

The phraseology is as follows : " Nothing in this Act contained shall affect the obligation of the Government (if any) arising out of the conditions of the acquisition of the North-West Territories." Not a word about the Hudson Bay Company or anybody else, but simply whatever right there is by law now existing that we shall not disturb it by this Bill. The safeguard is this : that the claim of the Hudson Bay Company to a part of the land is a claim which only arises when the land is surveyed, and if at any future time it is to be surveyed, arrangements may be made to compromise or to get rid of the claim if the Hudson Bay Company have any.

The House resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole on the Bill.

In the committee.

On the second clause.

HON. MR. ABBOTT moved to amend the clause by leaving out the words "Banff National" and inserting in lieu thereof "Rocky Mountains."

HON. MR. VIDAL—I should like very much that the word "Canada" should be inserted in that name. There are more Rocky Mountains than those of Canada.

HON. MR. DICKEY—I entirely agree with the suggestion that has just been thrown out by the hon. gentleman from Sarnia, because it is in accord with the view I have taken of this matter from the first. The park ought to be localized in the manner which the Government have localized it, and I think the same process of reasoning should apply to the suggestion which has been made in this way. The Government have now altered the name, and instead of calling it the National Park, have rejected that name and have rejected also the name of Banff, and propose to call it simply the 'Rock Mountains Park.' For all purposes, in this country, that title is quite sufficient, but when we look at it from beyond this country, from the other side of the Atlantic, I think the name would have very much greater

significance if we made it "Canadian Rocky Mountains Park." The name "Canadian" none of us need be ashamed of, and it would give it a peculiar significance and localize still more clearly the position of this park.

HON. MR. ALLAN—I quite agree with what has been said with regard to the Rocky Mountains not being confined to Canada. We all know that a large part of the chain so called is located in the United States, and therefore when we speak of the Rocky Mountains Park, or at all events when it is spoken of on the other side of the Atlantic, there may be a doubt as to whether the park is in the United States or in Canada. If the name "Canadian" were added to it, however, "Canadian" would be dropped and it would be generally called "The Rocky Mountains Park." I am sorry to return to my first love, but I cannot see what objection there can be to the title "Dominion Park." My hon. friend says it is a hackneyed term. The same objection applies to the name "Victoria" yet we do not think anything less of the name. In the same way the word "National" is made applicable to all sorts of things, but I do not think it detracts from the dignity of the name, and I do certainly think that the title "Dominion Park" would be the most applicable, and the one by which it would be most widely known. I do not see why, as this is the name which our country now bears—the Dominion of Canada, the park of the Dominion should not go by that name. Apart from that consideration I should prefer the title proposed by the leader of the Government. The Queen's name has already been adapted to the park at Niagara Falls and a very long one it is—I believe the Royal Victoria Niagara Falls Park, or something of that kind.

THE SPEAKER—It seems to me that "Dominion Park" does not localize it. We want the name to show where it is located. If it is called the "Dominion Park" its locality may be anywhere within the whole bounds of the Dominion.

HON. MR. ALLAN—Will my hon. friend tell us where the Rocky Moun-