whilst Surrey, in her return match with Middlesex, would have pressed the home county much harder than she did had Mr. Wells, who scored 62, returned to the Pavilion caught in the slips at 24, and had not Mr. Warner, who amassed a century, enjoyed a respite at 47. The wonderful fielding displayed by Holland in the Lancashire match and by Hayes and Clode in the match with Notts, went far to redeeming the mistakes made by Surrey during August, but these mistakes cost her dear in some cases, especially when Mr. Palairet, in the match which Somerset won by 26 runs, was missed at 7 and eventually totalled 83.

To the best of our ability we show in the following table the net cost of the various mistakes we have traced in county matches during August, and also the names of those counties which benefited by the mistakes of their opponents and the extent to which they benefited: it is, of course, impossible to account for every run thrown away, but the aggregate of 4258 given through missed catches may be accepted as the minimum, for we have taken into consideration neither the runs accruing to the respited players' partners—runs that would not have been obtained had he not kept up the opposite wicket—nor additional extras also accruing from the innings being lengthened:

County.	Runs given to Opponents by missing Catches.	Runs received from Opponents.
Middlesex . . .	438 ...	390
Kent	411 ...	298
Gloucestershire . .	405 ...	139
Derby	384 ...	105
Worcester . . .	359 ...	316
Notts	306 ...	318
Somerset . . .	302 ...	270
Hants	289 ...	248
Sussex	289 ...	261
Essex	243 ...	207
Surrey	231 ...	831
Warwick . . .	212 ...	117
Lancashire . . .	195 ...	159
Leicester . . .	154 ...	161
Yorkshire . . .	40 ...	438
	4258	4258