

*Government Orders***BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE**

Motion No. 13, a separate debate and vote;

Motion No. 14, a separate debate and vote;

Motions Nos. 16, 17A and 17B will be grouped for debate with an affirmative vote on Motion No. 16 obviating a question on Motions Nos. 17A and 17B, but a negative vote on Motion No. 16 will require the question being put on Motion No. 17A. An affirmative vote on Motion No. 17A will obviate a question on Motion No. 17B, but a negative vote on Motion No. 17A will require the Chair to put the question on Motion No. 17B;

Motion No. 19, a separate debate and vote;

Motion No. 20, a separate debate and vote; and

Motion No. 24, a separate debate but a vote only if all of the other selected motions are negated.

[*Translation*]

I want to thank all hon. members for their co-operation.

[*English*]

I think I should add something and that is that we have, with considerable success, had an informal way of having discussions between the table officers and hon. members when amendments are filed. This has expedited the work that has to be done. I want to thank all hon. members and the table officers for the hard work that has gone into these particular amendments.

I might also advise the House that I have personally read all the amendments and have been very much engaged in the difficult decisions that had to be made in dealing with the procedural aspects of the admissibility of some of the amendments. I hope that hon. members will bear with us in knowing that we have to apply the procedural law as it is and it takes nothing away from the importance or the thrust of the propositions which hon. members were putting forward.

Again, I thank hon. members for their co-operation.

[*Translation*]

I will now propose Motion No. 3 to the House.

Hon. Marcel Danis (Minister of State (Youth), Minister of State (Fitness and Amateur Sport) and Deputy Leader of the Government in the House of Commons): Mr. Speaker, I have two points to make and I think that if you consult the House, you will find that there is unanimous consent on both of them.

First, I propose:

That notwithstanding any Standing Order, the House meet at 1 p.m. on Monday, April 30, 1990, and that it consider Government Orders from 1 p.m. to 2 p.m.

If this motion is accepted, the government intends to call Bill C-26.

Mr. Boudria: Mr. Speaker, I just want some clarification and assurance from the government that if we agree on this motion, it is definitely understood that we will consider the above-named bill, that is, Bill C-26, and not another one, because it is exceptional to extend the hours in this way for a government order. We are prepared to do it, of course, provided that we have this assurance; if the minister is prepared to confirm that it is indeed so, all right.

Mr. Danis: That is correct, Mr. Speaker. That is the government's intention. We will call Bill C-26.

[*English*]

Mr. Angus: Mr. Speaker, I wish to indicate that we, too, have been consulted and we are in agreement with this change. I just want to point out that we are in fact giving the government one more hour of government time. I would like them to remember that down the road a bit.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): The House has heard the terms of the motion. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion agreed to.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): Is it agreed to call it three o'clock?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): The hon. Deputy Government House Leader.