Slander Refuted! "THE CLIQUE" FLOORED.

EXTRACTS PROM MR. JUSTICE MeLEAN'S LETTER, GIVEN WITH HIS PERMISSION.

"A good many years since, when persons were in the habit of obtaining grants of Towa Lots in Cornwall on petitions to the Governor General, your father and William allowed Prouty to make use of their names for the purpose of ob-taining two lots to be conveyed by them, whenever the Patent should be obtained.

I cannot help thinking, that there ought to be no hesitation on the part of William in executing a conveyance ; more especially as I am willing to give him my bond, to indemnify him against all claims of Prouty or his heirs.

CORNWALL, 5th JUNE 1844.

Your esteemed favor of the 16th ult., duly came to hand on the surject of Lot. No. 26, North side of Water street, when I immediately called upor the heir of the late Mr. PROUTY, to know whether he would consent to my bother executhe late Mr. PROOF I, to know whether he would consent to my bether execu-ting a conveyance to you in which case there would of course be to difficulty; but I regret to say that he at present declines giving such consent: but I have no doubt that upon your representing the matter fully to him and his mother, and perhaps giving them something, the consent can still be obtained. If the absence of such consent, I should not wish to advise William to do either on thing or the other. According to your statement he holds the property in trust or the estate of the late Mr. Prouty, and it does appear to me that it would be species of breach of that trust, to execute a conveyance to you without the coment of the other party, although I have no doubt you are equitably entitled to be property. The moment you get this consent, I will at once cheerfully write to William, to execute the necessary papers, and I have no doubt but this commit can be ob-

Yours truly, Hon. MR. JUSTICE MCLEAN.

MY DEAR SIR,---

DEAR SIR .---

ROLLAND McDONALD.

CORNWALL, 14th JUNE, 1844.

'I regret to say that Mr. Chesley in his too eager desire to damage me in the public estimation, is involving you in a charge of having wished to kep Mr. Prou-ty in ignorance of the transactions relating to the town Lots, a supposition which I of course, could never have fancied, and which your well known haracter for straightforwardness most emphatically forbids. For my part I can aly say that as I have nothing to conceal in regard to my share in this matter, have to request that you will be kind enough to send me a copy of my letter t you on the subject, in order that I may be enabled to publish the whole correspondence, should I consider such a course hereafter necessary, it being no parlof my character to submit quietly to any imputations upon my conduct, no natter from what quarter they may proceed. As you may not have kept a cop of your letter to me, I shall in return forward you a copy of it, if desired.

Yours truly, HOR. MR. JUSTICE MCLEAN. (Signed.) ROLLAND McFONALD.

> ----CORNWALL, 29th JUL1, 1844.

MY DEAR SIR,-

I was much disappointed in not seeing you down as was expected being very anxious to have a conversation with you upon certain matters which I have reason to believe have not been properly represented to you. In the mean time what I beg of you as a mere act of common justice, is to suspend your judge-ment until we do see each other. I saw Prouty the other day, as he tells me that he is ready to give his consent to William, decling to you to the another you shew him matters to be as you state them, and I now repeat what I stated in the him matters to be as you state them, and I now repeat what I stated in the him matters to be as you state them. my former letter, that I have no doubt but that the moment you see him and explain everythin consent required. I whatever in the affair. Yours truly Hon. MR. JUSTICE MCLEAN. ROLLAND McDO ALD.

This is as near as I can recollect what passed in your office, only you went on to remark, you had no hope of Mr. Prouty's upport in the forthcoming election, yet, still, 'you said you were as anxious to do im justice, as you were the Judge; and you wished me to endeavor to convince Mr. Prouty you had acted straight forward in the matter; for you said, you had not concealed any thing relating to this circumstance, but you wished every person to know the manner, you had acted. You then proposed to see Mr. Prouty yourself, and also requested I would be present, and you would again state the whole affair, which I think took place the next day. You then recapitulated what you had previously said to me on the be present, and you would again state the whole affair, which I think took place the next day. You then recapitulated what you had previously said to me on the your answer to it, which Mr. Prouty acknowledged you had shewn him before the story to be the same as you had stated to him on a former occasion, it being then made some farther remarks, and said if Judge Mt Lean had paid the motor duce his account? You half the lot, but Mr. Prouty said, why does he not pro-sence, but appeared to wish to have the matter fully investigated, and augested the idea of calling Mr. Adams to hear, as well as myself, but his business pre-tender. Thus far, I have related as hear as I can, without favor to the one plinty, pr malice to the other—for I never promised you my own support, nor ever asked

Now Sir, what I have related, I trust will satisfy any persons or parties con-cerned, that I have unbiassed, and impartially related the affair as occurred in my sending.

