

Huntingdon,-----	0	0	0	Rideau,-----	0	8	8
Tyendinaga,-----	1	2	0	Bytown,-----	0	15	6
Bay Quinte,-----	0	12	6	Bonna Cheur,---	0	8	9½
Camden,-----	0	0	0	Wolf Island,---	0	0	0
Milford,-----	0	8	0	Otonabee,-----	0	1	8½
Hallowell,-----	0	18	2	Spencerville,---	0	17	0
Consecon,-----	0	5	4	Ottawa,-----	0	0	0
Waterloo,-----	1	3	11½	Winchester,---	0	9	6
Leeds & Brockville,	0	10	0	Confer. Collection,	2	9	5
Total,				£16 19 5			

QUESTION XVIII.

How was the fifth collection appropriated?

ANSWER.

Paid for stationary at Conference,-----	£0	2	6
“ to Joseph Gatchel,-----	4	16	2
“ to Henry H. Johnson,-----	4	16	2
“ to James McNally,-----	2	8	1
“ to Widow Powley,-----	£2	8	1
“ to Widow Alley,-----	2	8	1
Amount appropriated	16 19 5		

NOTE—The appropriation of the fifth collection, last year, should have been as follows:—

Paid for stationary at Conference,-----	0	2	11½
“ to Joseph Gatchel,-----	4	18	3
“ to Henry H. Johnson,-----	4	18	3
“ to Widow Powley,-----	2	9	3½
			12 8 9

The error was occasioned by the Conference Stewards having made a double appropriation in their Report, the first of which—the one published—was not erased, although rejected—The above is the appropriation allowed.