

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): It is necessary for the purpose of grading for domestic purposes that these definitions should be inserted.

Mr. GARLAND (Bow River): Is the department going to undertake to design the package or container?

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): No.

Mr. GARLAND (Bow River): What is the purpose of this paragraph about the package or container?

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): We simply approve of the package.

Mr. VENIOT: May I ask the minister why there is a difference between the definition of "package" in this section and the definition in section 2 of the bill? In section 2 (o) "package" means any box, tub, crock, tin, crate, paper wrapper, carton or any other receptacle or covering used for the packing of any dairy product; but in part 2 of the bill, section 21 (e) "package" means any box, paper wrapper, carton or any other receptacle or covering used for the packing of dairy produce.

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): This applies to cheese and butter only.

Mr. VENIOT: But the definition of "dairy product" in section 2 (f) applies to any dairy product and the definition of "package" in 2 (o) includes the words "tub" and "crock". But the words "tub" and "crock" are left out of 21 (e) in part 2. There is a difference in the interpretation there. Which will butter come under? The reason I ask is that butter is often bought in tubs and crocks. I buy my butter from the farmers in crocks or tubs. But under part 2 of this bill I could not do it.

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): It is not the intention to apply this to farmers—

Mr. VENIOT: But under part 2 if I purchased butter in crocks or tubs the farmer would be liable to prosecution because he would be violating that part of the act. Why not insert the words "tubs or crocks"?

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): The request is for the grading of butter and cheese for domestic consumption.

Mr. VENIOT: Under part 2?

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): Under part 2.

Mr. VENIOT: Yes, but you are not allowed to pack in a tub or crock as you are under part 1. Which are we going to adopt?

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): That is covered by the words "or any other receptacle".

Mr. CASGRAIN: I cannot find any reference made to part 1; there is no heading to show the difference between parts 1 and 2.

Mr. VENIOT: The words "or other receptacle" appear in part 1; the subsection reads:

"package" means any box, tub, crock, tin, crate, paper wrapper, carton or any other receptacle or covering used for the packing of any dairy product.

In part 2 the definition is:

"package" means any box, paper wrapper, carton or any other receptacle or covering used for the packing of dairy produce.

Why leave out the tub and crock? It would only take a small amendment to have those words included.

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): There is no conflict.

Mr. VENIOT: That is the minister's interpretation but it is not mine; I claim there is a very serious conflict. The minister states that there is no conflict between the two, but I fail to see that that is so. There should be no difficulty in adding the words I have mentioned to part 2. There would then be no possibility of the difference of opinion which could now arise.

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): The words "any other receptacle" would cover that.

Mr. VENIOT: You have those words in the other.

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): Would not the words "any other receptacle" in part 2 include crocks?

Mr. VENIOT: Then why specify the receptacles in part 1; why not just say "any other receptacle"? I claim that having specified them in that part the inspectors could adhere to that specification and a vendor might find himself in trouble under part 2.

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): If the hon. member agrees that everything in part 1 is included in part 2—

Mr. VENIOT: No, I do not.

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): What is there in part 1 that is not included in part 2?

Mr. VENIOT: The words "tub and crock."

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): We are not talking of words, we are talking of receptacles.

Mr. VENIOT: Tub and crocks are receptacles and they are not included in part 2.

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): In part 2 there are the words "any other receptacle."