I remain Sir, your most obed't serv't. JOHN RIDLPY.

Province of Canada.) ALEXANDER McCRACKEN, of the Town b Eastern District, to wit : & Cornwall, in the Eastern District, Butcher, maketh oath, and saith, that in conversation with this deponent, Rolland McDonald, Esquire, warned him, (as he knew that deponent felt a lively interest for his success in his canvass for the Town of Cornwall,) against allowing his feelings to carry him too far when canvassing in his favor, and that the said McDonald told him, that if a single vote was corruptly obtained, it would avoid his Election, no matter what his majority might be.

ALEX. MCCRACKEN. Sworn before me at Cornwall, this) 7th day of September. 1844 .-. " Two words first expunged."

GEO. MACDONELL, Com'r in B. R. F. Dis't.

Personally appeared before me PIERRIPOINT EDWARD ADAMS of Cornwall in the Eastern Province of Canada, Eastern District, To Wit. District, Baker, who being duly sworn deposeth and saith that he was present in his Grocery on Friday last when Mir. McDonald went into the Bake-shop to speak to Mr. Prouty, and also when he came out ;-that Mr. McDonald spoke to him on both occasions, and that he, (this deponent observed no trace whatever of his having been "CRYING," neither did he observe anything unusual in his appearance ; that the Bake-shop and Back room are tolerably frequented, and that his brother Julius Adams and himself as well as others were and are in the constant habit of going backwards and brwards of you my first let through them. That at the time above stated, his said Brother was in the Bore. my backer to even shop and about it as deponent believes, and that he went on the 3rd instart to Champlain. That several times during the last three months the McDonald desired deponent, as deponent was friendly to him, to use his infunce with the said Prouty in his favour, and induce him to support the said McDaald if possible. Sworn before me at Cornwall this ? P. E. ADAMS 5th day of September 1844. JOHN WALKER, a com. for taking Affidavits in Queens Court, E. D.

This is as near as I can recollect what pased in your office, only you went on the lists he saw when the name of Fayett Prouty occurred, it was

-	Sworn before me at Cornwall this 6th day of September, 1844.	GEO. McDONELL
1	JOHN WALTER & com for tabian after	Insite Owners Beach, F. D.

Thus far, I have related as hear as I can, without layor to the one perty, or malice to the other-for I never promised you my own support, nor ever asked Mr. Prouty to give you his, although it has been reported that I was privy to your making an offer to Mr. Prouty of obtaining this lot in question, on condition, he should give you his vote-but this is very false; for not in my presence alone, or with Mr. Prouty, was such a thing hinted at by either of you. When the but the provided to the said Prouty as he also alterwards acknowledged to Mr. Ridley.-I admit that I did ask Prouty for his support; but never in consideration of get-ting him the lot. Some time after this I understood from Alexander McLean Sec. that Prouty had stated that I had offered to procure him the lot in return for his vote--when I at first relived to believe it possible and then added, that if he had done so, he was a liar and a scoundral. I then saw Prouty, and instead of the weaking my for calling him a liar and a scoundral. I then saw Prouty, and instead of his attacking me for calling him a liar and a scoundral, I asked him if he had presence, with the exception of your second letter to Judge McLean, a copy of J I should go down to Mr. McLeans,-not to ascertain what I had said of him, but that he might satisfy me, that he had not made the statement which he has since sworn to, as it appears. He also stated at the Fame time when I asked him what he said to Mr. Chesley, that there was nothing in his letter to the judge, that he had stated, that could injure me what ver. I further swear, that what Prouty states about having "done his duty in calling, and that I should now call for him" is true, except as to the variety of excuses said to have been made ;--but he ought to have added, that I did call upon him when he was not ready to go down; and I now swear, that I called upon him two or three times besides, and he did not happen to be in. I further swear, that on the Friday spoken of, I called again on Mr. Prouvy, and told him the judge was now down; and that as I was going to call on him, I wished hita to go with me and have the matter fi-nally arranged. He replied that he could for go just then. I then remonstrated with the said Prouty about the story he had raised, and told him that if he had really understood me in that manner he had mis-understood me. I did not desire him to say he had misunderstood me, but I wished to convince him that he had. I further swear, that I did not admit that I had offered him the lot and that instead of using the absurd expression about the consequence of the matter coming to the judges cars, I told said Prouty by way of convincing him, that he was mistaken, that if I should get a single vote inaproperly, it would destroy my election no matter what my majority might be ; that the story about my " crying" is absolutely FALSE, that Mrs. Coit came into the room during the conversation as she states ; as did also Julius Adams three or four times, and during the rest of the time he was either in the next room or about the door ;-- that as to the offer of the £50 er any other sum, the statement is UTTERLY, WHOLLY, AND WILLFUL-LY FALSE AND UNTRUE IN EVERY RESPECT. Mr. Prouty could not be mistaken on that point. That the above account contains the truth and the whole truth, and that any hing contained in said affidavit of Prouty, contrary thereto is false and untrue. I further swear, that afterwards on the same day, I called upde judge McLean in company with my Father, and upon my Father broached the subject of his having been called upon some time ago by Mr. Prouty to give up the lot he had got a patent for. I told the judge, after asking him for a copy of my first letter to him, that I had seen Prouty that day, that I thought he my brother to execute a deed to him upon his giving the bond of indemnity, which

