

---

Province of British Columbia.

---

SUPREME COURT.

---

Irving J.]

McCLARY v. HOWLAND.

[Sept. 11.

*Practice—Security for costs—Joint plaintiffs, one an extra-provincial company—R.S.B.C. 1897, c. 44, s. 144.*

Summons for security for costs. The McClary Manufacturing Company was an extra-provincial company, having valuable assets in the province, and the plaintiff Drake was resident within the province.

*Held*, that an extra-provincial company must give security for costs under R.S.B.C. 1897, c. 44, s. 144, notwithstanding it is suing along with a resident of the province, and has assets within the province. Security ordered in the sum of \$200.00.

*Kappele*, for the summons. *Bloomfield*, contra.

---

Martin, J.]

ATTORNEY-GENERAL v. DUNLOP.

[August 15.

*Practice—Judgment—When delivered.*

After the trial of the action of *Dunlop v. Haney* in Vancouver, judgment was reserved by MARTIN, J., who then went to Victoria, subsequently reduced his judgment to writing, and signed it on August 11th, 1899, and enclosed it in an envelope with a covering letter directed to the District Registrar at Vancouver. The letter should have reached the District Registrar early the next morning, according to the regular course of the mails, but the office receipt stamp of the Vancouver Registry stamped on the judgment bore date August 15th, 1899.

For the determination of the present action it became necessary to decide when the judgment in *Dunlop v. Haney* was pronounced.

*Held*, that judgment was pronounced on 11th August, 1899, when the matter was finally determined so far as the Judge was concerned; and that the parties to the action could not be prejudiced by any delay in the Registry or the Post Office.