# Order Paper Questions ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

## [Translation]

## **OUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER**

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. D. M. Collenette (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 1,284, 1,665, 1,787, 2,327, 2,328, 2,501 and 2,503.

I ask, Madam Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

#### [Text]

DEFENCE INDUSTRY PRODUCTIVITY PROGRAM

# Question No. 1,284-Mr. Herbert:

In each of the past five years, what amount was contributed to the Defence Industry Productivity Program (DIP) fund and, by how much per cent, did the annual contribution change in constant dollars?

Hon. Herb Gray (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): In so far as the Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce is concerned, for each of the past five years DIP contributions were:

	Actual	1973/74 Dollars*	Percent Change In Constant Dollars (73/74 Dollars)
1979/80	\$57.9 million	\$33.1 million	+ 1.22 per cent
1978/79	\$52.2 million	\$32.7 million	+ 13.54 per cent
1977/78	\$43.2 million	\$28.8 million	- 10.00 per cent
1976/77	\$44.9 million	\$32.0 million	+ 4.92 per cent
1975/76	\$39.0 million	\$30.5 million	

\* Using the implicit price index for the gross national expenditure published by Statistics Canada, the above values have been computed in terms of the FY 1973/74 dollar.

### DIVISION BETWEEN FOREIGN AND CANADIAN CONTROLLED OIL AND GAS PRODUCING COMPANIES

## Question No. 1,665-Mr. Herbert:

1. Is the division between foreign and Canadian controlled oil and gas producing companies in the chart on page 20 of the publication entitled The National Energy Program 1980 based on 51 per cent ownership of voting stock or were effective controls the criteria used?

2. Does any change in control, in order to be effective, arising from a change in status of preferred stock attributable to non-payment of dividend, require approval of the foreign investment review agency?

3. What percentage of the voting shares of the Canadian controlled companies listed, other than Petro-Canada, are owned by Canadians?

Mr. D. M. Collenette (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): I am informed by the Departments of Energy, Mines and Resources and Industry, Trade and Commerce as follows: 1. The division of companies between foreign and Canadian controlled is based upon the ownership of voting stock (50 per cent plus 1) expect where the Foreign Investment Review Agency has determined control on an effective control basis to be different from the statistical basis.

2. Ordinarily the transfer of control of a Canadian business enterprise to a non-eligible person arising from a change in status of preferred stock attributable to non-payment of dividends would not be subject to review under the Foreign Investment Review Act.

3. The ultimate Canadian ownership of the Canadian controlled companies listed according to Statistics Canada at the end of 1979 was as follows:

Pan Canadian Petroleum Ltd.	73.2 per cent
Dome Petroleum Ltd.	27.2 per cent
Norcen Energy Resources	93.1 per cent
Home Oil Company Ltd.	98.7 per cent
Husky Oil Operations Ltd.	83.7 per cent
Alberta Energy Company Ltd.	99.9 per cent
Ocelot Industries Ltd.	99.3 per cent

When the newly-established Petroleum Monitoring Agency completes its assessment, there may be changes in these ownership percentages.

#### ADVERTISING EXPENDITURES

### Question No. 1,787-Mr. Stevens:

For the current fiscal year, what are the estimated expenditures of Consolidated Computer Incorporated for (a) advertising (b) free or subsidized publications (c) other information conveyed to the public?

Hon. Herb Gray (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): In so far as the Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce is concerned, the company has provided the following information: for 1980, Consolidated Computer Incorporated estimates that its expenditures in the requested categories will be as follows:

- (a) Advertising: \$69,300 (including \$25,800 for pure advertising, \$15,000 for promotional material, and \$28,500 for trade shows).
- (b) Free or subsidized publications: Nil
- (c) Other information conveyed to the public: \$18,099 (To produce quarterly statements and financial reports.

#### DSS—DEPARTMENTAL ADVERTISING CONTRACTS

Question No. 2,327-Mr. Cossitt:

What is the value, by department, of all advertising contracts processed through the Department of Supply and Services for the fiscal years 1979-80 and 1980-81?

Hon. J.-J. Blais (Minister of Supply and Services): In so far as the Department of Supply and Services is concerned: