

STOP EVERY INDUSTRY ON PACIFIC

Letter Discloses Intent of I. W. W. in States

Against War Participation

German People Referred to as "Fellow Workers"—Chicago Paper Gets Look at Copy of Indictment—Only Small Part Uncovered

Chicago, Oct. 2.—(Montreal Gazette despatch).—The ramifications of the nation-wide plot to disrupt industries, hamper military operations, obstruct conscription and lose the war for America, as charged against 166 I. W. W. workers indicted in the United States district court in Chicago, are disclosed for the first time by the Chicago Herald, that paper having come into possession of a copy of the indictment.

William D. Haywood of Chicago and Frank H. Little, who were lynched in Montana, are charged with being two of the ringleaders. Letters, telegrams, pamphlets, books and so-called newspapers circulated by the I. W. W. form most of the net of evidence. One letter from James Rowan, sent from Seattle, Wash., on Aug. 2, 1917, to Haywood, follows:

"Fellow workers. There has been considerable agitation in Seattle among the lumber mills, ship yards and other industries, and the old jargon of patriotism is being preached on all sides. The government has been asked to interfere, and it is reported that government agents here are active."

"We have the good will of the German people here, and we feel that they are in sympathy with our cause. We do not call them Germans, however, but refer to them the same as others, 'the fellow workers.' We are going to carry out our points if we have to carry out our points in the Pacific Coast. We did not declare war, and we did not consent to the working man giving up his liberty and being drafted."

Washington, Oct. 2.—The great harvest of indictments returned at Chicago is but a fraction of what the government will reap as the result of its nationwide raid on Industrial Workers of the World offices on Sept. 5.

STATEMENT BY VISCOUNT GREY

Germany Cannot Get Peace Except on Terms Considered Essential by United States

London, Oct. 2.—In discussing the entry of the United States into the war, Viscount Grey, former foreign secretary, in a preface to a collection of war statements by President Wilson, published in a pamphlet here, declared it is now clear that the military and naval success of German arms in Europe could not secure a German peace. Viscount Grey's remarks are printed by the Daily News.

"Germany," he says, "cannot get peace except on conditions that the United States might consider essential to its own interests and to the future peace and freedom of the world. It is impossible to get around this fact and it is not surprising that Germany dare not face it and turn her back upon it. She may not face it, for that is what the organized silence and contempt in Germany of the action in the United States really mean."

Did Britain Put Pressure on Japan?

Article in Japanese Magazine Makes Remarkable Statement

Washington, Oct. 2.—(Montreal Gazette despatch).—The plain intimation that with the entrance of the United States in the world war the British government, which has an offensive and defensive alliance with Japan, completely changed her attitude to one of greater stiffness toward Japan, is conveyed in a remarkable article which has just appeared in the Taiko, a magazine of high repute in Japan.

A translation received in Washington does not mention Great Britain by name, but the inference is plain. The unnamed nation mentioned is declared to have sent an "outspoken" communication to Japan late last spring conveying the intimation that Japan, as one of the Entente Allies, should not be reaping great benefits from the war without sharing to a greater extent the burdens of the war, and that following the delivery of this communication, whether caused by it or not, the despatch of Japanese destroyers to the Mediterranean became an accomplished fact.

TWO PROFESSORS LOSE POSITIONS

Expelled From Columbia Because of Dissemination of Disloyal Doctrines

New York, Oct. 2.—Two members of Columbia University's faculty, Professor James McKern Lyell of the department of psychology and Assistant Professor H. W. L. Dana of the department of English and comparative literature, were expelled from the university at a meeting of the trustees yesterday on charges that they had disseminated doctrines tending to encourage a spirit of disloyalty to the government of the United States.

VERNON McNUTT



He Broke Up the Bridge Game and Everything Else by Announcing That a Girl Was on the Beach in a One-Piece Bathing Suit

—By F. Fox—(Copyright, 1917, by the Wheeler Syndicate, Inc.)

FATHER WRITES OF SON'S DEATH

Edwin Thomas Went to Visit Son's Battalion Light-Hearted and Gay

THEN HEARD THE SADDEST OF NEWS

"Be of Good Cheer," His Message to Wife, for Son Followed Path of Duty and Had Clean and Honorable Record—Company Commander Sends Condolences.

The Telegraph has received a touching letter that Private Edwin Thomas has written to his wife of the particulars surrounding the death of their eighteen-year-old son, Private Frank Thomas, who was reported some time ago as killed in action.

