

GREAT CUB MACHINE WRECKED BY OWNER'S GREED; STANDS AS LESSON TO MAGNATES AND PLAYERS



Frank Schulte

Frank Schulte, Last of the Famous Old Cubs—Now on the Bench

(By Hugh S. Fullerton) Chicago's Cubs present a sad spectacle these days. The wreck of a championship team always is sad, but this one is pitiable. With the benching of Frank Schulte the final remnant of Chance's great team disappears from

action. Deserted by its friends, hooted from the stands, beaten by tail-end clubs, with a bunch of has-beens half heartedly battling along with worse results, the greatest pitching staff in the league wrecked and ruined, the Cubs present an object lesson in the results

of greed that ought to be a warning to all club owners—yes, and to players.

The ruin of the great machine built up by Chance is the result of nothing else than money greed—greed by the players for more money; worse greed by the owner.

Let us go back to the start. The Cubs, when Murphy came into possession of the team, were among the lowest salaried players in either major league. Selig believed in small salaries.

Chance did not. Chance wanted to pay his men what they were worth and to reward them. Over Murphy's protests he acquired Steinfield and rounded out his team.

In four years this team earned a profit of about \$50,000. During those four years the salary paid to all players was about \$250,000, exclusive of what they received from the world's series and city series. It is small wonder that the players, seeing the fortunes they were winning for Murphy, wanted larger salaries.

Murphy, and Chance broke first. Chance was wrong. He signed a contract that demanded more money. Murphy hot headedly accused Chance of bolstering expense accounts. Chance flew into a rage and quit. He forced Murphy to tear up the old contract, give him a new one and more money. The night Murphy surrendered to Chance he declared he would get him.

The Brown, Overall and Pfister formed a combination to hold out and agreed none would sign until all got what they wanted. Murphy gave in, but four days later the three pitchers were marked men. Hoffman led out and forced Murphy to pay him more. Hoffman was marked for release that day.

The story is the same in almost every case. Murphy surrendered and hid his time. He fired Chance as soon as he thought the manager was down and out with illness. He sent Brown to Louisville under an agreement that Brown never was to be permitted to return to a major league club and he "canned" Hoffman.

SPORT NEWS OF A DAY; HOME AND ABROAD

BASEBALL

Local Outlook Brightens

Halifax seems very anxious to have a baseball series with St. John and Moncton, according to a message received from the sister port last night. The Halifax baseball enthusiasts are talking of the "Maritime League" and for if Halifax is included, the "It'll be well supported on that end" quit. The Halifax team that is here will have on its roster Mc-catcher, Doyle, Gibson and pitchers, Connel, Sprague, Ri-we, infielders; Hanley, Murphy, it, outfielders.

A Shut-Out

A very one-sided game was played last evening on the Marathon Grounds when the St. Luke's team in the Church League shut out the Trinity nine by a score of 17-0. Dunlap pitched for the North Enders, and showed steady control throughout. He was caught by Crabb, Shaw, Smith and Murray were the battery for the losers. Messrs. Upham and Craft were the umpires. On Thursday evening the next fixture in the league is arranged between St. Stephen's and St. James' teams.

The standing in the Church League is:

Table with 2 columns: Team, Won, Lost, Points. Includes St. Luke's, St. Stephen, St. James, Trinity.

East End League.

The Glenwoods and Nationals played a four inning tie on the East End League diamond last evening, the game ending 6-6. The batteries were: Glenwoods, Thompson and Briton; Nationals, Perkins, Calahan and Sproul.



In West End.

On the Market Square, West St. John, last evening, the Adelphi beat the Lions, nine scores to eight in a fast five inning game. The batteries were: Adelphi, Woodrow and Fairweather; Lions, Stackhouse and Ring; umpire, C. Driscoll.

Thanks.

Joe Page sends to the Times greetings from Chicago, where he is communing with the White Sox management. He adds Tom Daley's good wishes and remembrances to all St. John friends.

Fairville Ball.

In the Fairville League, the Athletics defeated the Royals by the score of 2 to 4 at Frenchie's Park last evening. The batteries were: for the winners, O'Brien, Crossen, Marr and Humphrey; for the losers, O'Toole and Comau.

The league standing follows:

Table with 2 columns: Team, Won, Lost, Points. Includes Royals, Athletics, Cubs.

Evening Games

In the South End League last evening the All-Stars nosed out the Curvies in an exciting six inning event which ended 6 to 5. Batteries: Curvies, Donald, McDonald and Irvine.

