

Ninety-nine such letters were sent and the Secretary of State for External Affairs has personally repaid the government for the stationery, envelopes, and postage.

Question No. 1,691—Mr. Cossitt:

Did the Secretary of State for External Affairs write a letter dated October 20, 1980, to what he referred to therein as "Special Friends" advising them in part "the government . . . has eased the requirements for claimants of Unemployment Insurance benefits in the auto industry, a condition which applies only to Windsor" and, if so (a) what is this special treatment being received by Windsor (b) is similar treatment being received in regard to UIC by other municipalities where automobiles are being produced such as Oakville and Oshawa and, if not, for what reason?

Hon. Mark MacGuigan (Secretary of State for External Affairs): See reply to question No. 1,690 answered this day.

THE VALLEY OF ARCHES

Question No. 1,719—Mr. Blenkarn:

1. What was the cost of installing certain spring steel structures on the west side of the Airport Parkway, described as art by the Minister of Public Works, entitled "The Valley of Arches"?

2. Who is the person in the government who decided this effort by Mr. Louis Stokes was art in the first place?

3. Did Mr. Stokes receive a grant from the Canada Council and, if so, what was the amount?

4. What was the total cost to the NCC or the government generally for this art work?

Mr. D. M. Collenette (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): I am informed by the Canada Council and the National Capital Commission as follows:

1. The installation cost of Valley of the Arches along the Airport Parkway was \$1,800.

2. The work was selected by the National Capital Commission's advisory committee whose members are regarded as experts on Canadian art, and approved by the executive committee of the commission on the basis of their advice.

3. Mr. Stokes has never received a grant from the Canada Council.

4. \$9,032 for a period of three years.

DND—LARGE CALIBRE AMMUNITION CASES

Question No. 1,821—Mr. McKinnon:

Did the Department of National Defence place a \$23,900,000 contract with Canadian Arsenals Ltd. for large calibre ammunition cases and, if so (a) for what calibre (b) how many cases are being purchased?

Hon. J.-J. Blais (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. The contract referred to by the hon. member was awarded by the Department of Supply and Services on behalf of the Department of National Defence.

(a) 1. M14—105 MM (II) (105 MM cases for field artillery howitzer shells)	(b) 1. 126,250
2. RW175—76 MM (3 inch-calibre cases for shells fired from AVGP main gun)	2. 183,370

Order Paper Questions

3. 3"/50 (3 inch-calibre cases for shells fired from naval medium calibre pieces)	3. 28,810
4. C4 105 MM (T) (4 inch-calibre cases for shells fired from the Leopard tank main gun)	4. 190,445
Total:	528,875

DND—CONTRACT FOR ELECTRON TUBES

Question No. 1,826—Mr. McKinnon:

Did the Department of National Defence place a \$534,423 contract with Philips Electronics Ltd., file number 5PE.2759265 for electron tubes and, if so, in what location are the tubes being manufactured?

Hon. J.-J. Blais (Minister of Supply and Services): Yes. In a Philips plant in Sweden.

* * *

[Translation]

QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN

Mr. D. M. Collenette (Parliamentary Secretary to President of Privy Council): Madam Speaker, if question No. 738 could be made an order for return, that return would be tabled immediately.

Madam Speaker, I ask that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

Madam Speaker: The questions enumerated by the hon. parliamentary secretary have been answered. Is it the pleasure of the House that question No. 738 be deemed to have been made an order for return?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

[Text]

IMMIGRATION APPLICANTS

Question No. 738—Mr. Siddon:

1. How many applicants were granted admittance to Canada under paragraph 11(3)(a) of the Immigration Regulations who did not qualify in (a) 1976 (b) 1977 (c) 1978 (d) 1979 and from which countries did they originate?

2. How many applicants were refused admittance to Canada under paragraph 11(3)(b) of the Immigration Regulations, who did qualify in (a) 1976 (b) 1977 (c) 1978 (d) 1979 and from which countries did they originate?

3. How many immigration officers are empowered to use paragraphs 11(3)(a) and (b) of the regulations and where are they located?

4. How many foreign visitors have applied for landed immigrant status while in Canada and how many applications were (a) granted (b) refused and from which countries did they originate?

Return tabled.