The letter sends comfort home from across the seas to a grief-stricken mother, and tells in his letter of securing a pass and with light heart started off to see his son, whom he did not know was killed. Arriving at the headquarters of the regiment with which he thought to find his son, he was told the sad news of his death in action. The letter follows:

France, Tuesday, Sept. 11, 1917.
My dear beloved wife:
I now take the opportunity of writing you a few lines to let you know that I got your letter of August 11, 1917, last evening, saying you got a field card from our son Frank. Also that you had written a couple of letters to me but you found out that you addressed them wrongly. Sorry, but they will likely find me in time. Since I last wrote to you many things have transpired that will make you and I a team of sorrow over our beloved son's death. I must tell you the full particulars of how he was killed, omitting the name of place, also omitting the name of place he now lies sleeping in his last sleep until the resurrection morn, when you and I and our son will see one another again, we hope in the glory of the spirit which directed the actions and deeds of his physical body while here on earth. He died that others might live to worship God and to have the freedom from the oppressive militarism called Kaiserism. On Saturday evening, September 8, the O. C. of my company gave me a pass to go to see my son which I can assure you I most eagerly accepted. I certainly left that camp light-hearted and gay and in the night I arrived at the trenches of —. But there I found out that the division he is in was in a rest camp some miles behind the lines and immediately I left for there and got to his battalion rest camp 9 o'clock Sunday morning. It was then I got the news and full particulars from his officer and also from Foster Brown. The officers and the company sergeant-major of his company told me that I never need to feel ashamed of my son's record of being a soldier for he was one that could be trusted to carry out all missions of importance that were given him to perform faithfully, without a murmur. Also, that he never knew the taste of liquor of any kind. The officer said that he had come in played out, cold, wet, tired, and had refused liquor and in the one year and eight months he was never known to have anything against his conduct sheet. His pass to England had been made out to go to England on leave September 1. Also they had tried to locate me so as to give Frank a pass to come and see me but as you know it is harder to locate me on account of my battalion being scattered all over than it would be to find a full division of men all together. He was reported missing on August 15 and found August 16, killed. Death was caused by concussion. He did not have a mark of any kind on him and his death was instantaneous. He was buried with full military honors by his battalion in another place called —, which I know and the O. C. is going to give me another pass to go and see his last resting place. I will be better able to tell you more when we meet. All things taken from him you will get in time, and all money due him will come to you. I also saw many of the St. John boys of the

26th and the 140th battalions. I did not see Wesley, he is not with the 26th now. I did not see John Betts but I saw a St. John boy who was in his battalion and I sent him over one of those group snapshots that he would like it. You know you sent me two. I started to write a letter to you last Wednesday and I will put it in with this letter. I also saw Harry Woodbury. Just the same old Harry. You remember the time that I hurt my knee at Sussex and afterwards was operated on at hospital, well it is getting long and I am getting crippled up from it and I am sorry to say that I will have to bother the M. D.'s office in the future and as you know I am over age now, they are yet short of my age. I also understand a board will be held shortly and all men over age medically unfit are being sent back and most likely I will be one of them for a winter is certainly hard on men over here. Before closing I note my letter is getting long and I do not want to overtax the censor of this letter, I must say that the O. C. of my company has certainly done all in his power to assist me since our battalion was organized and I will in my letters the respect that I hold for him is one of the deepest and sincerest feelings. Well, dear wife, in closing I will just say, be of good cheer, though our son has gone he has left behind him a path of glory that you and I if spared shall in our declining years look back upon and say that he did his duty and it was not our will but God's will that called our son to Him. Excuse my poor writing also my poor way of trying to express myself. I will now close with love to you and the children. I still remain,

Your loving husband EDWIN.

Captain Keirstead's Letter.

Following is a letter from Captain George C. F. Keirstead, of St. John, O. C. of the company in which the dead boy's father is a private.

Dear Mrs. Thomas:
Although I do not know you personally I wish to extend to you my very sincere sympathy on the loss of your son. His father has been in my company since our battalion was organized and having both come from St. John we feel we know each other quite well. I trust that in your sorrow you will not only be conscious of your great loss in the death of your boy but conscious also of the magnificent part he has played in defending liberty and humanity with the price of his own life.

GEO. C. F. KEIRSTEAD, Capt., O. C. No. 3 Company.

EXEMPT.

They have said you needn't go to the front to face the foe;
They have left you with your women and your children safe at home;
They have spared you from the crash of the murderous guns that flash
And the horror and the madness and the death across the foam.
But it's your right, just the same, and your country still must claim
The splendor of your manhood, and the best that you can do;
In a thousand different ways they'll be conscious of your great loss in the death of your boy but conscious also of the magnificent part he has played in defending liberty and humanity with the price of his own life.

You must stand behind the nation that has been so good to you.

You're exempt from shot and shell, from the havoc and the hell,
That have robbed the world of gladness; you have missed the sterner fate
Of the brave young men and fine, that are falling into line,
You must stay among your children that are swinging on the gate.
But you're not exempt from love of the flag that flies above,
You've a greater obligation to your country to be true;
You must work from day to day in a bigger, better way
For the glory of the nation that has been so good to you.

You are not exempt from trial; from long days of self-denial,
From devotion to your homeland and from courage in any way;
You are not exempt from giving to your country's needs, and living
As a citizen and soldier—an example of the best.

You've a harder task before you than the boys who're fighting for you,
You must match their splendid courage and devotion through and through.

