

CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL

PUBLISHED MONTHLY.

NEW SERIES
VOL. V, No. 11.

BRANTFORD, ONT., MAY, 1897.

WHOLE NO.
387

While speaking of typographical and other errors, a pretty serious error took place lately in Toronto. Errors in Printing. One of the big daily papers put in an advertisement for a large concern and in a mistake placed suits at \$1 instead of \$10. There was a rush, a blockade in fact, and a mistake. The editor's work is to revise and make plain, indistinct writing, to correct technical errors. This company does a large business, but they do not run a printing office. The Canadian Bee Journal is printed in the largest printing office in Brantford, issuing a daily and weekly paper with an unusually large circulation; that office is responsible for any errors a printer can correct. Any one having copies of the Canadian Bee Journal sent before we took it in hand, must admit that we do not make a tenth of the mistakes that were made in those days and no one complained. Our readers do not expect perfection in printing. We have quite a little experience in sending orders to various papers and it is a rare occurrence not to find changes in the mean- these transformations have taken place in the printing office. Any person with any experience makes allowances for these when he orders. Then take the convention reports, when speaking, often puts in a word he does not intend to use, I have noticed our printers do this, then the reporter gets it wrong, then the printer and when the reporter see it, unless he has some excuse, he is mad and takes no blame to himself, he thinks he was the only perfect

man in the lot. If there is a mistake of a serious nature, write briefly, and, without much comment, correct it, and let that be the end of it.

* * *
Another absurdity Mr. Hutchinson writes is as follows. 'Bee Journals have been born and lived a short time (some of them a long time) and then died, and Dr. Miller thinks that it is not kindness on the part of other journals to editorially notice the advent of a new journal by praising it. That is, that praise only stimulates the editor to go on and spend more money in continuing its publication when he might have stopped if we only had told him that—that his journal was no good. I can't agree with the Doctor. Adverse criticism or even silence would be looked on by the new journalist as simply the result of jealousy. Besides, the new comer sometimes out-lives and out-ranks the older journal. To withhold the usual editorial notice will neither make nor mar the new journal, nor prevent its editor from going on in the course he has mapped out. The editorial notice of a new journal is simply the polite bow with which we greet a new comer, and to withhold it looks either boorish or pharisaical.'

I suppose Mr. H. means "boorish" the best papers make typographical errors, but just let us reason a little, apply a little common sense. Publishing a Bee Journal is a business with most people, just as queen rearing, selling honey, selling bees and beekeepers supplies, or even selling dry goods.