

*Order Paper Questions*

In order to protect the interests of western producers, it is the Canadian Wheat Board's policy not to divulge details of grades and prices on any sales, whether to the domestic or export market.

2. The following table shows the quantities and initial prices of the principal grades of barley and oats purchased by the Board from producers by pool account for the crop years 1976-77 through 1979-80.

Pool Account	#1 Feed		Barley #2 Feed		Others		Total Tonnes
	Tonnes	Price per tonne	Tonnes	Price per tonne	Tonnes	Price per tonne	
1976-77	3,463,895	\$ 80.38	173,296	\$ 79.00	406,747	\$ 78.54	4,043,938
1977-78	2,096,121	\$ 80.38	207,286	\$ 79.00	584,777	\$ 75.62	2,888,184
1978-79	1,811,392	\$ 79.67	118,114	\$ 77.67	284,141	\$ 74.78	2,213,647
1979-80	2,690,616	\$ 89.57	185,030	\$ 87.19	209,524	\$ 83.69	3,085,170

  

Pool Account	#1 Feed		Oats #2 Feed		Others		Total Tonnes
	Tonnes	Price per tonne	Tonnes	Price per tonne	Tonnes	Price per tonne	
1976-77	440,460	\$ 74.57	8,013	\$ 71.33	78,521	\$ 74.57	526,994
1977-78	240,441	\$ 74.57	23,464	\$ 71.33	133,237	\$ 74.91	397,142
1978-79	6,885	\$ 74.00	178	\$ 71.00	22,932	\$ 77.53	29,995
1979-80	3,987	\$ 68.08	190	\$ 64.00	20,753	\$ 85.59	24,930

3. Under the domestic feed grain policy the Canadian Wheat Board prices its offerings of feed grains to the domestic market on the basis of a formula which ensures that those grains are competitive with U.S. corn landed at Montreal. This formula takes into account the feeding value of wheat, oats, and barley relative to U.S. corn and soymeal. The formula price for the various feeding grades of these grains is set daily, based on the costs of U.S. corn and soymeal landed at Montreal. Handling and transportation costs from Thunder Bay to Montreal are deducted and the price is quoted instore Thunder Bay.

## PENITENTIARIES—DISCIPLINARY ACTION

Question No. 2,111—**Mr. Friesen:**

1. Were there incidents in 1979 and 1980, of such a nature to precipitate internal or other inquiries which led to disciplinary action against inmates at (a) Shulie Lake Institution (b) Springhill Institution (c) Dorchester Penitentiary (d) Westmorland Institution and, if so, in each case (i) on what date (ii) what was the nature of the offence (iii) what was the punishment?

2. In which cases were the reports of the incidents made public?

**Hon. Bob Kaplan (Solicitor General):**

1. (i), (ii), and (iii) Data are maintained on all incidents precipitating internal or other inquiries, and, as well, on disciplinary offences by inmates. However, the two are not correlated or cross referenced and to do this would require an extensive research project for which the Correctional Service of Canada has neither the manpower nor

the funds. The purpose of internal or other inquiries is to establish the facts that contributed to the incident so that action to prevent a recurrence of such an incident can be taken; it is not for the purpose of taking disciplinary action. Although an inmate may be involved in an incident and be subsequently charged with a disciplinary offence, this is not related to the convening of an inquiry.

2. It has never been the practice of the Correctional Service of Canada to make the report of inquiries public. Inquiries into most incidents often contain explicit information regarding the security of the institution which, if made public, could seriously threaten institutional security. Inquiries may also contain confidential information regarding the identity of individual staff members or inmates, which if released, could constitute a serious violation of an individual's civil rights or place the safety and wellbeing of a person in jeopardy.

Question No. 2,123—**Mr. Friesen:**

1. Were there incidents in 1979 and 1980, of such a nature to precipitate internal or other inquiries which led to disciplinary action against inmates at (a) Leclerc (b) Laval (c) Montée Saint-François (d) Sainte-Anne-des-Plaines (e) Archambault (f) Cowansville (g) Regional Reception Centre (h) Correctional Development Centre (i) La Macaza (j) Federal Training Centre and, if so, in each case (i) on what date (ii) what was the nature of the offence (iii) what was the punishment?

2. In which cases were the reports of the incidents made public?

**Hon. Bob Kaplan (Solicitor General):** See reply to question No. 2,111 answered this day.