

*Administration of Justice*

We have said that we have nothing to hide. I do not know the facts. The Minister of Justice (Mr. Cardin) said he has not seen the file but he disclosed many things and made many revelations in a press conference which he would not disclose in this house. I see that the Minister of Justice is not in his seat. He is never in his seat when something important and embarrassing to him is being dealt with.

**Some hon. Members:** Shame.

**Mr. Woolliams:** What we are asking the Minister of Justice to do is—

**Some hon. Members:** Shame.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Order, please. The hon. member for Bow River.

**Mr. Woolliams:** I am glad to see the Minister of Justice back in the chamber. We are asking the Minister of Justice, the Prime Minister or somebody else to name these people. Let the Minister of Justice name them. If he is wrong in this regard, then of course he will lose his seat and resign as Minister of Justice. Let us have them named, because what the Minister of Justice has said has resulted in the whole front bench of this party standing charged. Who is to go before this inquiry?

I again draw the analogy of the community of the Minister of Agriculture. If there has been a robbery in his community, does everybody in the community appear at the trial? Does everybody go on trial? The only kind of justice of that nature that I have ever heard of is under a dictator like Hitler who took whole villages and towns and laid them to waste. Is that the kind of Justice the minister refers to?

• (1:40 p.m.)

**Mr. Stewart:** Would the hon. gentleman permit a question?

**Mr. Woolliams:** Always.

**Mr. Stewart:** Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the hon. gentleman would tell the house what specific persons were mentioned in the order in council that brought on the Dorion inquiry?

**Mr. Woolliams:** I can certainly answer that, and I am glad you asked that question because I was just coming to it.

**Mr. Stewart:** Who?

**Mr. Woolliams:** Will you listen? You have asked a question. Let me answer and give me a hearing. That is all I am asking.

**Mr. Stewart:** You have it.

**Mr. Woolliams:** I will name some of them. Some of the people who were mentioned in the debate on that occasion when the charges in question were made were not members of the house. That differentiates this matter entirely from the Dorion inquiry entirely. Some of those people were executive assistants. One was an executive assistant to the Minister of Justice; another was executive assistant to another minister. They were not members of parliament. They were not Privy Councillors. That goes to the very crux of my argument. This is a matter of privilege for this house. That is the situation. It affects hon. members of the house.

**An hon. Member:** If we—

**Mr. Woolliams:** Therein lies the difference.

**Mr. Stewart:** Mr. Speaker, would the hon. gentleman agree to the establishment of an inquiry on terms comparable with the terms used in establishing the Dorion inquiry?

**Mr. Woolliams:** I have made my position very clear. It is that if the minister knows the Privy Councillors or the members of parliament or whoever he is charging in this house, if he has the names, let him stand in his place today and name those names.

**Some hon. Members:** Hear, hear.

**Mr. Stewart:** Will you read into the record the terms establishing the Dorion inquiry, terms which you are not now willing to accept.

**Mr. Woolliams:** I am not going to get into an argument. Let the Minister of Justice name those people. Let him deal with this in the way he was asked to in the Spencer case. I think the Minister of Justice would have to agree, because he admitted when I dealt with the Spencer case that I made a moving speech, that something has to be done. When the Minister of Justice mentioned Privy Councillors, does he mean every Privy Councillor in this row here?

**An hon. Member:** And outside the house?

**Mr. Woolliams:** And outside the house, and those that may be on the other side of the house?

**Some hon. Members:** Hear, hear.

**Mr. Woolliams:** Whom does he mean? Who stands charged before this kind of bar of justice? That is why I say he does not want an inquiry. He wants an inquisition. That inquisition would be the kind of inquisition