You must prove by fine endeavor, and by standing constant ever,
That you're worthy of the country that has been so good to you.

—Edgar A. Guest, in the Montreal Herald.

Husband—"Five pounds for a bouquet! madam; it is a crime!" Wife—"Well, the crime will be on my own head!"

No Other Leader But Sir Wilfrid

Cabinet Changes May be Announced This Week—General Elections Not Until January?

Sir Wilfrid Laurier returned to Ottawa last night after a conference in Montreal with leaders of the Liberal party, presumably with reference to the coming elections. In Montreal it was said that there was no thought of any other leader for the party than Sir Wilfrid.

Sir Robert Borden attended the first meeting of the cabinet council at Ottawa since his return, yesterday. He afterwards said that there was nothing of interest to announce. It is understood that it will be Wednesday or Thursday before any announcement of cabinet changes may be expected.

It is now believed that it will be impossible to hold the general elections before January, owing to the time required for preparations.

At a meeting of the executive committee of the South Wellington Liberal-Conservative Association the resignation of Lieut-Col. Kelly Evans as standard-bearer for the riding, was accepted. Col. Kelly Evans expressed his pleasure at the attitude of Hugh Guthrie, M. P., on the questions of the day, stating that he could not oppose the Liberal member.

KILLED BY AUTO HORN HONK

An elderly man stepped toward a rapidly moving automobile at 125th street and Morningside avenue, New York, the other day. The motorist sounded his signal horn and the pedestrian tottered and fell to the street. An ambulance was called from Knickerbocker Hospital, and Dr. Haas said the man was dead and that death was due to apoplexy caused by fright.

The deceased was identified as Dr. Samuel Monash, sixty-five years old, a dentist, of 386 West 125th street. Benjamin Horowitz, the owner and driver of the car, was emphatic in his declaration that his machine did not strike Dr. Monash. In his efforts to avoid striking him he ran the automobile up on the curb and smashed a wheel. The police did not make any charge.

The Horse Chestnut

It is popularly supposed, says a writer in the London Daily News and Leader, that the horse-chestnut is so-called because it is served as a diet for horses. The designation arose, however, from the stamp of a horse's shoe, which may be discovered by stripping off one of the large leaves. On the end of the twig is a perfect facsimile of a horse's shoe, even to the seven nail-marks, which are perfectly distinct.

Young Brother—"How soon are you and Lis going to be married?" Estate Lover—"She has not named the happy day yet, but I hope she does not believe in long engagements." Young Brother—"She doesn't, I know, 'cause all her engagements have been short."

STOP HEADACHES BEFOREHAND

You never had a headache when you were well. To keep well is to keep clean, inside.

To relieve headache, and to prevent it, keep the liver active and industrious and the bowels as regular as a clock.

Two generations of healthy, vigorous people have done this by taking one pill at bedtime, regularly—a larger dose when nature gives the warning.

CARTER'S IRON PILLS

Colorless faces often show the absence of iron in the blood.

CARTER'S IRON PILLS will help this condition.

Genuine Bears Signature

Thurston

Colorless faces often show the absence of iron in the blood.

CARTER'S IRON PILLS will help this condition.

4,000 CATHOLICS AGREE TO BOYCOTT BISHOP FALLON

Windsor, Ont., Oct. 2.—Following their threats last week, four thousand persons from the surrounding districts met yesterday and decided to "boycott" Bishop Fallon.

Resolutions passed announced the decision not to allow his lordship to confirm their children nor attend any services where Bishop Fallon was.

Severin Decharme, M.P.P., told the meeting that he would do all within his power to assure the withdrawal of Bishop Fallon from the London diocese.

The meeting ended with a resolution condemning Bishop Fallon which will be sent to Rome.

Chicago Millionaire (showing his library to distinguished novelist)—"See them books?" Distinguished Novelist—"Yes?" Millionaire—"All bound in calf, ain't they?" Novelist—"So they are." Millionaire (drawing himself up)—"Well, sir, I am proud to say I killed them all myself."

JURY FINDS GIRL CAME TO DEATH FROM POISONING

No Doctors at Sydney Willing to Perform Autopsy and Open Verdict is Entere.

Sydney, N. S., Oct. 1.—The inquest into the cause of the death of Miss Tryphena Seymour was concluded today before Coroner Forbes. The only witness examined was Mrs. Besaw, sister of the deceased, whose evidence did not add anything new to the testimony taken at the opening session of the inquest on Sept. 22.

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour died was that given on Sept. 22 by Dr. J. W. McLean and Dr. M. T. McLean, who expressed the opinion that it was arsenic. The verdict brought in was that "the deceased came to her death from poisoning at the hands of some person or persons unknown."

Although the jury ordered an autopsy on the body of Miss Seymour, no doctor could be found in North Sydney or Sydney Mines to undertake the task, and the only evidence that the jury had as to the nature of the poison from which Miss Seymour