have

C012-

eme

ıt in

olain

ever en-

afe-

ould

low

to

of

tish

dig-

ever

ever

neir

ind,

the

ave ake

all

ap-

ea-

all

ur

our successes, during Mr. P.'s administration, to Providence, and all our losses to mismanagement: but we are bound in charity to believe, that he is actuated rather by a principle of religion than a spirit of detraction!

In page 10, he makes it a disputable point whether the reduction of Louisbourg was planned by Mr. P. or not. But he infinuates, that even admitting it was, he canot possibly claim any merit from that conquest, because, for sooth, the same place was once conquered under a former administration. By the same way of reasoning, we may prove that admiral Hawke deserves no praise for beating the French sleet during the present war, because admiral Blake did the same in the time of Oliver Cromwel.

But, perhaps, we have mistaken the sense of our author; perhaps he meant to pay a compliment to Mr. P. Certain it is, whether he meant it or not, he