(11)

Thirdly, It is alledged, That an extract of one letter only of Mr. Pitt's is published *, dated July 28. — But what has been faid above may be fufficient to show us the reafon of this. It appears that the old differences with Spain, probably the subject of Mr. Pitt's former letters, were by no means the grounds of the present war, or of the rupture advised by Mr. Pitt. To publish any of these letters, therefore, would have been quite foreign to the purpose proposed, in submitting the papers relative to the rupture with Spain to the confideration of parliament.

The next observation contained in the pamphlet, is upon that paffage of Lord Egremont's first letter toLordBristol, inferted in the papers; in which he fays, be opens bis correspondence +. But how can this be ' truth? (fays our very critical observer in ' the note) when LordBristol writes, Nov. ' 16. "The messenger Ardouin deli-" vered to me, on the 10th instant, at the

* Page 9.

+ Page 9.

C 2

Escurial,

2