NAYS

Messrs:

Abbott Authier Bercovitch Bertrand (Prescott) Black (Chateauguay-Huntingdon) Black (Yukon) Blair Blanchette Bradette Breithaupt Cardin Chevrier Cleaver Cloutier Corman Coté Crerar Créte Cruickshank Davidson Dechene Donnelly Dubuc Dupuis Durocher Edwards Emmerson Eudes Evans Farquhar Fauteux Ferland Ferron Fleming Fontaine Fournier (Hull) Fournier (Maisonneuve-Rosemont) Fraser (Northumberland, Ont.) Fulford Furniss Gardiner Gershaw Gladstone Golding Goulet Graham Grant Gregory Hanson (Skeena) Hanson (York-Sunbury) Henderson Hill Howe Hurtubise Ilsley Isnor Jean King, Mackenzie Laflamme Lafontaine Leduc Leger Little McCann

McCubbin McCulloch MacDiarmid Maedonald (Brantford City) Macdonald (Halifax) Macdonald (Kingston City) McDonald (Pontiac) McGarry McGibbon McIlraith McIvor Mackenzie (Vancouver Centre) MacKinnon (Edmonton West) McLarty MacLean (Cape Breton North-Victoria) McLean (Simcoe East) Macmillan McNevin (Victoria, Ont.) McNiven (Regina City) Matthews Michaud Mitchell Mullins Mulock Mutch Neill O'Neill Pinard Pottier Power Purdy Reid Rhéaume Roebuck Ross (Calgary East) Ross (Hamilton East) Ross (Middlesex East) Ross (Moose Jaw) Ryan St. Laurent Sanderson Sissons Soper Stirling Sylvestre Taylor Telford Thauvette Thorson Tripp Tucker Turgeon Turner Veniot Vien Ward Warren Weir Whitman Winkler Wood-125.

Motion (Mr. McLarty) agreed to, and bill read the third time and passed, on division.

[Mr. Mackenzie King.]

CANADIAN FORCES

REINSTATEMENT IN CIVIL EMPLOYMENT OF PERSONS WHO ENLIST IN HIS MAJESTY'S FORCES

The house resumed from Monday, February 23, consideration of the motion of Mr. Mitchell for the second reading of Bill No. 5, to provide for the reinstatement in civil employment of individuals who enlist for service in his majesty's forces or who perform essential war employment.

Mr. J. R. MacNICOL (Davenport): Mr. Speaker, I wish to take advantage of this opportunity of congratulating the Minister of Labour (Mr. Mitchell) upon having risen from the ranks of labour to his present high position, in which I wish him every success. He follows in the footsteps of distinguished men in his office; in fact I believe no less a person than the present Prime Minister (Mr. Mackenzie King) was the first Minister of Labour and had the honour of organizing the department. That is a mark for the new minister to keep in sight.

As one who for years served with many hundreds and thousands of working men, many of whom served in the first world war and many of whose sons and grandsons are serving in the present war, I not only rise to support this bill, but I will support any other bill that may be introduced providing for the reestablishment or rehabilitation of our soldiers on completion of their service. For years I was associated with working men and shared their joys and sorrows. I experienced with them their satisfaction at having fulltime employment with reasonable working conditions and adequate wages, to all of which the workers are entitled. I experienced with them their grief and distress when they and their families had to suffer through unemployment. Naturally any bill that has for its object the provision of employment will have my support; and while this bill does not by any means go far enough to suit me, at least it is a step in the right direction. I hope that what I and others who take part in this debate may say will encourage the minister to go further, if not in this bill then perhaps in other measures. As I have said, the minister has risen from the ranks of labour. as I did; he has been a union man, as I was, in my early days having belonged to a transport union. I am confident that his mind is sympathetic to improving the conditions of our working men, and as a rule, though not always, our soldiers are working men.

Everyone is not in accord on this bill. Recently I read two articles in which the writers took this house to task for proposing