my stateme the Jadge, that if he still withheld it, I would write my brother to executedeed, on his spring the bond of indemnity, and also when he proves hit of so grasping a disposition, as to desire to deprive my father of the other lot, ugh he has paid a large sum for it—sec his Affidavit.—Then, tak-ing the cast tated to Mr. Pelan, how would it stand ? why, in addition to the argumentady addressed (accentic last) the sec would be the following the following the cast tate of the sec her would be the following the following the sec her would be the sec her would be the following the sec her would be the sec her would be the following the sec her would be the sec her woul if I had all bought him at so great a price ? Again, if the corrupt agreement had been ed into, and he was mine by deed of bargain and sale, why would I not have ad him on my list of voters? (see Mr. George Macdonell's outh:) and why a I have told Mr. Ridley at once, that I did not expect his support ? and above why did I not write to my brother to convey the lot to Prouty, whereas, ver wrote to him at all on the subject, because I was waiting till the Judge down, and the matter was finally arranged. We now come to the offer of 50; upon this point, the direct evidence is not so positive, (he-cause is one case out of a thousand, that a man can disprove, except by his own othat another swears occurred when no others were present,) but the arguing ainst it are still more strong and conclusive. If it is already sa-tisfactorily sd, and I think no man can doubt it, that I never offered to procure him the or its value for his vote, then what reason could I have had or offering that sum to deny what I never said? Again, if I had offered him the lot, wo, knowing he had blabbled my former offer, he such a fool as to put myself arther in his power, and enable him to tell more ? Again, think ou if I have so, he would have refused £50, merely to hold his tongue ? I equently in court three days for half the sum, and yet he would have it inferred tharefused to be silent for the whole amount,--as I stated above, the particularith which the affidavit is drawn, gives it a great appearance of truth, and his very desire to stamp it with the air of truth, enables me to prove its utilsity, I allude to the words "here Mr. McDonald cried," these vords, I heason to believe were one of the last " finishes," and were intended no dou "finish" me, but they will prove my deliverance It is proved by the affid of Mr. Adams and Mrs. Coit and the reference in my affidavit. o Julius As that the room in which we were, was tolerably public, was this then a likelace for me in which to get up the "tragic" drama, and if I did so why do lose witnesses corroberate his statement instead of showing its falsity ; who he not get the affidavit of Julius Adams who was going to the States and fore could not be said to stand in fear of me. This shows the danger of to to prove too much, there was no necessity to have stuck this in, but it has bedone and being shown to be false, throws the whole fabric to the ground; fin is it not strange that Mr. Prouty seems to be the only man who has had succegnificent offers made to him, and not only new but on former occasions; in be proved that he stated to Messrs. Murchison and Me-Millan, that Macdonald had offered him so many dollars or pounds to keep out of the r, at the last police election. I need scarrely add that Dr. Me Donald (the hom no man in this community stands higher.) is ready at any moment to this oath to the utter falsity of the charge. Why, by account this man is a perfect paragon of virtue--in fact a Roman !

an incorrupt Roman !-- One would have thought his incorruptibility at the Police Eleo would have deterred me from offering the let, or at all events the £50 to kees mouth shut. Now, then, for a few words on the particularity, which, as At before gave the whole such an air of fact, how very easily and is explained by my affidavit; two or three different words change satisfactoril the sense of whole, and yet these little circumstances themselves are otherwise true a orrect.

