

4. (a) (i) \$350. Estimated cost of Architectural supervision by Department of Public Works. (ii) None. (a) and (b) (iii) to (x) In very few cases does the Department require the General Contractor to list on the tender form all the names of the subcontractors they propose using. A cost breakdown by work subdivision, as provided by the General Contractor, is attached including the names of those trades which were required to be listed.

5 and 6. The contractual arrangements are directly between the Department and the General Contractor. Consequently the matter of performance bonds and insurance for subcontractors is the sole responsibility of the General Contractor.

**Cost Breakdown by Trades
Additions to Central Poultry Station,
Central Experimental Farm
Contract 9C-07054**

Sewer installation	\$ 8,000
Excavation, Backfill and Grading	1,400
Concrete Work	1,300
Rough Carpentry	4,200
Roofing and Sheetmetal	700
Windows and Glazing	400
Millwork and Finishing Carpentry	1,000
Drywall	300
Painting	450
Finishing Hardware and Washroom Accessories	220
Lockers	300
Mechanical	3,800
Electrical	2,300
Paving	350
Insurance, Bonds, Permits	1,300
General Cond., Overhead and Profit	3,750

**PUBLIC WORKS—HEATING SERVICES, POSTAL TERMINAL
BUILDING AND RCMP COMPLEX**

Question No. 1,129—Mr. Paproski:

1. Were tenders called for contract 9C-03915, November 12, 1969, Heating Services to Postal Terminal Building and RCMP complex and, if so (a) what was the number of bids received (b) what was the highest and what was the lowest (c) what bid was accepted (d) how was the notice of tender publicized?

2. If no tenders were submitted, what was the reason?

3. Who was awarded the contract?

4. If the Department of Public Works executed the contract (a) what was the cost of (i) architectural supervision (ii) engineering supervision (iii) excavation (iv) foundation (v) super structure roofing contractor and concrete supplier (vi) masonry construction (vii) interior divisions plastering and interior finish partition (viii) heating and air conditioning (ix) electrical wiring (x) plumbing and heating (b) by whom was same done?

5. Who was the bonding company for sub contracts?

6. With whom was the insurance placed for sub trades?

Hon. Arthur Laing (Minister of Public Works): 1. Yes, (a) Five tenders were received and opened on August 12, 1969. (b) Highest tender, \$1,417,000.; lowest tender, \$1,115,000. (c) Lowest tender. (d) Public advertisement—Newspapers: Montreal, Toronto, Ottawa and Hull; Heavy Construction News, Toronto; Daily Commercial News and Building Record, Toronto; Journal Constructo, Ville Jacques-Cartier.

Questions

2. Not applicable.

3. Crump Mechanical Contracting Co. Ltd. (Negotiations were carried out with the low bidder to affect savings and the revised contract price of \$972,683. was agreed to by both parties.)

4. (a) (i) None. (ii) \$26,033. Goodkey Weedmark & Associates Ltd., Consulting Engineers. (a) and (b) (iii) to (x) In very few cases does the Department require the General Contractor to list on the tender form all the names of the subcontractors they propose using. A cost breakdown by work subdivision, as provided by the General Contractor, is attached including the names of those trades which were required to be listed.

5 and 6. The contractual arrangements are directly between the Department and the General Contractor. Consequently the matter of performance bonds and insurance for subcontractors is the sole responsibility of the General Contractor.

**Cost Breakdown by Trades
Heating Services to Postal
Terminal and RCMP Complex
Contract 9C-03915**

Excavation and backfill including manholes (Fuller Construction Co. Ltd.)	\$290,000
Underground piping	530,000
Expansion joints, pumps, valves	65,000
Steel piping, etc.	51,000
Electrical	1,500
Insulation (Applied Insulation Co. Ltd.)	10,000
General Cost	25,183

**PUBLIC WORKS—CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDING No. 45,
GREENBELT FARM**

Question No. 1,130—Mr. Paproski:

1. Were tenders called for contract 9C-06228, October 30, 1969, Construction of Building No. 45, Greenbelt Farm and, if so (a) what was the number of bids received (b) what was the highest and what was the lowest (c) what bid was accepted (d) how was the notice of tender publicized?

2. If no tenders were submitted, what was the reason?

3. Who was awarded the contract?

4. If the Department of Public Works executed the contract (a) what was the cost of (i) architectural supervision (ii) engineering supervision (iii) excavation (iv) foundation (v) super structure roofing contractor and concrete supplier (vi) masonry construction (vii) interior divisions plastering and interior finish partition (viii) heating and air conditioning (ix) electrical wiring (x) plumbing and heating (b) by whom was same done?

5. Who was the bonding company for sub contracts?

6. With whom was the insurance placed for sub trades?

Hon. Arthur Laing (Minister of Public Works): 1. Yes, (a) Six tenders were received and opened October 16, 1969. (b) Highest tender, \$274,059.; lowest tender, \$217,000. (Insufficient security accompanied the low tender. In accordance with Departmental requirements low bidder is allowed 48 hours to replace the security in the proper amount. Failure to do so results in the disqualification of the tender. The low bidder failed to comply with the requirements and the tender was rejected.) (c) Second lowest tender. (d) Public advertisement—Newspapers: Montreal, Toronto, Ottawa and Hull; Heavy Construction