that

1 have

ng up

itities

iglish

o take

think

rainst

have

that

Our

time:

nd I

oney

v the

ip to

ntity

1d 80

o the

·lieve

You

some

nine

ne or

more than I expected to get and that topped me. I am satisfied to-day if had twenty-six thousand pounds of lover honey in sixty pound tins I could get 2½ shillings a hundred nore than I got for my last shipment.

The President: The ways and ng to means of getting it on the British bee. market, there is our greatest difficulty. : it is could not Mr. Fixter inform us on his subject? idea

Mr. Fixter: I have not thought fit at all. I dont think our beekeeping friends had much trouble in getting rid of their honey in our district this year. I believe as Mr. don't lickenson says if we produce a y to good article we know of no difficulty getting rid of all the honey in the English market that can be produced this country. All we have to do to put it up right.

Mr. Holtermann: I believe the bject under discussion is that of e honey exchange. That commithas no doubt done good work in is matter of the grading rules. he thought has been suggested as the necessity of having money to something with. I have no doubt that and did not have from the very first, and when it was first ken of I never dreamed that there s any other thought than that re should be a stock company med—a chartered and limited npany which should act in that have ection and get stock as far as they up ld. I doubt very much if \$50,000 indl ald be secured for that purpose. SIX t know that it would be necessary the have that much. In this direction irke trying to, as it were fix a price what control the output, I doubt if t are organization in this country will ities succeed When we go to have ifornia or take take the Citrus t exchange for instance where areas for producing lemons, the nges, and so on are limited, and ing

where they are, as a rule, long distances from the market, it is an easier matter to control outputs and fix the prices. No man who is producing a large quantity of honey can afford to trifle with this question. Our own output this year has been nearly 30,000 pounds and I have no doubt there are many in this room who have produced as much: we cannot afford to fight one another, neither can we afford to do that which is not honestly right in this matter and if we are trying to work in a direction that is wrong we are wasting our energies. We should look at all these points in a common sense way. See the directions in which the dairymen have worked; they have not tried to fix the prices of butter and cheese and make people pay that price. They have had some of the best men in the Dominion given to the development of these lines and among them I do not hesitate to say is Professor Robertson, and the direction in which they have worked is this: They have aimed at organization in production more or less: they have aimed at better methods of producing; they have tried to get a more equable and better article upon the market and as they increased the quality of that product they have had a greater market at home and they were able to get into the foreign market to better advantage. I do not hesitate to say, ladies and gentemen, that that is the direction in which we must work. That is the right direction.

Just let us see what the Government has done for the fruit industry. They have helped in spreading it, in giving out better qualities, in producing a better article, in giving cold storage and more rapid transportation. In the beef line and so on they have helped in cold storage and are helping it in directions along