related to certain tax sale deeds registered against the land in question. Sutherland, J., was of opinion that, so long as the tax deeds remained on record and appeared to affect the rear 28 feet of the land in question, as they did, they would continue to be clouds on the title, and a purchaser should not be called upon to accept the title until they were removed, and it was the duty of the vendors to remove them: Armour on Titles, 3rd ed., p. 185; Shaw v. Ledyard, 12 Gr. 382. The learned Judge was also of opinion that the proof of the extinction by adverse possession of the title of the purchasers at the tax sales was not satisfactory nor adequate. Application refused. No order as to costs. N. Sommerville, for the vendors. D. C. Ross, for the purchaser.

NATURAL RESOURCES LIMITED V. SATURDAY NIGHT LIMITED— RIDDELL, J., IN CHAMBERS—FEB. 21.

Pleading—Statement of Claim—Libel—Irrelevancy—Suggestion of Motive—Notice of Action—Striking out Parts of Pleading.]—Appeal by the plaintiffs from the order of the Master in Chambers, ante 723, striking out certain paragraphs of the statement of claim. Riddle, J., allowed the appeal as to paragraphs 5, 9, and part of 10; the prayer for relief to be limited to the claim for damages as set out in paragraph 9; costs in the cause unless the trial Judge otherwise orders. R. C. H. Cassels, for the plaintiffs. G. M. Clark, for the defendants.

RUSSELL V. GREENSHIELDS—TEETZEL, J., IN CHAMBERS—FEB. 21.

Appeal—Leave to Appeal to Divisional Court—Order of Judge in Chambers—Service out of the Jurisdiction.]—Motion by the defendant for leave to appeal to a Divisional Court from the order of Boyd, C., ante 718, reversing the order of the Master in Chambers, ante 563, setting aside an order made under Con. Rule 162. Teetzel, J., said that the case was one in which it would be proper to allow the motion, and he accordingly granted leave to appeal. Costs in the cause. W. Nesbitt, K.C., and Britton Osler, for the defendant. I. F. Hellmuth, K.C., for the plaintiff.