

Order Paper Questions

STUDIES ON 1968 FEDERAL GENERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN

Question No. 2,119—**Mr. Coates:**

1. Since 1968, how much money has been allocated by the government to the Canada Council in the form of social science grants?
2. Of this amount, what was the total allocated to study the 1968 general election campaign?
3. (a) How many studies are being conducted on the 1968 general election campaign (b) how many have been completed (i) by whom (ii) at what cost for each (c) how many have not been completed (i) by whom are they being conducted (ii) to date, what is the cost of each (iii) on what date are they expected to be completed?

Hon. James Hugh Faulkner (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Canada Council as follows: 1. From 1968-69 to 1973-74, the Canada Council has received \$163,455,000 in unconditional grants from the parliament of Canada. Of this amount, \$24,221,000 have been awarded as research grants in the humanities and social sciences. (No separate statistics exist for the social sciences as distinct from the humanities).

2. No part of this amount was allocated to the study of the 1968 federal general election campaign.

NOTE: A sum of \$397,556 has been granted since 1968 to Mr. John Meisel under the Canada Council's Killam program to study the role of parties and elections in the Canadian political system. The Killam awards are not funded out of government money, but out of a special trust fund established by the late Mrs. Dorothy J. Killam and administered by the Canada Council.

3. The Canada Council is not in a position to answer this question.

CONSUMER AND CORPORATE AFFAIRS—COMPLAINTS OF REFUSAL TO SUPPLY

Question No. 2,176—**Mr. Saltsman:**

1. How many complaints of refusal to deal have been made to the Department of Consumer and Corporate Affairs since the Department was formed?
2. What is the breakdown of such complaints by year of receipt?
3. How many such complaints were examined in detail by the Department including obtaining explanations from the party against whom the complaint was made?

Hon. André Ouellet (Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs): The information requested is not readily available in the format requested. However, for the six months period June through November, 1974 the answers are as follows: 1 and 2. Twenty-three complaints deal with refusal to supply.

[Mr. Andras.]

3. Ten of the complaints were examined in detail by the department and in four of these, explanations were obtained from the parties against whom the complaints were made.

REVIEW OF DRUG SUBMISSIONS

Question No. 2,226—**Mr. Herbert:**

1. Does the Health Protection Branch of the Department of National Health and Welfare always adhere to the 120 day schedule for the review of drug submissions and, if not, for what reason?
2. How many submissions have had the reviews delayed in the past year?

Hon. Marc Lalonde (Minister of National Health and Welfare): 1. The Health Protection Branch of the Department of National Health and Welfare has not always been able to adhere to the 120 day time limit for the review of drug submissions. The delay in responding is due to the increasing number of submissions received, the increased volume of data with each submission and the increasing complexity of the information contained in the submissions.

2. From April 1, 1974 to March 31, 1975, there were 485 New Drug Submissions and Supplemental New Drug Submissions on drugs for human use reviewed. Of these, 126 or 26.0 per cent exceeded the 120 day limit. While there is no statutory time limit for Investigational New Drug Submissions, a total of 342 on drugs for human use were evaluated during the same fiscal year. Of these, 63 or 18.4 per cent exceeded 120 days for a response. With regard to drugs for veterinary use, 186 New Drug Submissions and Supplemental New Drug Submissions were reviewed during the same fiscal year. Of these, 59 or 31.7 per cent exceeded the 120 day limit. While again there is no statutory time limit for Investigational New Drug Submissions of drugs for veterinary use, a total of 21 were received. Of these, four or 19.0 per cent exceeded 120 days for a response.

CBC—PROVINCIAL POLITICAL BROADCASTS, EDMONTON, ALBERTA

Question No. 2,235—**Mr. Schumacher:**

1. During the period February 14 to March 26, 1975, by day, what were the (a) names of provincial political candidates (b) times used by them participating in the "Edmonton A.M." programme aired by CBC in Edmonton, Alberta?
2. For the same period, by day, what were the (a) names of provincial political candidates (b) times used by them participating in the "Radio Noon" programme aired by CBC in Edmonton, Alberta?