Having tas'I trust convinced every candid individual (there are som persons while not wish to be convinced, these of course I do not addres of so to) I shall se proceed to say a word or two to "the Clique." Before of the however, I dot think it would be amiss for me to define my meario know term, as I unrstand some individuals have expressed a great deonly have to whether theyere included in it or not, and then those persons the question in

ask thermal of the description with the and the liknet to town (I do n for a moment mean the great body of my oppdividuals in this who are what and solely under the influence and controul of a cel supporter.)

Province of Canada, ? Before me ALEXANDER BUCHAMN, Esquire District of Monurean. Some of Her Melen ANDER BUCHAMN, Esquife, District of Monurean. Some of Her Melen, of the Town of fornwall, in said District, personally appeared Thomas Pelan, of the Town of fornwall, in the Eastern District, Grocer, who being duly sworn upon the Holy hangelists of Almighty God, depose h and saith, that in two several conversations which he recently had with one Fayett Prouty, of Cornwall, aforesaid, Bair, the said Prouty in giving his version of the report alluded to in the handbill sued by Mr. McDonald, stated to him deponent, twice, that he Prouty, had aged and pro-mised to give his vote to said McDonald, if he would procure for hit Prouty, a nised to give his vote to said McDonaid, if he would produce for his trouty, a certain Town Lot, or its value one hundred pounds; adding that he id not deny having so promised, and when a man would do him a favor, he theght himself hath signed. hath signed.

THOMASPELAN,

Sworn at Montreal, this 7th day) of September, 1844, before me, A. BUCHANAN, J. P.

CORNWALL, 6th SEPTEMBEI 1844.

MR. JOHN RIDLEY.

Sir.-As you were present at the interview between Mr. Pronty and myself, on or about the fourteenth day of June last in Mr. Adams' Bake-hp: will you be kind enough to state in writing what occurred in your presence onnected with the affair now at issue. I send you herewith certified copies of ny letters to Judge McLean to refresh your memory, the first of which I allue to in the a-bove conversation, and the second I shewed you the original of bere reading.--You heard me repeat my story before the interview to yourself, and again to Mr. Tanner, and I wish you to state whether it ever varied, whether before Mr. Prouty, or behind his back. It will of course be understood, that you answer will be published, and write as you would afterwards swear to, if necessary.

Your ob't serv't. ROLLANDMcDOTALD.

CORNWALL, September 1844.

To R. McDONALD. Esq.

I received yours, dated the 6th instant, and regret my interference is become necessary between contending parties ; for it is seldom or ever seen, bat the person-who interposes between persons when they come into collision, be what they get a blow from one or the other; but regardless of this consideration. I will state

to the best of my recollection :---During the time I was employed by Mr. Adams, about the 12th of June last, Mr. Prouty was stating to me something respecting the lot which has proved this bone of contention, and from the tenor of Mr. Prouty's conversation, his appeared suspicious you had not acted with justice towards him. I immediately said in answer, I thought his apprehensions must be groundless, and that I certainly had a different opinion of you ; but by his permission, I would endeavor to probe the circumstance for his satisfaction, to which he consented, and to the best of my recollection, within a day or two, I accidently met you in Mr. Tanner's shop. I then broached the subject in the presence of Mr. Tanner, by asking you if you had not had some correspondence with Judge McLean, respecting a lot at present claimed by Mr. Prouty. You said you had, but how came I to know that i I told you it was by Mr. Prouty's permission I made the enquiry. You inamediately said, I should be put in possession of all the information as far as the circum-stance had progressed—and that I should accompany you to your office, and see Judge McLean's letter on the subject, and your answer to it. I declined going with you, but you repeatedly requested I should; I at length went with you, and you shewed me Judge McLean's letter, and also stated the substance of your an-swer to it, a copy of which you have sent me. You then went on to state the present position of the lot in cursting. and that Judge McLean zers in reconstring. swer to it, a copy of which you have sent me. You then went on to state the present position of the lot in question, and that Judge McLean was in possession of a claim, owing to some advances made to Prouty's father; and that he Judge McLean, wished you to write to your brother, who you said was trustee for Mr. Prouty's father, to make him a deed, and that you had advised Prouty on the sub-ject, but you said that Mr. Prouty's answer was, after some consultation with his mother—they did not consider there was any thing due to the Judge, as he never had produced any account, and therefore, he Mr. Prouty declined giving his con-sent to the deed being executed to the Judge, and that, for the want of that con-sent, you could not proceed to advise your brother to execute the dued. ant, you could not proceed to advise your brother to execute the dued.

