of the case, al persistency, like sources ents. In the In the end contended in

tween the two t forward an sty's Principal

r opinion, it wa

satisfactory W igation of th

under this hea

ree which may nur die gerind

Chronological Li Foreign Affairs ies of State of dum relative to t cussion.

Stales' Secretary

as also marstanden angenommen werden '). This rule of Helfter fits the present case so aptly, that it as hade for it. There being degrees in the departure from the parallel of 429, it must be taken that "smallest degree was conceded."

3

The rule eited from Dr. Heffter's work does not touch the present case. This is not grase of a party making a concession in derogation of a clear and admitted right. It mecase of one concession set off against another ; of a give-and-take arrangement.*

9. The preamble of the Treaty is express on this point. The two Powers (it says)-

bening it desirable for the future welfare of both countries that the, rate of doubt and uncertainty his hitherto prevailed respecting the sovereignty and government of the territory on the Northest of America, lying westward of the Rocky or Stony Mountains, should be finally terminated semicide compromise of the rights mutually asserted by the two parties over the said territory, enspectively named Plenipotentiaries to treat and agree concerning the terms of such settlement.

II.

10. Closely connected in character with the arguments of Mr. Bancroft under the g head, and equally inconclusive, as Her Majesty's Government submit, are his ments under the second.

11. Mr. Bancroft alleges in effect that the intention of the Contracting Parties was by to avoid cutting off the end of Vancouver's Island, and he infers that the line is be strictly so drawn as to effect this object, and no more. Her Majesty's Government pute both the allegation and the inference.

12. There is no evidence that the prevention of the severance of Vancouver's Island the sole object of the arrangement. There is nothing to support the allegation, her in the preamble of the Treaty, or in the Article describing the boundary ; nor can it satained on the ground of anything contained in any of the contemporaneous doennt exchanged between the Contracting Parties. It is true that the severance of monver's Island by a boundary line drawn continuously on the 49th parallel was estient objection raised on the part of Her Majesty's Government to the United test proposal for continuing the boundary on that parallel from the Rocky Mountains the Pacific. That proposal disregarded the physical conditions of the tract through the the line would run. It is true also that a deflection of the line so as not to and Vancouver the line would run. It is true also that a deflection of the line so as not to and Vancouver's Island was made in effect a condition, sine gud non, on the part of Her y to that Transferry. It may even be admitted that the prevention of this severance was the and 54 40 the the for Article I of the Treaty. The nature of the motive is not necessarily a usure of the scope of the stipulation.

13. It is plain on the face of the Article that the Contracting Parties had further d not adopted by reasoning on the face of the stipulation had been to keep Vancouver's of States; while along a very simple provision would have sufficed. It would have been enough to adone, a very simple provision would have sufficed. It would have been enough to the whole of Vancouver's Island shall belong to Her Britannic Majesty. The he Treaty, he charter is a against the United States, the whole territorial sovereignty and property admission has rand in all land and sea adjacent to the island, on its eastern and southern sides, not (it is plain by within the mid-channel line (wherever drawn) although being burged to be e within the mid-channel line (wherever drawn), although lying beyond the ordinary sation throughout the whole extent of the boundary channel and of the Straits of . These two provisions in combination effect what was plainly one of Lord Aberdeen's tobjects in the arrangement, namely, the preservation to Her Majesty's subjects of unionable and abundant facilities of access to the British coasts and harbours north 19th parallel. Had the boundary line been continued on the 49th parallel to the m, the navigation of the Gulf of Georgia from the southward would have been sealed hitish subjects.

> 14. The Article speaks for itself. The preservation of the unity of Vancouver's Mwas of the essence of the arrangement, but there were collateral arrangements. difference now referred to arbitration presupposes the existence of such arrangements; controversy is as to their extent.

> > * Historical Note, p. vi.