The Young Glenwoods defeated the Shamrocks by a score of 5 to 1 in a six inning game last night on the Erin street diamond. The batteries were: For the winners, Short and Good; and for the losers, Alexander and Halpin.

The Young Nationals defeated the South Stars by 10 to 1 in the foundation yard last evening in a six inning fixture. Batteries: Curran and Murphy; Green and Kylin. The Young Nationals also won from the Acadias on Saturday. The score was 11 to 7. Batteries: Curran and Murphy; Frear and Kylin.

The Celebration Street Stars won from the Otters by the score of 12 to 4 last evening. Batteries: Evans and Seely; Hater and Kowley.

Features of the contest were home runs by Seely, Morrell and McKay.

St. Louis at Top.

Chicago, June 14.—St. Louis players led the batters of the American and National Leagues last week. C. Walker in the American with 877, and C. Miller in the National with 444. In the American, next to Walker were Crawford, Detroit, 389; Baker, Philadelphia, 388; Coveleski, Detroit, 383; Cobb, Detroit, 350; Jackson, Cleveland, 314; Miller, Washington, 311; Leivalt, Cleveland, 308; McInnis, Philadelphia, 301; F. Foster, Washington, 299; Oldring, Philadelphia, 298.

Following Miller in the National were Hummel, Brooklyn, 400; Dalton, Brooklyn, 386; Burns, New York, 384; Cather, St. Louis, 349; Byrne, Philadelphia, 346; Elversick, Brooklyn, 344; Grant, New York, 338; Meyers, New York, 333; Orvath, Philadelphia, 325.

Both Philadelphia clubs led in team batting, the Nationals with 268, just ahead of New York with 268; and the Americans with 266, in front of Detroit with 249. Maize of New York was leading base stealer in the American with 21, and Burns of New York in the Nationals with 16.

Battery men continued to lead the American Association batters, though Tins' 300 was still untouched. Several of Louisville, next to the Kansas City man had 375; Knight, Cleveland, 372; Hall, St. Paul, 371; Kargar, St. Paul, 368; Lake, Minneapolis, 364; Villier, Minneapolis, 357; Compton, Kansas

matched to race this afternoon against Black Bass, owned by John Glynn, and interest in the race is running high. Black Bass arrived on Saturday from Frederickton where she has been training under the eye of Bill Sharen. Both horses are in good shape.

Pearl Barrymore is said to have worked over Mouspath Park in 112, while Black Bass has shown a half in 1:09 1/2 over the Frederickton track, which is admitted at least two seconds faster for a half mile dash than the St. John twice-around.

RANGE.

Cadets in Match.

The third cadet match in the Canadian Rifle League series was shot by the St. Stephen's cadets on Saturday. The weather was favorable for shooting, except for varying light. The scores were as follows:

Table with 2 columns: Name, Score. Includes Lieut. R. Boyer, Corp. K. Brown, Capt. C. H. Brown, Sgt. R. Boyd, Corp. J. Bauer, Sgt. H. Brown, Pte. J. McKay, Pte. F. Akerley, Pte. J. Fleming.

AMERICAN WON

London, June 15.—Young' Aghorn, a Brooklyn fighter, tonight knocked out Sid Burns, an English welterweight, in the second round at Premierland, White-chapel.

The End of Peiky.

Melbourne, Australia, June 15.—Sam McVey of California, heavyweight champion of Australia, knocked out Arthur Peiky of Calgary in the fourth round here Saturday.

American Beaten in London.

London, June 15.—Harry Stone, an American pugilist, lost a twenty round fight before the National Sporting Club tonight to Jerry Delaney. Delaney won on points.

McVey Beats Peiky.

Melbourne, Australia, June 15.—Sam McVey of California, the heavyweight champion of Australia, knocked out Arthur Peiky in the fourth round of a fight here today. The result was never in doubt.

YACHTING

New Shamrock a Winner

Southampton, June 14.—Shamrock IV, challenger for the America's Cup, and the older Shamrock, were out for three hours yesterday when St. Thomas Lip-ton's new yacht was put through a succession of tests, in all of which she demonstrated marked superiority.

Players We Know

Pete Condon is going good again and on Friday held Worcester runder for seven innings after they had knocked Fitzgibbon off the mound.

Peter Condon was not enough to give Fitzgibbon a win, but he allowed Worcester only four hits, struck out three and gave one base on balls.

Jack Dedrick and Stanley Bates were out for the first time on Friday. Dedrick's pitching proved too much for the home team, and he was taken out in the third with one man out. He allowed three hits up to that time, and Bates, who finished the game yielded five hits. Dedrick struck out five and Bates seven.