Province of Canada.) CHARLOTTE COIT, of Cornwall, in th Fas Eastern District, to wit : 5 tern District, wife of Daniel Coit, maketh oat and saith, that when Mr. McDonald and Mr. Prouty were talking together in the back room of the bake shop, she came into it with a lot of plums in a pr, some of which she gave to Mr. Prouty and Mr. McDonald, who both eat the sume sthat she had a good opportunity of observing the said Mr. McDonald, who had no she had a good opportunity of observing the said bir. McDonaid, which had no traces upon him of having been "crying;" that she then proceeded up stairs, and remained over head, but heard nothing of the kind; that she soon same down again, and had an opportunity of seeing said McDonald, but could not see any traces of crying about him; that she then crossed the street to her own dwelling, and immediately after Mr. McDonald came out of the door, and that she is confident he could not have been crying without her observing it; and had it been the case, she must have observed it, as she took particular notice. That she knows Julius Adams, who left this on Tuesday last for Lake Chanplain ; that he was in the room several times during the conversation, and was in the adjoining room, (the doors being open.) or about the door during the rest of the time

MRS. CHARLOTTE E. COIT.

Sworn before me at Cornwell, in the Eastern District, this 5th day of

September, 1844.

JOHN WALKER, a Commissioner for taking AF's in B. R. L. D.

JAMES CLINT of Cornwall, in the Eastern Province of Conada,

Sworn before me at Cornwall, this ? 7th day of September, 1844.	JAMES CLINT.
JOHN WALKER, a com. for taking	Midavits in Quitens Bench, E.D.

Province of Canada,) JOHN McDONALD, of the Township of Cor Eastern District, to wit : (wall, in the Eastern District, Esquire, maketh oath, and saith, that some time ago, a person came to him when passing Mr. Fulton's, and asked him what claim his son William, himself, and Judge McLean had on two town lots that he named. Deponent asked him who he was ? He replied Prouty. Deponent then stated the claim as well as he could, to which the said Prouty replied, that he would try and recover them, or words to that effect. Whereupon this deponent wrote to Judge McLean on the subject, but had no conversation whatever with his son Rolland. That upon calling on the Judge, with his said son, he alluded to the subject, and the said Rolland McDondd, then followed it up in the manner stated in his affidavit which deponent has read : deponent being then, for the first time, made aware that any correspondence had been going on between the Judge and his said son on the subject.

JOHN MeDONAL). Sworn before me, at Comwall,) this 10th day of September, 1844.

JOHN WALKER, Com. B. R. L. Dist. for taking Affidavits.

Province of Canada, Eastern District, To Wit. Candidate for the representation of the Town of Cornwall until now, be has had constant access to the private list of voters made up by the said Bolland McDon-ald as being for and against him, and that he has during such period presidely looked them over and sometimes suggested corrections—and deponent Griber

bond is now in deponents possession. It was after this that Mr. Prouty became VIOLENT and exasperated, and I have understood, that he has since given the Judge a quit-claim or something of the kind. T'further swear, that I have not written to my brother William on this or any other subject for the last nine months

Sworn before the at Cornivall, this ') ROLLAND McDONALD. 10th September, 1814. JOHN WALKER. Com. B. R., E. D., for taking affidavits.

TO THE INHABITANTS OF THE TOWN OF CORNWALL.

GENTLEMEN.

