

the same result, by substituting the following words, "and consider the advisability of entering into negotiations with the Indian people with respect to the said title."

There is not, perhaps, a substantial change there, but at least if those words were included in the report before the House we would have respected a long standing practice of the House and I think would have saved ourselves a great deal of trouble. I wonder whether the House, by unanimous consent, would not be prepared to make this change now. If it did, there would be no difficulty in proceeding. Is there unanimous consent?

By unanimous consent, therefore, the report will be changed in that way. This having been done, there is no difficulty at all from a procedural standpoint with the motion and it will be put to the House.

By unanimous consent, it was ordered,—That consideration of the motion be deferred until the conclusion of "Routine Proceedings" and that speeches on the motion be limited to fifteen minutes with the exception of the prime speakers who shall be limited to thirty minutes.

Pursuant to Standing Order 39(4), the following seven Questions were made Orders of the House for Returns:

No. 36—*Mr. Reynolds*

1. How many former Members of the 28th Parliament now hold government positions, in any capacity?
2. (a) What are their names (b) what positions do they presently hold (c) with what department (d) what are their salaries?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/36.

No. 135—*Mr. Nystrom*

1. What was the total amount of money spent in each of the fiscal years 1968-69, 1969-70, 1970-71, 1971-72 by the Department of Energy, Mines and Resources on contracts to outside persons and organizations for research, development and other consulting services?
2. What are the names and addresses of these outside persons and organizations and what amounts of money were involved in each contract?
3. What was the purpose of each contract and title of each report submitted?
4. What are the names and addresses of outside persons and organizations who were awarded contracts for research, development and other consulting services in the current fiscal year, what are the amounts of money involved in each case and what is the purpose of each contract?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/135.

No. 153—*Mr. Broadbent*

1. What were the names of the corporations which received cash grants under the Ship Construction Subsidy Programme (SCSP), in each of the fiscal years

1968-69, 1969-70, 1970-71, 1971-72, 1972-73 to date and what were the amounts of each grant?

2. What were the names of the owners of ships and other vessels built under the Programme in each of the above fiscal years?

3. What were the names of foreign-based ship-owners who commissioned construction of ships and other vessels under the Programme, who benefitted from a federal export subsidy, and what were the amounts of the export subsidy in each case in each of the above fiscal years?

4. What are the objectives of the above Programme?

5. Has the department conducted any evaluative study of the Programme and, if so, what was the date of the latest report?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/153.

No. 181—*Mr. Harding*

What were the costs to the government of rentals of office and storage space, separately for each department and agency, in each of the fiscal years 1968-69, 1969-70, 1970-71 and 1971-72?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/181.

No. 429—*Mr. Whittaker*

1. Are mandarin oranges imported into Canada from Japan and, if so, in what volume for each fiscal year 1968-69 to 1971-72?
2. At what landings were these oranges landed and in what area was the bulk of the imports sold?
3. What was the total dollar value of the import of such oranges for each fiscal year as mentioned in Part 1?
4. Is it possible to export Canadian apples to Japan and, if not, for what reason?
5. Has representation been made by the Government of Canada to the Government of Japan with regard to the export of apples to that country and, if so (a) when has such representation been made (b) are there proposals being made by the government at the present time in this regard?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/429.

No. 506—*Mr. Forrestall*

1. What is the total amount of office and other floor space, in square feet, owned or leased by the government (a) within the National Capital Region (b) within the metropolitan area of Halifax-Dartmouth (c) within the metropolitan area of Montreal (d) within the metropolitan area of Toronto (e) within the metropolitan area of Vancouver-Victoria (f) within the metropolitan area of Winnipeg (g) within the metropolitan area of Edmonton (h) within the metropolitan area of Calgary (i) within the metropolitan area of London, Ontario (j) within the metropolitan area of Quebec City?
2. For each of the above, what percentage is (a) owned (b) leased?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/506.

No. 544—*Mr. Latulippe*

1. By department, what projects were accomplished in the constituency of Compton in the current fiscal year?