

of Canadian Minister to France. His resignation has been accepted and will take effect on December 31st. (1938)"

This case is perhaps of special interest because of the various factors involved. Mr. Roy was abruptly invited to proffer his resignation, on a week's notice, - either on suspected political grounds, or on grounds of his age, or on misinformed grounds of his physical impairment. He refused to submit his resignation, but was prepared to submit to recall or dismissal. He was offered, rather bluntly and hastily, a pension, which he felt was inadequate and unacceptable. As he would not resign on the pension offered, it was withdrawn. The Prime Minister, having failed in persuasion, declined to exercise his power to recall.

In the next stage, however, three years later, greater courtesies and amenities were observed, private discussions took place, a provision of a more generous pension was arranged, and Mr. Roy, then seventy and admitting his infirmity, voluntarily agreed to resignation and placed himself "with gratitude" at "the disposal" of the Prime Minister.

As a consequence, there followed new regulations regarding pensions or retiring allowances covering cases of political appointees to diplomatic posts who did not, like the career officers, contribute to the Civil Service Superannuation Fund.