Pat Duggan had one of Haverhill's eight hits and George Boardman, who was with Bangor last year, and now playing third base for Haverhill, also had a hit.

Bob Conley, who is now playing third base for Lawrence, figured prominently in the team's batting rally in the fourth inning of their game against Lowell on Friday. The former Frederickton player struck the ball for a home run, scoring one of the four runs made in that inning. These four were enough to win the game at the finish reading, Lawrence 4, Lowell 1.

The New Bedford team, with Jack Foye as the only former N. B. and Mainer in the line-up, are travelling at a fast clip in the Colonial League and are within one game of the two leaders, Foye had four hits in Friday's game and scored three runs. His team won from Pawtucket by 10 to 8. In the eighth inning they scored six runs and in the ninth three.

For Pawtucket, George Sullivan, a former member of the Frederickton team, was the star, getting three hits. Herb White, who is batting in second place on the Pawtucket team, made a success in the sacrifice position, having three to his credit. Hank Callahan had a hit, a sacrifice and started a successful double play.

LeRoy Woodcock, pitching for Woonsocket, was beaten again on Friday. Fall River being on the long end of a 3 to 2 score. Fall River connected with Woodcock's delivery safety eight times. The former Frederickton and Gloucester Bay twirler won his first game but has been beaten twice since.

"Dutch" Howard's Oldtown team is at the top of the Eastern Maine League, the former St. Croix hurler pitching his team to a 9 to 2 win over Belfast on last Friday. He allowed ten hits but fanned twelve, and was there in the pinches.

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

German States Grapple With Problem—Why Government Stands Off

(Times Special Correspondence)

Berlin, June 5.—Insurance against unemployment is the burning question in Germany. The matter has of late been before the Reichstag, also before the Bavarian, Saxon, and numerous minor state parliaments; and in all cases demands have been made by the democratic parties for the state support of the workers who, to the number of between 500,000 and 1,000,000 are always out of work.

The demand is backed up by several motives. Firstly, there is the practical motive that even without unemployment insurance the unemployed are supported by the community. Secondly, it is contended that unemployment insurance has been tested on a limited scale in other European countries, and been proved a success. Thirdly, there are the abstract grounds of reason and logic, the chief of which reasons is the "right to work."

For half a dozen years past Germany has had excellent systems of unemployment insurance. The trouble is that these systems are all local and partial. Certain municipalities have adopted them as regards certain classes of workers; but there is nowhere a system which insures all workers; and many municipalities have refrained from adopting the system, as a result of their conviction that not they but the state government or the imperial government should be responsible for the unemployed.

The annual "Stadtag," which represents all large municipalities in the empire, last year passed a resolution demanding imperial unemployment insurance. The Reichstag did the same thing two years ago, and in January 1912 it repeated the demand. The Reichstag cannot put through such a law without the consent of the government (which means, strictly speaking, the Bundesrat); and this consent is refused. The Reichstag's answer is that the state is not ripe, which means that the state and other municipalities on which insurance could be based does not so far exist.

Imperial states have so far taken up the same attitude as the imperial government. They desire that unemployment insurance shall be municipal. The municipalities have offered to organize insurance, but they demand that the state shall recognize its responsibility by giving a subsidy. The states have refused. Bavaria is the only, and a new exception. Of late German municipalities have been trying a system, based on the Ghent system, but applicable to the unorganized. That is to start unemployment savings banks. The workman during employment pays his savings into the savings bank and can withdraw them only when he loses employment. In this case he withdraws them at a fixed daily rate corresponding to the unemployment pay of the labor unions; and the municipality adds its daily supplement. Thus an unemployed man who draws from his own savings seventy-five cents daily may get an additional municipal allowance of twenty-five cents. The aim of the municipalities is to get the municipal supplements refunded by the state. In Bavaria, where the Ghent system will be combined with public "kassen" for voluntary insurance, the state has agreed to refund one-third.

The chief enemy of imperial unemployment is Secretary for the Interior Delbrück. He let out the fact that no serious social or technical obstacles stand in the way. The obstacles are political. Imperial unemployment insurance would politically help the Socialists. Under the present system the Socialist labor unions have to bear the chief burden of supporting their unemployed. If the empire bears the burden, said Herr Delbrück, the Socialist union funds will be relieved; and the Socialists will have much more money in their campaign treasuries for the political and electioneering struggle. Why should the government thus kindly play into its enemies' hands? The Reichstag's conviction is that the empire do nothing; and that the immediate future of unemployment insurance is in the hands of the municipalities, subsidized perhaps to a slight extent by the treasuries of the federal states.

