

CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL

PUBLISHED MONTHLY.

NEW SERIES
VOL. II, No. 6.

BRANTFORD, ONT., DEC., 1894.

WHOLE No.
358.

In experiments which have recently been conducted with various kinds of comb foundation stress has been

placed upon what foundation the bee accept first. Like Best.

Whilst this is of value it may not be a very important point. The bees do not of necessity store more honey when given the apparently favorite foundation. We think R. L. Taylor, of the Michigan Experimental Apiary is doing very good work, but we regret that he did not succeed in having the different makes of foundation from the same wax and the temperature of milling taken into consideration. If a note was to be taken of the foundation to be first accepted we should think it would also be very important to have every maker use the same lubricant upon the machines.

The fact of primary acceptance may or may not have an effect upon the amount of honey gathered. Fancy is easily lead in one direction or another. We would expect that the bees would take to the heavier foundation most readily but we would also expect, the make up otherwise being the same, that the heavier foundation would leave the greater amount of "fish bone." This fishbone beyond a certain amount is a very objectionable feature. We say *beyond* a certain amount, for we believe that there may be a thickness, beyond the natural comb, but not thick enough to prevent the septum from crumbling, and it is therefore not noticed. In connection with this, doubtless, bee-keepers have noticed that the base of the comb in honey may be quite conspicuous

if eaten when taken from the hive (warm) but the same section when cold shows no such defect, the wax having become brittle. In Mr. Taylor's experiments the merits of individual samples are perhaps brought out as strongly as it is possible to bring them out.

We would engage that we could supply samples of foundation milled upon the same mill set the same way, and yet we can tell beforehand which sample will be accepted first by the bees and which will leave the thinnest septum in the comb.

* * *

We are tired of seeing some people *creb* the best articles or thoughts from other Bee Papers and then give only

Give Credit. credit by putting in the initials of that paper

which means nothing to a great many people. There is perhaps some excuse when a general revision is made, although even then it would be a difficult matter to draw a line and say in such an article that the full name should appear in another only the initials. If there is a department in which the same Journal is frequently referred to let the full name be given the first time and the Initials after that. In a recent number of the CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL, we find an extract and credit given "A. B. J." and again Brother York's address at the North American Convention, "Disposing of the Honey Crop" got separated from the report in setting up, showing that unintentional mistakes will happen. But we are not speaking of this, we speak of a regular practice, not of accident.