- 3. How many full-time employees were there as of January 31, 1973 in the Department of Public Works?
- 4. How many of those employees were earning more than \$18,000 annually, distributed according to \$1,000 intervals?

Hon. Jean-Eudes Dubé (Minister of Public Works): 1. 6,619.

- 2. \$15,000 to \$16,000, 52. \$16,000 to \$17,000, 47. \$17,000 to \$18,000, 49. \$18,000 to \$19,000, 10. \$19,000 to \$20,000, 20. \$20,000 to \$21,000, 16. \$21,000 to \$22,000, 25. \$22,000 to \$23,000, 2. \$23,000 to \$24,000, 12. \$24,000 to \$25,000, 1. \$25,000 to \$26,000, 1. \$26,000 to \$27,000, 1. \$27,000 to \$28,000, 1.
 - 3. 6.013.
- 4. \$18,000 to \$19,000, 21. \$19,000 to \$20,000, 83. \$20,000 to \$21,000, 22. \$21,000 to \$22,000, 11. \$22,000 to \$23,000, 13. \$23,000 to \$24,000, 21. \$24,000 to \$25,000, 14. \$25,000 to \$26,000, 19. \$26,000 to \$27,000, 8. \$27,000 to \$28,000, 5. \$28,000 to \$35,000, 14. \$40,000 to \$45,000, 1.

PUBLIC WORKS—CONSTRUCTION WORK AT DIGBY FERRY TERMINAL

Question No. 1,528-Mr. Haliburton:

- 1. Was a contract entered into between the Department of Public Works and some independent party for the construction and equipping of the passenger ramp at the Digby Ferry Terminal and, if so (a) what was the name of the contractor and the date of the contract (b) did the contract set forth a completion date and, if so, what was the date (c) has the contract been completed and, if not, has it been extended (d) have there been any changes in the contract price (e) what is the currently estimated date of completion (f) is the work to be completed by the original contractor (g) has the Department imposed any penalty on the contractor for failure to complete in accordance with the contract?
- 2. Are any funds budgeted for this item for 1973-74 and, if not, for what reason?

Hon. Jean-Eudes Dubé (Minister of Public Works): 1. Yes; (a) Contract was awarded to C.A. Annand Ltd. on December 9, 1971; (b) Yes, May 9, 1972 and was later extended to June 12, 1972. (c) No, the contractor went into receivership and contract was taken out of his hands; (d) Extra work in the amount of \$1,349 approved; (e) May 31, 1973; (f) No; (g) Not applicable, refer to (c) above.

2. Yes, funds have been budgeted by Ministry of Transport.

Order Paper Questions

INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT—FUNDS CONTRACTED TO THE FIVE REGIONS OF CANADA

Question No. 1,534—Mr. McKenzie:

- 1. In the fiscal years 1968-69 to 1971-72, how much money was contracted to each of the five regions of Canada by the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development?
 - 2. What was this in per capita terms for each region?

Hon. Jean Chrétien (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): The cost to answer to above question for the fiscal years 1968-69, 1969-70 and 1970-71 would be exorbitant. The manpower required is not available to research the files. However, we do have the figures for the fiscal year 1971-72.

1971 - 72 Region	1. Amount	2. Per Capita \$
Atlantic	2,481,390	1,206
Quebec	12,225,471	2,028
Ontario	4,710,798	612
Prairies	13,547,565	3,825
British Columbia	7,731,112	3,538
Northwest Territories and Yukon	2,044,344	38,573

FUNDS CONTRACTED TO FIVE REGIONS OF CANADA BY COMPUTER SERVICES BUREAU

Question No. 1,540-Mr. McKenzie:

- 1. In the fiscal years 1968-69 to 1971-72, how much money was contracted to each of the five regions of Canada by the Computer Services Bureau?
 - 2. What was this in per capita terms for each region?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. and 2. The records of the Computer Services Bureau in 1968-69 were not kept in such a manner as to provide Regional Statistics.

		Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairie	British Columbia
1969-70	Total	\$ 7,949	\$113,084	\$3,299,704	\$103,285	\$ 63,242
	Per capita	1¢	2¢	44¢	3¢	3¢
1970-71	Total	\$ 8,162	\$ 93,841	\$3,884,184	\$ 81,093	\$ 73,585
	Per capita	1¢	2¢	51¢	2¢	3¢
1971-72	Total	\$93,950	\$179,613	\$5,452,482	\$185,450	\$105,750
	Per capita	5¢	3¢	71¢	5¢	5¢

NATIONAL REVENUE-REBATE OF SALES TAX

Question No. 1,543—Mr. McKenzie:

Since the construction of new schools permits a school board to claim a rebate of federal sales taxes amounting to 2.95 per cent of

the contract price, will the Minister of National Revenue give consideration to allowing the same rebate of sales taxes on the purchases of new materials and equipment used in the renovation of old schools in order to allow for the utilization of existing buildings?