please clarify any negative assessment recorded above. What could be improved?
(22) Attendees responded to question
(17) Attendees did not respond to question
(1) Not Applicable

- The speakers \& topics were fine. I would have only assessed them as "excellent" if they were amazing but this depends on the speakers available. I found the process quite open.
- Working session ( 2 pm ) was least successful for me - perhaps reflects my own lack of background on alphabet soup of shelved promises.
- It would be interesting to have speakers talk on specific topics rather than giving a general view of their countries issues. Issues are everywhere however speakers demand in their talks that Canadian women need to take steps to help women in their countries! I am sure each country can take major steps to help themselves. Canadian women have to look at their own issues of domestic violence, poverty, etc.
- Interactive sessions increased involvement \& connection with other participants. An informative conference. Thanx. (sic)
* Was reading her presentation (group 1). Did not give us a choice to discuss strategies, but imposed the topic of militarism on us.
- Opening session: she gave lots of information, it's not easy to grasp.
* The brainstorming workshops was a little bit disorganized. Facilitators and participants should be given discussion guidelines to make the workshop effective.
* I didn't really learn anything new. I'd like to take part in a follow-up session that is a bit more goal-oriented ie: setting up various networks around issues that could meet independently or bringing in resources/people that people could be directed to.
* Virginia talks too fast! Would be helpful if overheads were used outlining main points/headlines. She ?? a lot of useful background info. however was a challenge to keep up and/or provide on a handout to follow.
* Although it was a very important session, I found the final session rather frustrating perhaps because the facilitator spoke more than was necessary/more than others in the group (?) (military discussion).
* Good panel speakers - a diverse group \& informative. The charismatic personality of J. Kadandara was energizing; a good plan to have her as opening speaker.
* I still didn't know what we as Canadian women could do to help other women even in Canada or outside - in a practical sense.
* Still a mentality \& process which aims at "efficiency" but not us taking personal responsibility for who gets to be heard (ie. a democratic process). We need to work on this more ourselves and not squeeze it into non-existance (sic) (or proclaim to export it).
* Excellent! Only suggestion is MORE, MORE, MORE! More speakers on Friday night?? Longer conference??

