

Parole and Penitentiary Acts

prepared to listen to the collective wisdom in the committee on legal and constitutional affairs and accept these very reasonable proposals that have been made.

Mr. Hnatyshyn: Bring back Stan Knowles.

Mr. Robinson: Mr. Speaker, it has been suggested by my colleague, the Government House Leader, that we bring back Senator Knowles. I am not aware of a Senator Knowles. There was a Senator Hnatyshyn, although, and I know he served with great distinction.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Charest): Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Charest): All those in favour please say yea.

Some Hon. Members: Yea.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Charest): All those opposed please say nay.

Some Hon. Members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Charest): In my opinion the nays have it. I declare the motion lost.

Motion No. 14 negatived.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Charest): The next grouping of motions will be Nos. 17, 18, 18A, 19 and 20—

Mr. Robinson: I rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker. I believe the Chair may have inadvertently overlooked Motion No. 4, which I believe should be put to the House at this time. It has not yet been put to the House and it was in fact ruled in order.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Charest): I am informed that Motion No. 4 is to be combined with Motion No. 29. If the Hon. Member for Burnaby (Mr. Robinson) will bear with us, maybe we could deal with this group of motions and ensure that Motion No. 4 is dealt with. Is that agreeable with Hon. Members? I was saying that Motion Nos. 17, 18, 18A, 19, 20 and 20A are combined for debate and Motion No. 17 will be voted on separately. A vote on Motion No. 8 will also apply to Motions Nos. 19 and 20. Motion Nos. 18A and 20A will also be voted on separately.

Mr. Svend J. Robinson (Burnaby) moved:

Motion No. 17

That Bill C-67, be amended in Clause 5 by striking out line 4 at page 9 and substituting the following therefor:

“order, and shall allow the inmate a hearing for the purpose”.

Motion No. 18

That Bill C-67, be amended in Clause 5 by striking out line 6 at page 9 and substituting the following therefor:

“of a review of the case of an inmate”.

Mr. Robinson: I rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker. I just need clarification. Is it in order for a motion proposed by a Minister to be seconded by a Parliamentary Secretary?

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Charest): Yes, it is.

Hon. Ray Hnatyshyn (for the Solicitor General of Canada) moved:

Motion No. 18A

That Bill C-67, be amended in Clause 5 by striking out line 12 at page 9 and substituting the following therefor:

“(3) Subsections 15.4(2), (4.1) and (5) apply.”.

Mr. Svend J. Robinson (Burnaby) moved:

Motion No. 19

That Bill C-67, be amended in Clause 5 by striking out line 14 at page 9 and substituting the following therefor:

“stances required, in respect of a”.

Motion No. 20

That Bill C-67, be amended in Clause 5 by striking out line 18 at page 9 and substituting the following therefor:

“require, in respect of a review pur-”.

Hon. Ray Hnatyshyn (for the Solicitor General of Canada) moved:

Motion No. 20A

That Bill C-67, be amended in Clause 5

(a) by striking out lines 43 to 46 at page 9;

(b) by renumbering paragraphs (b) to (h) on pages 10 and 11 as paragraphs (a) to (g), respectively.

Mr. Robinson: Mr. Speaker, just on a point of order, I had suggested earlier that perhaps the Parliamentary Secretary might speak to those motions in the name of the Solicitor General (Mr. Beatty) before I spoke to the motions in my own name in order that there might be an opportunity for clarification to avoid unduly prolonging debate. I wonder if he might want to clarify the motions in the name of the Solicitor General.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Charest): I will recognize the Hon. Parliamentary Secretary. Are you rising on debate or on a point of order?

Mr. Gordon Towers (Parliamentary Secretary to Solicitor General of Canada): I am rising with regard to Motion 18A. This section of the clause deals with the procedure to be followed by the board at the annual review of an inmate who has been ordered detained past his or her presumptive release date. This motion is consequential to the one proposed at line 16 on page 8 adding the guidelines to the statute. This motion will require, in statute, that the board apply the same guidelines in annual reviews.