In my address to you of the 31st ult., I dared my accusers to meet me face to face before you, and I also asked of you, should they decline my offer, to continue to preserve the same opinion of me, that you have heretofore had-they have not dared to appoint a public meeting, but have sneaked behind the battery of Mr. Favett Prouty's affidavit. I might, therefore, at once call upon you for an acquittal, for default of witnesses appearing in Court; and many of my friends have advised me to content myself with the course I have taken, in appealing to the laws of my country for redress, but I do hope that I will be held excused, if I go further, and actually prove my innocence, (although it is always a most difficult, if not impossible task to prove a negative,) and I now solemnly undertake to make the case so clear in the eyes of every unprejudiced individual, that I will not ask for, or expect the support at the coming struggle, of any person whatever who has any doubt left in his mind. Before entering into the matter, however, I think I may indulge in one or two remarks which suggest themselves. It ought certainly to be a subject of encouragement to my friends, that this challenge to a public meeting has been declined by our opponents, after several days serious and anxious deliberation. Does it not shew a consciousness on their part of the weakness of their cause and of their influence ! Do you not think they would have seized with avidity upon the offer, if they had thought they had the remotest chance of a majority, when I stated so emphatically, that I should resign the contest at once, if the verdict of the Town did not cover them with shame and confusion ? Surely this fact ought to speak volumes as to what their own opiconfusion i. Surely this fact ought to speak volumes as to what their own op-nion of the result, really is, and should therefore have its due weight with friends and opponents. Now, then for the Affidavit, this child of many fathers—for it was first "licked into shape" by a certain deep re(a)d member of the profession; it then passed into the hands of the head of the "Clique," whose amiable na-ture no doubt induced him to softeh down its asperities, and finally it got the fin-Province of Conada, Eastern District, To Wir. District, Bookseller, maketh oath and saith that To Wir. District, Bookseller, maketh oath and saith that while in the Observer Office on Wednesday last, the 4th instant, looking over a newspaper, he was desired by Mr. McDonald to look at a certain manuscript which was then being put up and to state whose handwriting it was in. That deponent did accordingly look at it and answered handwriting of SOLOMON Y. CHESLEY Esqr., and further Y. Observey, and that ne is point at the said Manuscript purporting to be an af-davit made by one FAYETT PROUTY, before P. VANKOUGHNET Esqr. was in the handwriting of the said Solomon Y. Chesley. Sworn before me at Cornwall, this ? IAMES CLINT. rity will enable me the more satisfactorily to shew the falsity of the whole. This affidavit, divesting it of extraneous matter, charges firstly, that I offered to procure him the lot, or the value of it, if he would vote for me; leaving it to be inferred. that the offer had been refused : and secondly, that I offered him £50, to say that I had not offered him the lot: how, then, as to the first statement, I shall prove its falsity, by shewing that he gave a different account of the matter at another time, which of itself destroys the credibility of the whole story, see Mr. Pelan's Affidavit, where he swears that Prouty told him twice that I was to give him the lot, or £100, and that he accepted the offer, and agreed to vote for me--this statement Mr. Prouty also made to several other persons. But I do not rest sa-tisfied to rely on this discrepancy alone. I will take the story either way, and still disprove it. 1stly. Then take it as sworn to-look at my letters to Judge McLean, which alone are sufficient, unless it he supposed that I was writing him one thing, and telling Prouty another; but that supposition is destroyed, by my having read to Prouty my answer to the Judge, before it was sent, see Mr. Rid-ley's statement; and by his acknowledging in his presence, that the story was as I stated it, if I had offered him the lot for his vote, then was the time for him to have said so ; indeed nothing can be more clear and satisfactory than this, statement, it is enough without any thing more ; and I should have made no athdavit myself, only that they would say at or ce, that I could not deny on oath what was alleged against me. Again, can any one suppose, that I would have made the offer of the lot, or its value for the vote, when had I been disposed to do wrong, I might have tried to induce my brother to keep the lot, and brought him up to vote on it. The lot was not mine to offer, and as to the value of it, why, I could have done as my opponent is doing, and put up a dozen of houses for the money. Again, is it likely, that I would nivself do the very thing that I had cautioned my friends against, see Mr. McCracken's Affidavit, and by that means lose every chance of Success. Finally, if regard for my own interest and character, would not have prevented me, would not regard for those friends who had so warmly esponsed my cause, and whose friendship I would be thereby rendering myself unworthy of, deter me-and, if all these considerations would not have sufficed, still do you believe Mr. Prouty, when he leaves it to be inferred, that he refused the offer, and that too, when in order to make him give his consent, it required

ourable. The individual as I said is " the head of the Clique," the Ex-Hon. ourable. The individual as I said is "the head of the Clique," the **Ex-Hon-**they are bid, ometimes they grumble a little, but still they mut give in the do as stance, the orthy individual who put the final *finish* to the affidavit bas. frequently tell by his Brother (the last time no later than the last week) the "that fellowwould ruin him yet." "You know" says he "that you will one "that fellowwould ruin him yet." "You know" says he "that you will one pour Electic, and you may blame him for the whole of it!" But what can the poor mo to! If ruined with him he would be sure to be ruined in half the time with the "Chue" or not ? Why every other person in Town except themselves, know who tey are.