Beware of Ointments for Catarrh that Contain Mercury. It is necessary to surely destroy the cause of small and completely denature the whole system when suffering through the mucous surfaces. Such ointments should never be used unless on prescription from responsible physician, as the damage they will do is too great to be paid for by any possible benefit from them. Write Calumet Co., manufacturer of P. J. Conway & Co., Toledo, O., enclosing no money and it will return you a testimonial for a sample of the best Catarrh Ointment ever made in Toledo, Ohio, by P. J. Conway & Co., Toledo, Ohio. Sold by Druggists. Price 50c per bottle. Take Hall's Family Pills for constipation.

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

German States Grapple With Problem—Why Government Stands Off

(Times Special Correspondence)

Berlin, June 5.—Insurance against unemployment is the burning question in Germany. The matter has of late been before the Reichstag, also before the Bavarian, Saxon, and numerous minor state parliaments; and in all cases demands have been made by the democratic parties for the state support of the workers who, to the number of between 500,000 and 1,000,000 are always out of work.

The demand is backed up by several motives. Firstly, there is the practical motive that even without unemployment insurance the unemployed are supported by the community. Secondly, it is contended that unemployment insurance has been tested on a limited scale in other European countries, and been proved a success. Thirdly, there are the abstract grounds of reason and logic, the chief of which reasons is the "right to work."

For half a dozen years past Germany has had excellent systems of unemployment insurance. The trouble is that these systems are all local and partial. Certain municipalities have adopted them as regards certain classes of workers; but there is nowhere a system which insures all workers; and many municipalities have refrained from adopting the system, as a result of their conviction that not they but the state government or the imperial government should be responsible for the unemployed.

The annual "Stadtag," which represents all large municipalities in the empire, last year passed a resolution demanding imperial unemployment insurance. The Reichstag did the same thing two years ago, and in January 1912 it repeated the demand. The Reichstag cannot put through such a law without the consent of the government (which means, strictly speaking, the Bundesrat); and this consent is refused. The Reichstag's answer is that the state is not ripe, which means that the state and other municipalities on which insurance could be based does not so far exist.

Imperial states have so far taken up the same attitude as the imperial government. They desire that unemployment insurance shall be municipal. The municipalities have offered to organize insurance, but they demand that the state shall recognize its responsibility by giving a subsidy. The states have refused. Bavaria is the only, and a new exception. Of late German municipalities have been trying a system, based on the Ghent system, but applicable to the unorganized. That is to start unemployment savings banks. The workman during employment pays his savings into the savings bank and can withdraw them only when he loses employment. In this case he withdraws them at a fixed daily rate corresponding to the unemployment pay of the labor unions; and the municipality adds its daily supplement. Thus an unemployed man who draws from his own savings seventy-five cents daily may get an additional municipal allowance of twenty-five cents. The aim of the municipalities is to get the municipal supplements refunded by the state. In Bavaria, where the Ghent system will be combined with public "kassen" for voluntary insurance, the state has agreed to refund one-third.

The chief enemy of imperial unemployment is Secretary for the Interior Delbrück. He let out the fact that no serious social or technical obstacles stand in the way. The obstacles are political. Imperial unemployment insurance would politically help the Socialists. Under the present system the Socialist labor unions have to bear the chief burden of supporting their unemployed. If the empire bears the burden, said Herr Delbrück, the Socialist union funds will be relieved; and the Socialists will have much more money in their campaign treasuries for the political and electioneering struggle. Why should the government thus kindly play into its enemies' hands? The Reichstag's conviction is that the empire do nothing; and that the immediate future of unemployment insurance is in the hands of the municipalities, subsidized perhaps to a slight extent by the treasuries of the federal states.

Beware of Ointments for Catarrh that Contain Mercury. It is necessary to surely destroy the cause of small and completely denature the whole system when suffering through the mucous surfaces. Such ointments should never be used unless on prescription from responsible physician, as the damage they will do is too great to be paid for by any possible benefit from them. Write Calumet Co., manufacturer of P. J. Conway & Co., Toledo, O., enclosing no money and it will return you a testimonial for a sample of the best Catarrh Ointment ever made in Toledo, Ohio, by P. J. Conway & Co., Toledo, Ohio. Sold by Druggists. Price 50c per bottle. Take Hall's Family Pills for constipation.

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that? Wear Walker—Because, mum, the firm failed about five years ago—

Wear Walker—No, mum, I ain't dirty from choice. I'm bound by honor. Yer see I once wrote a testimonial for a soapmaker and promised to use no other. Madam—Well, why don't you use that