

[By Mr. Lafontaine.]

Were you present at the examination of Messrs. Perrault and Green?—By the Minutes of the Committee of Grievances last year it appears that I was present at the examination of Mr. Perrault, and that I was not at the examination of Mr. Green, which took place on the 26th of February last.

*A. N. Morin*, Esquire, one of the Members of the Committee, examined by Mr. Stuart :—

Were you a Member of the Committee of Grievances last year?—Yes.

Did you regularly attend the sittings of the Committee last year?—Yes, regularly; excepting upon the matter of Judge Kerr.

Were you present at the examination of Mr. Perrault and of Mr. Green, or of one or other of those two Gentlemen?—I cannot say whether I was present at the examination of Mr. Perrault. I do not believe I was, but I saw him in the Committee Room. I was present at the examination of Mr. Green. I cannot say on what day without referring to the Minutes of the Committee. Neither can I say, whether I was present the whole time during which the questions were put, and the answers taken down; but I well recollect that I was there when his evidence was read in his presence before the Committee. He declared it to be true, and did not, to my knowledge, make any remark upon it.

Have you a knowledge that the Members of the Committee examined the Witnesses in the absence of a Quorum of the Committee?—Yes, sometimes, but I have no knowledge that any evidence was closed without a Quorum.

Did it often happen that evidence was taken by Members without a Quorum?—That happened several times, but not generally, and not, I believe, most frequently.

Is it not true that Mr. Labrie, and Mr. Lafontaine were amongst those Members of the Committee, who took the most active part in these proceedings?—Mr. Labrie took an active part in them, during the absence of Mr. Bedard, the Chairman of the Committee. I do not think that Mr. Lafontaine took a more active part than the other Members, but he was very assiduous.

Have you a knowledge of the conversation that took place between Mr. Perrault, Mr. Cuvillier, and Mr. Viger before the Committee, such as it is related in the evidence of Mr. Perrault and of Mr. Cuvillier?—I do not recollect it.

[By Mr. Neilson.]

Have you any knowledge or any reason to believe that any evidence, or any part of evidence given before the Committee, at any time, was suppressed, or is reported differently from what was read to the Witnesses and acknowledged by them?—I am perfectly certain that, to my knowledge, no part of the evidence taken before the Committee, has been mutilated or altered. I can say so positively as to the evidence of Mr. Green. I have no reason to believe that such was the case at all.

[By Mr. Lafontaine.]

Look at the Minutes of Mr. Green's evidence of the 26th February last past, and say whether it is wholly or in part in the handwriting of the  
Petitioner?