" Oh ! that the Gods the gift would gie us, To see ourselves as others see us."

Yes, Genlemen of the Clique, you thought your pop-gun would des-troy me; it will recoil on your own heads-quem deus vult perdere, prius demen-tat; and now let me tell you a few things more, for it is not every day you hear disagreeablerruths. You have made my friends (who never for a moment believed your intements) indignant at your unmanly proceedings; they have rallied round me have than ever; those who before stood aloof, have come forward and told me the would NOW back me, and even some of your own friends are disgusted. They were willing to support you fairly, but I much doubt when they discover the unfairness of this attack, whether they will any longer countenance you. Thee is no man (who is a man) but has an inmate love of fair play; this fact seems never to have entered into your calculations. One word more in your ear,-let me whisper that, your reign is nearly ended-the people are tired of you, and wish for some change even if it should prove for the worse ; the little good you have hely done, the people say you have been forced to do against your will; the sery houses you are putting up and the lots you are dividing the moment they are ptid for, may be reafter constitute votes against you, their occupants know you are building them &c. but they also know that had it not been for my opposition you never would have done so. In your hour of power and pride, you looked down upon those that you thought below you, and now when you are so very polite &c. the reason of the sudden change being well known, you are laugh-ed at behind your backs at the time you fancy you are receiving praises for your condescension. Again signder has always been so peculiarly your weapon that there has hardly ever been a respectable person in the town who has not some time or other suffered from it ;- this attack on me opens their wounds afresh, and, depend upon it, you will reap the Whirlwind.

You have stated that I intended returning to St. Catherines the moment the Election was over ; if I ever had the idea, (which I never had) you have cured Election was over; if I ever had the idea, (which I never had) you have cured me of it the moment you attacked my private character; what was before a moderate desire of my heart, has now become (like the rod of Moses which swallowed up all the other rods) the master passion of my soul, and if I lived swallowed up all the other rods) the master passion of my soul, and if I lived your master pretensions. The following verses of Holy writ I heard read on the Sabbath before the last.

in the House of God. They made a deep impression on me at the time, perhaps they may make as great an impression on some of you now.

"Behold be travaileth with iniquity, and hath conceived mischief and brought forth falscheed." ... "He made a pit and digged it, and is fallen into the Ditch which he made."

"His mischief shall return on his own head, and his viclent dealing shall come down upon his wn pate."

And now to the Free, the Candid, and Independent inhabitants of the good old Town, I say, I hope you are satisfied ; if so, give one pull, a long pull, and a pull altogether, and you are rid, at all events for this time, of as remoreless a faction as ever infested a community. I did intend to have published certain testimo-nials that I possess, which would shew that during the thirteen years that I have been absent from among you, I was earning something else besides money to corrupt you, as they say; but I befrain, I will merely say to the curious in such matters, that I hope I can satisfy them on that head if they call upon use. I will feel great pleasure in showing them on the head it they call upon ise. I will feel great pleasure in showing them a few, such as Col. Halkets letters by con-mand of His Excellency Sir Geo. Arthur,—the thanks of the Magistrates of the Niagara District, for services rendered during the Conal Riots—an unanimous vote of the Ningara District Council, (a little parliament composed of over thirty members) which they almost went out of their road to give me, the General order of Col. Clark upon the occasion of my leaving my troop of Cayalry on the 4th June 1843-and the certified copy of an address from five hundred inhabitants of St. Catherines, which would have been doubled only that the person carrying it for signature lost the original &c. &c. &c. Finally I would state that I had originally inserted some rother pungent remarks in this address, but that on second thoughts I mercilessly drew my pen through them for the nonce ; but this I will say that the next time I have occasion to notice the doings of "the Clique" • they must be content to take it " rough and tumble" from one who never turned his back on "friend or foe." With many apologies for a story which I could not well make shorter, I beg to subscribe myself

The Public's most ob't Servant,

ROLLAND MODONALP

