

457. *E. nimbicolor*, Hulst.—Described from here. The description says, "wings very uniform, blackish-fuscous, blackish cross-lines very faintly showing." Though I cannot, with certainty, trace back the specimen or specimens from which Hulst described the species, a careful process of elimination turns the probability upon one of two specimens, upon which he commented in a letter to me, dated June 14th, 1895: "They are probably *miserulata*, Grt., but the lines are very indistinct." One of these specimens was returned to me at that time. It is badly worn and greasy, but does not misfit the description. It is labelled "Mouth of Fish Creek, June 3rd, 1894." Mr. Taylor has seen it, and has two others from here of the same species, dated May 27th and June 3rd. He feels confident that they are the *nimbicolor* of Hulst, and writes me: "The species is well marked, and I do not know of any other with which it can be confused." Hulst mentions no data as attached to the type.

458. *E. multistrigata*, Hulst.—Mr. Taylor says: "This is *multistrigata*, without much doubt. Dr. Barnes has the type, and has sent me a specimen agreeing with yours, but it is smaller." In the Kootenai list under this name Dr. Dyar mentions two specimens, which, he says, "agree in general with a specimen from Calgary, communicated to me by Rev. George W. Taylor." Mr. Taylor, however, tells me "this is not the species called *multistrigata* by Dr. Dyar in the Kootenai list. . . . The Kaslo species is quite different." I have a Calgary specimen labelled by Mr. Taylor, dated June 21st, 1895, and at least two other specimens are certainly the same, June 23rd and July 4th, 1898. The description does not misfit, but 24 mm. is given as the expanse, whereas mine vary from 25 (♂) to 27 mm. (♀).

459. *E. ravocostaliata*, Pack.—Decidedly rare. April 23rd to end of May.

460. *E. castigata*, Haw. ?—Mr. Taylor returned me a ♀ so labelled out of a series I sent him, commenting: "I believe this to be the European *castigata*. I have it from the prairies and from B. C. My identification is made from a comparison with a good series of European specimens." I have taken altogether about two dozen specimens, which I believe to be the same species, all, or nearly all, during 1904, and on the wing just before dusk. June 23rd to July 2nd.

461. *Eucymatoge anticaria*, Walk.—Common. I have specimens so named by both Hulst and Mr. Taylor. June and July.