The Canadian Engineer

WEEKLY

ESTABLISHED 1893

Vol. 18.

TORONTO, CANADA, APRIL 1st, 1910.

No. 13

The Canadian Engineer

issued Weekly in the interests of the

CIVIL, MECHANICAL, STRUCTURAL, ELECTRICAL, MARINE AND MINING ENGINEER, THE SURVEYOR, THE MANUFACTURER, AND THE CONTRACTOR.

Editor.—E A. James, B.A.Sc. Business Manager.—James J. Salmond. Advertising Manager.—A. E. Jennings.

Present Terms of Subscription, payable in advance:

United States and other Countries:
One Year \$3.50
Six Months 2.00 Canada and Great Britain: \$3.00 One Year Six Months Three Months 1.00 | Three Months

Copies Antedating This Issue by Two Months or More, 25 Cents. ADVERTISEMENT RATES ON APPLICATION.

HEAD OFFICE: 62 Church Street, and Court Street, Toronto, Ont. Telephone, Main 7404 and 7405, branch exchange connecting all depart-

ments.

Montreal Office: B33, Board of Trade Building. T. C. Allum, Editorial Representative, Phone M. 1001.

Winnipeg Office: Room 315, Nanton Building. Phone 8142. G. W. Goodall, Business and Editorial Representative.

London Office: 225 Outer Temple, Strand, T. R. Clougher, Business and Editorial Representative. Telephone 527 Central.

Address all communications to the Company and not to individuals. Everything affecting the editorial department should be directed to the Editor.

NOTICE TO ADVERTISERS.

Changes of advertisement copy should reach the Head Office by 10 a.m. Monday preceding the date of publication, except the first issue of the month for which changes of copy should be received at least two weeks prior to publication date.

Printed at the Office of the Monetary Times Printing Co., Limited, Toronto, Canada.

TORONTO, CANADA, APRIL 1, 1910.

CONTENTS OF THIS ISSUE.

Editorials:	
The Lowest Tender Split-Log Drag The Canadian General Electric Annual Statement	289 290 290
Leading Articles:	
Elementary Electrical Engineering	290
The Hydrostatic Chord	292
Steel Railway and Highway Bridges	295
Relative Cost of Municipal Work	302
Sanitary Review:	
Suburban Sewace Disposal	293
The Drainage of a Country House	293
Engineering Societies	306
Railway Page	307
Construction News	308
Society Notes	310
Market Conditions	311

THE LOWEST TENDER?

Several important tenders, and not the lowest received, have recently been accepted by public bodies. Their acceptance has been the matter of considerable comment, both by members of the corporation and managers of the firms interested.

In the advertisement calling for tenders it is always inserted that "the lowest or any tender will not necessarily be accepted." Custom has prescribed that as a general thing the lowest tender shall be accepted. This perhaps guards against the suggestion of impropriety between the representatives of the seller and the buyer.

Several awards have been made in which the lowest tender was made by firms in Great Britain or by foreign firms. In one or two notable instances the contract was given to a Canadian firm, even although it was admitted their tender was somewhat higher than outside competitors.

This created discussion, more particularly on the part of firms who had gone to the expense of estimating and submitting prices. In some cases these expenses were considerable, and the more keen is competition the more

heavy is the expense.

Although business is business, there is considerable sentiment attached with most business propositions, and we do not wonder in awarding the contracts that occasionally sentiment has something to do with the award. We have known engineering and contracting firms to pay more for a particular machine or equipment largely because they have been used to that particular style of installation, and sometimes because they are intimately acquainted with the sales manager or managing director of these concerns. When the manager of a Canadian concern, employing from one to five thousand men, comes before a city council and pleads for the acceptance of his tender, pointing to the fact that his firm is leaving weekly in the municipality or Province many thousands of dollars, that the money paid to foreign firms goes out of the country, we are not surprised that occasionally he is successful in having his tender-which is not the lowestaccepted.

Aside from this sentiment, there are frequently good business reasons for accepting other than the lowest tender. The plant may be already partly equipped with machinery with which the men have become familiar. To add further equipment of the same design would cause much less confusion than the installing of machinery different in design and requiring a different method of

operation.

To inspect the output of a plant and the products of a firm with which Canadian engineers are not familiar, and which is thousands of miles away, is sometimes expensive, so that if you add to the contract price the cost of inspection, it is possible that the next to the lowest tender may be the more reasonable.