## Table 3

1998     .07     .07       1989     5.6     5.7       1990     11.2     11.7       1991     17.0     18.1       1992     22.9     24.3       1993     28.7     31.5	
1998     .07     .07       1989     5.6     5.7       1990     11.2     11.7       1991     17.0     18.7       1992     22.9     24.3       1993     28.7     31.5	able
199011.211.7199117.018.1199222.924.3199328.731.5	7
1991 17.0 18.1   1992 22.9 24.3   1993 28.7 31.5	7
1992     22.9     24.3       1993     28.7     31.5	7.
1992     22.9     24.3       1993     28.7     31.5	1
1993 28.7 31.5	5
	5
1994 34.4 38.0	0
1995 40.0 44.4	4
1996 45.3 50.1	1
1997 51.0 56.6	6
1998 56.7 63.3	3
1999 56.9 64.0	3
2000 56.9 64.9	Ş
2001 56.5 54.0	0
2002 56.5 64.5	5
2003 56.5 65.1	1
2004 56.5 65.0	
2005 56.4 66.2	
	-

## Exogenous Reduction in Manufacturing Employment (thousands of person years)

## 2.6 Federal Government Direct Fiscal Reaction

As a result of the reduction in tariffs, the federal government will lose revenue from customs duties. In the base case, it is estimated that this revenue would have been roughly \$3.5 billion (at nominal prices) in 1992, or 2.8 per cent of the base case federal revenues. By 2005, the direct amount rises to \$8.3 billion, or 2.3 per cent of total revenues. It can be argued that it is unlikely that the federal goverment will accept this revenue loss. Since the personal sector primarily benefits as a result of the lower import prices, it has been assumed that federal personal income taxes are raised by the amount of the loss in tariff revenue. It should be noted that household real



•• •