

PATENTS PROCURED
In Canada, Great Britain, United States, and Foreign Countries.
PRELIMINARY PATENTS
DONALD C. RIDOUT & CO.
Canada Life Building, Toronto, Established 1887.

THIRTEENTH YEAR.
REFORM PARTY'S WAR CRY.

REFORM PARTY'S WAR CRY.
REPEAL OF THE PROHIBITION LAWS
AND THE REPEAL OF THE
SUNDAY CARS LAWS.

Together with a repeal of the franchise act, Senate Reform, a Prohibition, and a few other things.
Mr. Laurier on the Manitoba School Question.

OTTAWA, June 21.—The afternoon and evening sessions of the Liberal convention were devoted to resolutions and speeches.

The report of the Committee on Resolutions submitted a platform with a dozen planks.

The resolution is as follows:
Tariff Reform.
We, the Liberal party of Canada, in convention assembled, declare that the customs tariff of the Dominion is not based, as it now is, upon the protective principle, but upon the requirements of the public interest.

That the existing tariff, founded upon an unsound principle and based upon the Government, as a corrupting agency, has developed a system of protection which has increased the value of farm and other landed property, it has oppressed the masses.

A few: it has checked immigration; it has caused loss of population; it has retarded commerce; it has discriminated against Great Britain and the United States; it has occasioned great public and private injury, all of which evils must continue to grow in intensity, so long as the present tariff system remains in force.

The highest interests of Canada demand a reform of the tariff. The adoption of a sound policy, which will not only protect the industry of the country, but will also promote the progress of the people.

That to that end the tariff should be reduced to a minimum, and that it should be so adjusted as to make free or to be as nearly as possible free for all goods, and that it should be so arranged as to promote free trade with the United States.

We believe that the results of the protective system have been so disastrous to the thousands of persons who honestly supported it, and that the country in the light of experience is now prepared to receive a sound policy, that the issue between the political parties on this question is now clearly defined.

The second and one of the most important resolutions was that the Government should have friendly relations with Canada and the United States.

It was also decided to have a resolution on the subject of the repeal of the prohibition laws, and that the Liberal party is ready to enter into such a coalition with the Independent Party of Ontario.

A few more planks.
The other resolutions of the convention were of a minor nature, and were adopted by acclamation.

The resolutions were adopted with almost unanimous approval.

Laurier on Manitoba Schools.
The Liberal leader on the Manitoba school question, in which he said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

Mr. Laurier said that the Government had asked the Supreme Court to decide whether the act was valid or not.

FOUR LIVES LOST IN A DULUTH FIRE

Incident of a Dwelling House Awakened to Find the Place Abandoned

DULUTH, June 21.—The Bunnell block, a large frame building in Lake-avenue, was destroyed by fire at midnight last night with a loss of at least four lives and about \$40,000 worth of property.

The fire broke out in the second story of the building, which was used as a dwelling, and spread rapidly.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

Several persons were seen to appear at the scene of the fire, and were seen to be in a state of great distress.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

The fire department arrived within ten minutes, but it was too late to save the building, which was completely destroyed.

DOCTORS AND SUNDAY CARS.

OVER SEVENTY PER CENT. FAVOR THE INNOVATION.

World Young Men Take a Walk Among the Physicians and Find that the Great Majority Will Vote for Sunday Cars.

A World Young Man took a stroll among the physicians yesterday and ascertained the opinion of the medical profession on the subject of Sunday cars.

The results of the investigation are as follows: Over 70 per cent. were favorable to the running of the cars on the Sabbath, and only 30 per cent. were opposed to them.

The investigation was conducted by a committee of the World Young Men's Association, and the results are as follows:

Total interviewed..... 29
Favorable to Sunday cars..... 20
Opposed to Sunday cars..... 9

Several of the doctors voted against Sunday cars, but they were in the minority.

Dr. Wagner, Gerard-street, strongly approved of Sunday cars.

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. R. J. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

Dr. G. F. Jones, 125 Carlton-street, said: "I am in favor of Sunday cars every day."

SUNDAY CARS.

TO HIS WORSHIP THE MAYOR AND ALDERMEN OF THE CITY OF TORONTO.

WHEREAS at a meeting of the City Council held June 19, 1891, it was resolved that the streets of this city for street railway purposes be closed on the Sabbath and on the day called Sunday;

WHEREAS Section 40 of the Agreement between the City Council and the Kinly-Everett Syndicate stipulates: No cars shall be run on the streets of this city on the Sabbath and on the day called Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHEREAS the undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity to express their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday;

WHERE CARS RUN ON SUNDAY

MONTECAL'S TESTIMONY THAT NO CIVIL RESULTS FOLLOW.

The Employers of the Street Cars in the City of Montreal, Canada, are hereby notified that the papers in the Anglo-Russian negotiations last year, showing Russia's offer to donate the ownership of the suburbs of Montreal, which were taken, outside of the suburbs.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Mr. Carier, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the papers, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

THE SALISBURY-BLAINE PROPOSAL.

Dr. Christopher Robinson Defends the Blaine Intervention to Prevent a Close Season All Summer.

PARIS, June 21.—The Court of Arbitration today rejected the proposal of the Blaine intervention to prevent a close season all summer.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the Canadian intervention was defeated.

Dr. Robinson, for the United States, protested, but the tribunal accepted the proposal, and the