## Correspondence.

The Editors are not responsible for any views expressed by correspondents.

## Medical Council-Dr. Sangster.

Dr. William's purely technical relations to honesty and truthfulness in public debate-Self-convicted of dishonesty of purpose and untruthfulness of statement—Is a tricky and unscrupulous debater—Setting up men of straw to knock them down-His futile attempts to whitewash the Executive Committee—The latter an illegally constituted and, practically, an urresponsible triarchy—The plausible doctor's artless admissions as to the qualifications deemed necessary on the part of those considered eligible for admission to the President's Chair or the Executive Committee-Fallacies. plausibilities and misrepresentations—Dr. Williams still objuscated as to "who is who and what is what"-Vital interests of general profession not safe in hands of the schools and a few medical sectaries-Futility of trying to call the Executive Committee to account in the presence of the "Ruling Alliance of Sixteen"—Attempt as vain as it is costly—Prefer to carry my appeal unto Casar-Public opinion in the profession may grow only slowly, but it does grow, and when developed its results are sure-The "Machine," the Council's bed of Procrustes-stretching or lopping off members to happy-family mould and standard dimensions-Daring averment—Do "Regulations provide for Fall examinations?"— Council usage-Citing various members and especial Dr. Williams as witnesses with regard thereto-The doctor's zeal in the service of the schools impels him to "eat crow"—He is "keel-hauled" by the Council on two successive occasions for making the averment set forth in his June letter-Why the project of holding a Fall examination has never been mooted in the present Council-When convicted of deliberate falsehood and misrepresentation Dr. Williams invariably resorts to the school-boy's retort "You're Another" - Unmanly use of means CALCULATED to win confidence-His influence in the Council except in the Inner Circle, a thing of the past-How and why he has lost it-The only coin with which honorable and intelligent men pay for the spurious and crafty vaporings of polemical knavery.

## To the Editor of the Canadian Medical Review.

SIR,—In the June REVIEW, Dr. Williams attempts the hopeless task of exonerating the Executive Committee from the charge of usurping Council functions, and of recklessly wasting Council funds, and of insolently withholding information asked for by members of the Council. His letter, from start to finish, is just such a tissue of artful misrepresentations and deliberate misstatements as your readers have,