Railways in Ontario.	Length-Milc.	Cost (Dollars).	Cost per mile (Dollars).		Gross receipts (Dollars).	Receipts per mile (Dollars).	Working expenses in per-centage of gross receipts	Remarks.	
Toronto, Grey, and Bruce Toronto and Nipissing	161 342 102 324 140	67,900,000 6,443,000 30,773,000 17,000,000 6,086,000	90,000 52,000	}····	4,233,000 5,390,000 895,000	6,553 12,132 6,023	80.4 59.7 79.8	The cost of the Grand Trunk is estimated at £28,000 per mile on the authority of Sir HughAllan's pamphlet. The blanks in the table apply to new roads not yet intro-	
	109 84 28 59 46	3,348,000 691,000	31,000		304,000 275,000 32,000 162,000	2,719 3,279 1,137 2,742	65.6 77.4	duced in specification into "Poor's Manual." These roads are given, however, in order to show the full mileage in the Province.	

The Railways of the Province of Ontario.

With the foregoing specification of the railways in Ontario, the comparison suggested may now be made. It is put in the following table, on the faith of the figures of Poor's Manual of 1873-4—that is to say, for the | from the census of 1871:

year ending with June, 1872-the population being estimated to that date; in the case of the American lines, from the census of 1870; in the case of the Canadian lines,

Basis of comparison.	Total miles of railway.	Total Population.	Population per mile of railway.	Gross receipts of the railways.	Gross receipts per mile of railway.	Gross receipts per head of popula- tion.	Dividends on stocks.
Ontario. Minnesota Iowa Wisconsin Maine. New Hampshire Vermont Wichigan. Indiana	2074 1616 2734 1779 933 627 568 1904 3748	I,647,000 510,000 I,315,000 I,120,000 630,000 320,000 I,280,000 I,280,000	794 268 360 595 723 395 467 672 443	\$ 11,291,000 3,515,000 7,832,000 4,653,000 3,625,000 4,260,000 11,921,000 24,415,000	\$ 5,444 2,113 3,280 4,224 4,988 5,830 7,500 6,261 6,514	\$ 6.85 6.89 6.82 6.99 7,38 11.33 12.03 9.31 14.11	1.60 2.06 2.92 2.57 5.70 3.91 4.85 0.50

The table just given goes directly to the question of excessive railway construction in Ontario. It shows that, in proportion to population, that Province has less length of railway than Maine, Michigan, Indiana, only one-half of the length in New Hampshire, and only one-third of the length in Minnesota. Excluding though it does all the old State of Maine. These points of

the earnings of one-third of her lines-those for which the returns are not given in Poor's Manual—it shows that every inhabitant of Ontario contributed, notwithstanding, as much to railway earnings as every inhabitant of Minnesota, of Iowa, of Wisconsin, and very nearly as much as every inhabitant of