when Prussia was engaged against France and Austria in the Seven Years' War. Eng land subsidized all the nations of Europe during the Napoleonic war when all the nations of Europe were defending their autonomy, their independence, against the She was able to do so, why? Colossus, Because, of all the nations of Europe, England was the nation which had spent least upon armanients. She had never spent any of her resources as the other nations did, purely upon her armles, she had extended her trade and her commerce, she had developed her resources, and in time of war she was able to assist other nations with n, mey, which, as we know, is the nerve and sinew of war. Napoleon, in a fit of anger, called England a nation of shopkeepers. No higher compliment was ever paid to England than this, if it were meant as an insult, because it was these same shopkeepers who grappled with the Colossus and were able to bring him down and make hlm blte the dust. For Canada, for my country, I would desire no better title than also to be called a nation of shop-keepersand to be able to supply the slnews of war.

Sir, up to this moment I have endeavoured to meet he arguments of those who in this controversy say that our policy is wanting in the duty which we, as part of the British Empire, owe to England. But Sir, there are also on the other side of the House those who arraign our policy cause, as they say, we sacrifice by It the Interests of our native land to the Interests of the empire. There are the two extremes, they are there, sitting together, side by slde, cheek by jowl, blowing hot and cold. I have endeavored up to the present time to deal with those who blow hot; let me try a word now with those who blow coid. Need I say that this applies chiefly to the Iton. member for Jacques Cartter and to those who think with him upon this question. The policy which they have taken in the province of Quebec is that our attitude at the present time is uncalled for and unnecessary, that it is a surprise upon the country, that we never had a mandate to carry it on. Sir, is it possible that such an argument is heard in this House? Have these men been asleep for eight years? Are they Rip Van Winkles? Must I call their attention to the policy we laid down, which bas been communicated to this House and to the people and which for eight years has been before the people of this country? At the conference of 1902 we laid this paper before the conference:

"At present Canadian expenditures for deside The Canadian government are prepared to consider the naval side of defence as well.

On the sea coasts of Canada there is a

form a naval reserve, and it is hoped that at nn early day a system may be devised which will ead to the training of those men, and to the making of their services available for defence in time of need,

In conclusion the ministers repeat that while the Canadian government are obliged to dissent from the measures proposed, they fully appreclate the obligation of the Dominion to make exepnditures for the purpose of defence in proportion to the increasing population and wealth of the country. They are willing that those expenditures shall be so directed us to relieve the tax-payer o fthe mother country from some of the burdens which he now bears, and they have the strongest desire to carry out their defence schemes in co-operation with the Imperial authorities, and under the advice of experienced interlat officers, so far as is consistent with the principle of local self-government which has proved so great a factor in the promotion of Imperial unity.

This paper has been before Canada for the last eight years, and we are told in the province of Quehec that this policy of ours ls a new departure. Canada has progressed since 1902. We stated in 1902 that, as Canada advanced in wealth and population, we would advance in our defences. The population of Canada in 1902 was 5,400,000 sonls; the population of Canada in 1910 is at least 7,400,000 souls. The revenue of Canada in 1902 was \$58,000,000; the revenue of Canada in 1910 is at least \$100,000,000. We, therefore, think that the time has come when, as was stated in 1902, we should take a step forward, and this is what we are dolng. Upon this men can differ, although in my opinion they should not differ but to tell us that this is something unheard of, a new policy, Is simply triffing with common sense. But, Sir, that Is not all. They took another position, that he naval service is absolutely nucalled for and napecessary. Why do we ask parliament to yote for this payal service? It is simply It is simply because it is a necessity of our condition and the stains we have reached as a nation, Do these gentlemen forget that, as I stated a moment ago, the revenue of Canada Is today \$100,000,000, and the population over 7,000,000? Do they forget that our country extends from one ocean to the other, and from the American boundary to the Arctle ocean, not on the map only but in actual and ever-increasing settlements? Do they forget that there are growing up on the Pacific coast, cities fast approachling in strength and wealth, eastern cities, that Vancouver to-day has a papulation of 100,-000, that Victoria has a papulation of 40, 000 ? Do they forget that Prince Rupert is also fast advancing to the front ? Do htey forget that we are going to build a rallway from the interior to Hudson Bay? Do they large number of men admirably qualified to forget that we have gold mines under the