1848 and 1850; Flats, the results e head of the cut

oundings of hip Channel of Engineers.

Upper Bar 1st 4 age 15 feet, 121 num. Thence a nel for 3 miles.

for 2 miles on the h miles, 11 feet 81

for 1-2 or to the 11 feet 94 inches.

for 1-2 mile, or mile, 12 feet 84 Oth mile, 13 feet, 103 miles, 13 feet

nd, in connection ng extract from omplete, and has

the 6th Buoy, a gth has already ig, if not exceedin corresponding r instance, at the , the rate was 13 Light, it was 11 Knots, and at a Knot. These tendency in the eh is ascribed to of the currents of a short distance o the strong curd past the point om Monk Island, current, in cuthe red dottedline herly direction is

improvement of nnsafe to leave se the very conthrough it would t that might be en."

med justly distinence of a current igh the straight se, and that there differ with Caped by him, that a s in the New than it otherwise, as from the obvious

and the inclination not Southward but Northward at the head of the Lake, and after it has passed the marshes extending below Flat Island, that the reater volume of water would seek an outlet by posite the River Machlche. the Old Channel, and the same cause does ope-

fact that from the direction of the waters of the rate in favor of the velocity, of current, in the St. Lawrence, whether by the Main Stream, or Old Channel throughout, until when, from the through subsidiary Channels among the Islands, influence of currents from the subsidiary Channels entering the Lake, North of the Main Channel, a Southern inclination from the Lower Light obtains to the junction of the two Channels op-

## TABLE No. 2.

Exhibits the comparative velocities of the currents in the Old and New Channels ? mile, ? hour.

OLD CHANNEL.	NEW CHANNEL.
Below the Upper Buoy, 1:58 P mile, P hour. Half way between the Lower Light & Buoy, 0:67 At Lower Buoy, 0:81	At the head of New Cut 1:45 Lower end 0:59 One mile above Lower end of Cut 0:54

The velocity of the current at end of Stone Island in the Main Channel, 1:58 per mile, per hour.

would be unsafe to leave the New Channel open, in the event of improving the Old one, while the same course of reasoning, will, in our opinion, justly apply to prove the necessity of stopping the Old Channel, should the improvement of the New Channel be decided upon.

In addition to the foregoing considerations, it is proper, before entering upon the estimates of the costs, to announce this principle of concentration of water into our Channel, as the indispensable guide to a conclusion, upon which, we can ourselves rely, and by which, the objects as stated in our instructions, viz.: "The best means of ef-" fectually opening a Channel of 16 feet depth in " low water, through Lake St. Peter, as well as "the cost of the same, and also the cost of open-ing a Channel of 13, 14 or 15 feet," can be effec-

tualy and satisfactorily secured.

In fact no one can doubt that much water now flows through both Channels, diminishing the supply in each separately, and as a consequence, if either were closed, the current through, and depth of the other, would be proportionably in-

In connection then with cost of excavations to deepen either Channel within prescribed limits, we must look to the practicability and cost of ef-

fecting such a concentration.

For instance, if we would effectually improve the New Channel, we must direct the waters flowing through the subsidiary Channels into the main Channel of the St. Lawrence, and by the construction of dams and jetties, direct the accumulated body of water fairly into it, and also close the Old Channel.

If, on the other hand, the improvement of the Old Channel is to be effected, the same principle of concentration applies; we have but to allow the waters of subsidiary Channels to flow on naturally, for they chiefly come down in the desired direction, and to complete the work of diverting the whole of the main current of the St. Lawrence to throw a groin in a north easterly direction from the Flats of Monk Island, and thence by a dam across the New Channel, to the Bar, North of it.

With these considerations we have the means of instituting a comparison of the two Channels or, rather, to determine in what direction it may be advisable ir our opinion to make a Channel, answering the conditions specified in our in-structions; for, independent of all pre-conceived

We also agree with Captain Bayfield, that it availed of, so far only as they may not impair or conflict with the main object—which object is the making of the best practicable Channel, through Lake St. Peter. Unquestionably, one or the other, the Old, or the New Channel, indicates where the Channel in view should be, and to determine which shall be adopted, we proceed to compare them.

It will be shewn that at each and all of the several widths and depths assumed, the Old Channel has greatly the advantage in point of cost; nor does it appear unfavourably on com-parison of the soundings or the velocity of currents.

The New Channel being straight throughout, is about three quarters of a mile shorter than the Old, which is termed crooked by comparison; but which, nevertheless is not, from all that we can learn, inconveniently so, as to cause us to attach as much weight to the objection, as obtained in the opinion of many others of high authority. In other words, we are satisfied that were it of the desired depth and width for large vessels throughout, the inconvenience alleged would not be experienced. Certainly a New Channel would not be sought as a remedy for obstructions caused by River drift, which may be removed as easily from the one as the other.

In viewing this case de novo, we cannot but observe that nature should be aided by artificial means, and not forced from her ordinary course, and with high respect for the opinions of others we must take her suggestions from the present,

rather than a very remote past period of time.

Although the Main Channel through the Lake may have once been in the direction of the New cut, yet the interposition by nature herself of the extensive St. Francis bank has for an indefinite period effectually and permanently modified her own work, and produced the present Old Channel, which we think may now be justly called the Natural Channel, it being the deepest, most central through the Lake, and drawing without artificial assistance vastly the larger portion of all the waters of the St. Lawrence River.

Again, the risk of vessels coming in collision at the curves of the Old Channel, or within the New Channel, in consequence of its straightness, seems to us to have been unreasonably magnified.

No Channel can be safely navigated without care, and we know from daily experience that where accidents are most apprehended, they least often occur. It is clear also, that great width of Channel, with moderate crooks, is better, the opinions and local prejudices, we regard either depth being the same, than a straight and narrow Channel, or both, as but natural features to be one, as in the present instance. But any improved