birth. On that occasion Mr. McGee said: "It may be said that it is rather strange for an Irishman that spent his youth in resisting that government in his native country to be found among the admirers of British constitutional government in Canada. To that this is my reply-if in my day Ireland had been governed as Canada is governed, I would have been as sound a constitutional conservative as is to be found in that land. But, although I was not born and bred in the best school to see the merits of the British constitutional system, I trust I am not going to quarrel with the sun and the elements because of late it has ruined 200 out of 365 days on that particular spot of earth on which I was born. I take the British constitutional system as the great original system on which are founded the institutions of all free states . . . I take it as combining in itself permanency and liberty-liberty which is enjoyed in practice by all the people of Canada, of every origin and creed."

A CONSTITUTIONAL STRUGGLE.

The liberties which we now enjoy in Canada, and which McGee so highly appreciated, were won by the men of 1837-38, who rose up against the violition of the spirit of that liberty-imparting constitution. Success attended their efforts in Canada—hence the loyalty of the French-Canadian people of to-day. In Ireland the struggle was of a like character, but failure left the country in the same position as it had been for generations.

TWO GREAT LANDMARKS.

Standing upon the hilltop and gazing out upon the future Dominion, his eagle-eye taking in every detail of the scene to the horizon's uttermost rim, the prophetic statesman then exclaimed: "Though theoretical to-day, our future will be practical to-morrow. I never posed as a preacher of loyalty; I preach security, I preach precaution, I preach self-preservation." Then pointing out that the Governments of the Old World were then nearly all monarchies, while those of the New World were principally republics, he began a deep analysis of the two systems, and a selection of that most adopted to the present and future needs of Canada.

"Some monarchies," he said, "in all but name might be considered republics, while some republics partake largely, if not of monarchial, certainly of an oligarchial character. We can only appeal to two teachers—contemporary events and the voice of history." Let me quote another passage and then we will come to the irrefutable logic of McGee's political reasoning: