- 3. Because of the improper reception of evidence.
- 4. Because of the improper rejection of evidence.
- 5. Because of the misdirection of the learned Judge who tried this cause.
- 6. Because the damages awarded by the Jury herein are excessive.
- 7. Because there was no proof of the publication of the alleged libel by the defendants.
- 8. Because the declaration did not allege what defamatory matter was published of the plaintiff.
 - 9. Because the declaration did not set up any cause of action against the defendants.
- 10. Because no defamatory matter was alleged to have been published concerning the plaintiff, except such as related to him as the bolder of an office which he did not fill at the time 770 of such alleged publication.

And on grounds taken on the motion for nonsuit and during the trial, unless cause to the contrary be shown before this Honorable Court within the first four days of the next ensuing December term or session of this Honorable Court.

Dated at Halifax, this 17th day of May, A. D. 1880. By the Court.

On motion of Mr. Sedgwick, for Defendants.

M. I. WILKINS,

Prothonotary. 780