iations for a com-

from Vienna says to her joining the

rotection is higher to see that a lower consumer than a community. With luxuries, he will Detroit than in that we do s from a country and freight.

city because we arily we would he collection of United States. ? We could tem than the to make people expansion of r North-west, of growth in our neighbors neys received ey have not ars, paid off for Governing it is not ship. The and wealth

gain which
out, if it is
erest must
seeming?
e sends us

0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 1ee years

000

200

 To Germany
 £18,000,000

 To France
 14,000,000

 To the Argentine Republic
 10,000,000

If we are right as to the results that would follow reiprocity it would benefit Great Britain. Very soon our increased wealth and purchasing power would make us much better customers than we are now. We have a sample of the benefit of unrestricted trade

in our great railways.

How would the number of employees of the Grand Trunk, Canada Pacific, and Michigan Central be thinned out if they had not reciprocity in their particular line of business? How they each reach out for and seek connections with the great American lines west at Chicago and by way of the Sault. And how all important tothem all is the connection of the eastern American seaboard. There is no reciprocity of trade on lake or river and as a consequence our proportion of the trade there is less each year. The Americans are building 110,000 tons of new shipping a year to our petty 3,000 tons. So great has their traffic become that their present water-ways are insufficient, and they are seeking a new and better outlet to the sea. What so natural an outlet as our St. Lawrence? No other can be made so inexpensively to serve the purpose, and none would serve it so well when completed. What would aid the choice of that route so much as "Unrestricted Reciprocity?" These two peoples are bound to be neighbors, and should be friends. In spite of jingoism, Canadian and American, they are most closely allied. Where are halt the boys of our towns and cities, and the tens of thousands from the country districts? They are in every city in the United States, east and west. I met them by the score in far off Oregon and California a year ago. They are all in the front ranks of every profession and calling wherever they go. They have not forgotten Canada or lost their love for the land of their birth. They were expatriated by the dire necessity of seeking employment elsewhere. I believe that the interests of the country would be best conserved by keeping our young men here. They can't stay unless they get something to do. Is it not much more loyal to provide a market for our people at home than force them to go to the market now denied them?

Reciprocity would give us:

Better markets for everybody.
 Cheaper manufactured goods for our consumers.

Development of our mines.
 Revival of our lake shipping.

How can political union be prevented so well as by bringing these results about? How can fealty to ones country be so well shown? It would be the precursor of free trade with Great Britain and the English speaking world at least, and is our easiest and most practical course to that end. To those who are content with our present condition I cannot appeal, but to those of every class and