

(b) Introduction of the term "Commonwealth citizen" as alternative to "British subject". (This has already been provided for by the new British Nationality Act.)

(c) Discontinuance of the expressions "Dominion", "Dominion government", etc., and substitution of such phrases as "Commonwealth country," "Member of the Commonwealth", etc. (Apparently no "legal" change is proposed here, but merely a change in practice.)

(d) Use of the term "Commonwealth Prime Ministers" to include the Prime Ministers of all Commonwealth countries, including the United Kingdom.

6. The U.K. government take the position that there is no need for relations between all members of the Commonwealth to be identical; there is scope for a wide variety.

7. As you know, the United Kingdom are even prepared to agree that a "republican" form of government for India is not inconsistent with membership in the Commonwealth, provided that the President is the representative of the King. In fact, the U.K. view is that the title of the King's representative in any member nation is a matter for that nation's own discretion. (This is directly relevant to the question which has been raised here from time to time of a change in the style of the Governor General.)

If it is necessary to consider any change in the King's title, the United Kingdom would probably suggest some such formula as follows:

"George VI King by the Grace of God,  
Head of all countries of the Commonwealth of  
Nations, and Defender of the Faith."