ver.

rorke

vith c

ame !

oney

ater

Ve for

er cei

ompo:

id be

ying

en pi

tactly

xtros

r cen

nce d

that !

len w

of ·

d dry:

Woul

ture

er 24

5 per

y cir

ibed to

ecircu

lecom

this

ng th

ges o

oo hig

u mus

dvers

sts:

meth

ty method are correct, then we must conclude that 70 degrees is too high a temperature. I think it more probable that the levelose decomposes below 70 degrees. If the method by specific gravity is strictly applicable then the results obtained at 70 degrees are evdiently too high. I will read you a few more results for the sake of comparison:

The percentage of moisture obtained when honey is dried at 70 degrees centigrade is 23; by the specific gravity method we obtained 19 per cent; another sample by drying 20, by specific gravity 15 per cent; by drying 22; by specific gravity 13 per cent: drying 26; specific gravity 21 per cent; by drying 21; specific gravity 15 per cent. We seem to get about 5 per cent less water in honey by this specific gravity method than when drying at 70 degrees.

I am not prepared to say to-day definitely which one of those two is the more correct. I do not believe either of them is strictly speaking accurate, but I think the specific gravity method gives results nearer the truth. There are reasons which I need not on this occasion enter upon that lead one to think the specific gravity method does not give the exact per centage of solid matter in the honey, but I believe it will be found on fur her investigation to be much more reliable than the drying We shall examine this method more fully as time permits. We also purpose next year to institute a series of experiments drying at still lower temperature than 70 and using a vacuum. It may be a lengthy process but we shall have achieved something in Canada if, through the instrumentality of this Association, we show that the results as recorded for the per centage of water in Canadian honey are in-

accurate and that we have been able to find out something of a definite character with regard to the normal contents of water in honey. It is a question of scientific interest but it is more than that, it is a question of some commercial importance, because if there are differences in water contents of ripe and unripe honey if it is desirable that only ripe honey should be placed upon the market. it absolutely necessary we should have some means for determining what is ripe and what is unripe honey; in other words, a means of accurately estimating water in this material.

I trust I have made clear the reason for the statements I have made with regard to the unreliability of this drying process, of the results on record and the necessity for future work towards perfecting an analytical method. In order to see if our assumption regarding the decomposition of levulose were correct I made artifical honey. You remember that honey consists essentially of two sugars, dextrose and levulose; so w obtained chemically pure dextros and levulose and mixed in equa proportions and then analysed then by these two methods the specifi gravity and the crysotile or asbest fibre methods, and with the latte drying at the temperature of boiling water and at the temperature of degrees centigrade. By the specif gravity method we got the resul expected; that is to say, there was return for all the dextrose and let lose in the solution. It is thus e dent that if honey were a mixture dextrose and levulose only then liable results as to the percentage water present would be obtained the specific gravity method. I very hopeful of this method think it very probable that furth